# Summary Preliminary Engineering Report

Including

Opinion of Probable Cost, Prioritization of Improvements And Implementation Plan

For

Stormwater Management Facilities Mill Creek / Cloud Branch

City of Sanford, Florida

# July 1992

by:

Conklin Porter and Holmes-Engineers, Inc.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

А.	Summary and Recommendations Section A, Page 1
в.	Conceptual Permit Application (St. Johns River Water Management District)
	Notice of Receipt of Application
	Location and Topography of Projects
	Maps 1 and 2
	Plan of Proposed Project Work
	Description of Proposed Project
	Maps 3 and 4
	Flood Prone Areas
	Description
	Maps 5 and 6
	Soils
	Description
	Maps 7 and 8
	Land Use
	Description
	Maps 9 and 10
	Wetlands Description
	Maps 9 and 10
	Table 3-1 "Wetland Types and Amounts Impacted
	Wetland Mitigation
	Impacted Waterways
	Description
	Maps 3, 4, 9 and 10
	Source and Volume of Water to be Contained
	on Site
	Description and Response

Permit	Application Sections
Applic	ation Form
Introdu	
	Description of Project Area
	Description of Proposed Project
	Wetland Areas
Requir	ed Site and System Design Information
•	Maps:
	General Location Map
	Aerial Map with Project
	Boundaries and On-Site Wetlands
	Topographic Map with Project
	Boundaries and Flood Prone Areas
	Current Land Use Map
	Seminole County Soils Map
	Master Drainage Plan
	Master Stormwater Management
	System Plan
	Master Development Plan for the Site
Enviro	onmental and Natural Resources: Land
	Soil Limitations
	Erosion Control
	Geologic Features
Enviro	onmental and Natural Resources: Water
	Hydrologic Conditions
	Water Quality Parameters
Enviro	onmental and Natural Resources: Wetlands
	Wetland Areas Affected
Envire	onmental and Natural Resources: Floodplains
	Proposed Development in Floodplain
	Encroachment Volume and Compensating Storage
	Proposed Traversing Works
Public	: facilities: Drainage
	Description
	Drainage Area Size
	Amount and Quality of Runoff (Pre/Post)
Desig	n Analysis
	Pre Development and Post Development
	Drainage Calculations
	Runoff Characteristics
	Water Table Elevations
	Receiving Water Elevations
	Design Storms
	Runoff Hydrographs

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

	Stage Area Computations Hydraulic Analyses and Results
	of Flood Routing
	Engineering Design Methodology
	SCS Unit Hydrograph
	Time of Concentration
	Lag Time
	Directly Connected Impervious Area
	Peaking Factor
	Curve Number Determination
	Advanced Interconnected Pond
	Routing Model
	Stormwater Treatment Method
	Water Use
	Table D-1 "Master Stormwater
	Management System On-Site Wetlands) Tables G-1 - G-19
	Tables 0-1 - 0-19
٠	Supplementary Information Booklet
	Site Specific Description and History
	Statement of Intent
	Previous Studies
	Proposed Criteria and Project
	Performance Standards
	Performance of 25 Yr/ 6 Hr Proposed
	Improvements
	Cost Comparison - 25 Year/6 Hour
	Storm vs 25 Year/24 Hour Storm
	Accomplishment of SJRWMD Objectives
	and Standards Utilizing Proposed Criteria
•	Appendices To SJRWMD Conceptual Permit
	Application
	Runoff Hydrographs
	Hydraulic Input Data
	Maps - 1 through 10 (8-1/2" x 11")
•	Map Pockets (2' x 3' Drawings) To SJRWMD
	Conceptual Permit Application
	A - Mill Creek Drainage Basin - Topographic Map
	with System Boundaries and Proposed Stormwater
	Management System Improvements

O

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

B - Cloud Branch Drainage Basin - Topographic Map with System Boundaries and Proposed Stormwater Management System Improvements

C - Overall System Aerial/Wetlands Map

D - Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Pre Condition) Nodal Diagram w/ Water Surface Elevation and Associated Flows - 25 Year/6 Hour Storm Event

E - Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Pre Condition) Nodal Diagram w/ Water Surface Elevation and Associated Flows - 25 Year/6 Hour Storm Event

F - Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Pre Condition) Nodal Diagram w/ Water Surface Elevation and Associated Flows - 25 Year/24 Hour Storm Event

G - Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Pre Condition) Nodal Diagram w/ Water Surface Elevation and Associated Flows - 25 Year/24 Hour Storm Event

H - Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Post Condition) Nodal Diagram w/ Water Surface Elevation and Associated Flows - 25 Year/6 Hour Storm Event

I - Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Post Condition) Nodal Diagram w/ Water Surface Elevation and Associated Flows - 25 Year/6 Hour Storm Event

J - Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Post Condition) Nodal Diagram Indicating Performance of the Proposed 25 Year / 6 Hour Storm Event Stormwater Management Facilities Under a 25 Year 24 Hour Storm Event

K - Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Post Condition) Nodal Diagram Indicating Performance of the Proposed 25 Year / 6 Hour Storm Event Stormwater Management Facilities Under a 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm Event

	<ul> <li>Technical Staff Review (TSR) of Conceptual Permit Application by St. Johns River Water Management District)</li></ul>
	• Conceptual Permit and Conditions Issued March 10, 1992 Section 7
C.	Preliminary Improvement Plans 24" x 36" Separately Furnished
	Aerial Right-of-Way Maps       Plan Sheets 1-29         Aerial Plan/Profile Sheets of Improvements       Plan Sheets 30-85         Aerial Detention Sites       Plan Sheets 86-92
D.	Alternate Studies Section A, Page 13
E.	Land Acquisition Section A, Page 14
F.	Opinion of Probable Costs For Land And Improvements Section A, Page 15
G.	Prioritization of Improvements Section A, Page 27
Н.	Implementation Plan

 $\bigcirc$ 

.

#### **Summary and Recommendations**

#### Area Description and History:

The north central and northwestern portions of the City of Sanford contain stormwater drainage systems generally tributary to two existing, natural drainage ways. These two streams are Mill Creek and Cloud Branch.

The Mill Creek system drains the northwestern portion of the City of Sanford roughly bounded by Lake Monroe to the north, 25th Street on the south, Airport Boulevard and Bevier Road on the west, and Olive Avenue on the east. The Cloud Branch system is generally bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Park Avenue and Sanford Avenue on the east, and Olive Avenue on the west. See Maps 1 and 2 for a pictorial representation of these two basins.

Both of these basins drain from south to north and are natural, relic, drainage channels which have been substantially modified for agricultural use and later for development purposes by the inclusion of road crossing culverts, regrading, basin diversions, channel relocations, rechannelization, and other activities. In most cases, rights-of-way for the creeks and the associated structures do not presently exist, except through prescriptive rights or where the channels follow or cross street rights-of-way. There is no retention or detention provided in the system except that occurring in the channels themselves or in some smaller, lower floodplain areas through which channels pass.

Most of the buildings, streets and improvements in the Mill Creek basin came about without any appreciable governmental regulation. Because of the natural streams which ran through the area, it was ideal for agricultural purposes. The community of Goldsboro sprang up around the various railroad lines. It became populated and grew into the incorporated community of Goldsboro, and was incorporated as the Village of Goldsboro in 1891. It was not brought into the City of Sanford until 1911, and had approximately 5,000 people in the Village at the time it was incorporated in 1891.

The areas surrounding these two channels are currently thoroughly developed, economically depressed, and are mostly comprised of black and lower income housing, neighborhood commercial, railroad, and industrial land use. Repeated hazardous flooding problems exist in these areas because of the very inadequate drainage system. In many cases, homes and other structures are built right on the streams and ditches, and the public health and safety have always been a major problem as well as the flooding damage.

On July 13, 1991, the Mill Creek/Cloud Branch area, as well as the rest of Sanford, was hit by an extreme rainfall event. At the Water Reclamation Facility located at the confluence of Cloud Branch and Mill Creek streams (at Lake Monroe), 0.2" of rainfall fell from midnight until 8:00 a.m. From 8:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m., an additional 5.8" of rainfall was measured. The storm





was preceded by an extended wet period of regular, heavy rainfalls and the ground was completely saturated and the runoff very high. Flooding of streets, homes, and buildings was very widespread and a great amount of property damage, misery and hazard was experienced by the area businesses and citizens. The public has been highly disturbed by this and previous occurrences and the newspaper and residents have exerted pressure to obtain some relief. Unfortunately, over the years, there have been a number of reported drownings in the streams which run through these two basins which are located close to homes and areas where children play. Luckily, no drownings occurred in the recent flooding of July 13, 1991.

In the past, there has never been a revenue source sufficient to even partly remedy the existing drainage problems. For example, some of the streets in the areas surrounding the channels have not been paved because it would take a drainage solution immediately preceding or coincidental with any pavement improvements to take care of the major ditches in the area and the water courses that run in the street rights-of-way. As a result, streets that badly need paving have been left unpaved and the drainage has not been fixed as the costs involved are extremely high and there has been no revenue source available. The City has recently adopted a Stormwater Utility Ordinance and has implemented the system and is collecting revenue. A portion of the funds from the new source are proposed to be used in the upgrading of the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Stormwater Management Systems.

#### Purpose of the Program and Statement of Intent:

It is the intent of the consultants, City Staff and Officials, and residents to get the main drainage system in Goldsboro and Cloud Branch areas upgraded; to eliminate major flooding and the attendant property damage and public health hazards; and to reasonably comply with environmental and regulatory concerns.

With every increased level of protection provided by an increasing scope of drainage facilities, there is an attendant increase in cost. It is absolutely imperative to examine the level of protection and criteria to be applied to make absolutely certain that the optimum level of protection in relation to the cost is being provided for the following reasons:

- The area is an old, existing, minority, completely developed area with relatively little undeveloped or vacant land.
- It has severe drainage problems and generally high water table and poor soils conditions.
- Right-of-ways and land required for most of the existing and proposed improvements are not in public ownership and will have to be obtained.
- Any solution of the problem involves extremely high cost.
- Very limited funds will be available.

The intent is to accomplish the program to a satisfactory level consistent with the needs, intent of the regulatory requirements, and fund availability that may be generated through the City's Stormwater Utility and other, already strained, revenue sources.

#### **Previous Studies:**

#### 1968 Study:

The City's Consulting Engineer studied these basins in 1968 and prepared a Drainage Study which proposed solutions to the drainage problems which were never implemented because of cost and unavailability of funds. Two subsequent straw votes of the City's citizens indicated unwillingness to pay the cost to correct City-wide drainage problems at the time. A considerable amount of base information is available from this Study as the basins have not changed very much since that time. The 1968 Study was prior to the existence of current regulatory requirements of the SJRWMD and others for retention/detention, pollution abatement, and other current criteria and regulations.

#### 1988 Study:

A follow-up Study, including strategically located detention facilities utilizing computer modeling was performed in 1988 for a 25 year / 6 hour storm event (6-inch rainfall).

#### Current Study:

The present Study is a Preliminary Engineering Study to refine designs, bring land and right-ofway needs and costs into the picture, and prepare Preliminary Engineering Plans. A staged implementation of drainage improvements, including land and right-of-way acquisition and construction of needed improvements including some detention facilities, is the result. (See Maps 3 and 4, following.)

#### **Regulations and St. Johns River Water Management Conceptual Permit:**

The SJRWMD Regulations contain Objectives and Standards from which criteria are established which presume that if these criteria are met, the standards and objectives will be met. It was the position of the Consultants, City Officials, and residents that certain of the standard SJRWMD Detailed Design Criteria were not appropriate (too severe) for the subject projects and not necessary to substantially meet the Objectives and Standards of the Roles. Furthermore, it was the City's opinion that applying the same design criteria to a "retrofit" situation as to new development is neither necessary, appropriate, nor financially feasible in this particular case. If the SJRWMD presumptive criteria were to be applied in an inflexible manner, it would have simply meant that the kinds of drainage problems previously experienced and the attendant property damage, human misery, and threat to the public health and safety, would have continued without being addressed because no substantial progress would have been attainable because of the extremely high cost to comply with the letter of the rules and regulations.





In order to demonstrate that the Objectives and Standards of the District could be substantially met without meeting all of the detailed design criteria included in the SJRWMD Rules, the Consultants and City met on numerous occasions with SJRWMD personnel, toured the basins and discussed ways to accomplish most of the basic intent of the regulations without meeting the detailed criteria. The net result of these meetings, negotiations, and detailed engineering work including establishing special criteria and computer modeling of the basins, and sizing and costing various levels of improvements, was an Application For Conceptual Permit to the St. Johns River Water Management District. The Application and detailed documentation was approved by the District and is included in a large 3-ring notebook which has been separately furnished to the City. The approval of this Conceptual Permit is a very significant accomplishment because it establishes a lesser design storm of 25 year frequency and 6 hour duration and the use of detention and pollution abatement design criteria that are believed to be very advantageous to the City and its residents. The Conceptual Permit and its accompanying plan and criteria are good for 20 years, but some of the improvements called for in the program must be designed and construction initiated within 2 years of permit issuance to maintain the permit's valid status.

#### Proposed Criteria and Performance Standards:

The St. Johns River Water Management District Conceptual Permit allows the general use of a 25 Year / 6 Hour storm analysis for the main facilities in these basins where it is economically feasible to do so. The 25 Year / 6 Hour storm is 6" of rainfall in 6 hours, while the 25 year / 24 Hour standard SJRWMD storm is 8.6" of rainfall in 24 hours. Some strategically located detention facilities are provided in the permitted system, sized to attenuate or reduce peak flows. The basins will have bleed down devices to reestablish the basin storage capacity in 14 days or less. It is also proposed by the Conceptual Permit that post development runoff to the lake from the 25 Year / 24 Hour storm will not exceed the predevelopment runoff for the 25 Year / 24 Hour storm at the point of discharge even though the physical facilities in the system are to be designed for the 25 Year / 6 Hour (lesser storm).

The soils in the study area are poor percolating soils with high groundwater table and retention is not a practical alternative and not required by the Permit. Filtration also is not proposed nor required by the Permit, but pollution abatement by settling and oil and trash skimming, as well as peak flow mitigation, will be accomplished in the detention basins and system. The detention facilities in Coast Line Park and Pinehurst Park are to be designed and permitted as wet detention facilities, providing some pollution abatement, peak attenuation and recreational and aesthetic benefits as lakes or ponds. In addition for erosion control and pollution abatement, erosion protective measures including seeding and sodding will be extensively utilized. Also, junction structures at side streets will be dual compartment structures providing some pollution abatement function. Also, lateral drainage wherever feasible will be conveyed in swales on side streets. Direct discharge of lateral ditches, swales, sheet flow and pipes into the main system will be minimized. Raised inlets in grassed depressed areas or other similar measures will be utilized where practical to convey lateral drainage into the main system. The lateral drainage is not a part of this project, but can be separately accomplished where practically feasible over a period of years. Some strategically located channels in close proximity to people and buildings are proposed to be closed, and where possible in less congested areas, channels or streams are left open. Many road crossings and culverts will have to be increased in size and/or replaced with bigger pipes or box culverts provided or lengthened, etc. Fencing will be utilized in selected, strategic locations at detention basins and open channels to reduce dumping and the hazard to children or others who live in close proximity to the facility.

Right-of-Way acquisition by establishment of prescriptive rights and acquisition of additional right-of-way width by donation, is contemplated. Land acquisition by purchase or condemnation will be required for most detention facilities and at many conveyance locations. Having dedicated, seeded and sodded rights-of-way will drastically improve maintenance and will result in better flow capability and pollution abatement with reduced erosion.

#### Preliminary Right-of-Way Maps:

Aerial right-of-way maps at a  $1^* = 30'$  scale have been prepared for the total system in both basins. On these right-of-way maps existing rights-of-way are shown as well as the total right-of-way needed for construction and maintenance of the system.

The total right-of-way needed for channels or conveyances where the City does not now have rights-of-way is 18.2 acres, of which the engineer has estimated the City may have prescriptive rights on approximately 5.7 acres. There is approximately 12.5 acres of right-of-way or easements in the two basins that need to be obtained by donation, developer dedication, negotiated purchase, or condemnation. There also are six designated detention sites in the system as follows (also refer to Maps 3 and 4):

#### Mill Creek:

Pond 1	 18th Street Site
Pond 2	 McCracken Road Site
Pond 3	 Tributary A, North Side

#### Cloud Branch:

Pond 4A . . North of Coastline Park (Pebble Junction) Pond 4B . . Coastline Park Pond 5 . . . 14th Street Site Pond 6 . . . Pinehurst Park Two of these ponds are on City owned land at Coastline and Pinehurst Parks. There is a total of 52.4 acres of land that needs to be obtained for the other detention ponds in both basins. Two other areas on Cloud Branch from 19th Place to 14th Street are low, natural pocketed vegetated areas, that we propose to continue to use as shallow natural overflow water storage areas as they currently function. Acquisition of the land in these natural overflow areas is not felt to be necessary at this time. However, the matter may need to be reviewed from legal and other perspectives. There may be a possibility of their dedication as conservation and flowage easements, either now or in the future in connection with an adjacent development. Also please refer to Pages 20 and 26 (Tables 1 and 2), for additional information on land, rights-of-way and easements as well as the 29 sheet set of aerial right-of-way maps for the total system.

#### Preliminary Plan of Improvements:

Aerial plan/profile sheets at  $1^{"} = 30^{"}$  scale, consisting of 57 sheets showing the total system have been prepared as a part of this project and have been separately furnished to the City. The improvements consist of detention basins, open channels, pipes, box culverts and all appurtenances. See Maps 3 and 4 in this Summary along with the plan and plan/profile sheets separately furnished to the City for all of the channels and tributaries as well as the six detention sites.

#### Preliminary Opinion of Cost for Land and Improvements:

There are \$6,851,396 of land, improvements and project costs required in the Mill Creek Basin and \$3,241,293 on the Cloud Branch Basin, giving a total of \$10,092,689 for both basins. Please refer to Pages 15 - 26, for an itemized breakdown of the total costs involved in both basins.

#### Prioritization of Improvements and Implementation Plan:

The Engineer has prioritized the improvements and land acquisition required and arrived at a phased Implementation Plan that calls for three years of funding from the Stormwater Utility on a "Pay As You Go" basis prior to revenue bond issues of \$3.2, \$2.7, and \$2.5 Million each in Years 4, 8, and 12 of the program. (See Table on following page.)

Revenue bond issues must be delayed for a few years until the Utility has established itself and has a proven revenue stream in order to satisfy bond issuers and buyers.

Year	\$ Amount	Stormwater Utility Funding
1	\$468,670	Pay As You Go
2	\$603,260	Pay As You Go
3	\$652,028	Pay As You Go
4	\$3,243,120	Revenue Bond Issue
8	\$2,742,025	Revenue Bond Issue
12	\$2,453,586	Revenue Bond Issue

Additional detail on the Implementation Plan is available on Pages 30 through 33.

#### **Recommendations:**

The Engineer recommends the following:

- Adoption of the general Conceptual Plan included herein by the City Commission for the improvement of drainage facilities in the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch areas of the City of Sanford.
- Pursue acquisition of land and easements for priority detention sites and rights-of-way by formalizing prescriptive rights, donation, negotiated purchase and condemnation, if necessary.
- 3) Authorize the Year 1 Phased Program consisting of:
  - Land and Easement Acquisition
    - Pond 1 18th Street Detention Basin (partial payment)
    - Mill Creek Main Channel from Railroad spur just North of 8th Street to 10th Street at Westside Recreational Center
    - Cloud Branch main channel from south of 13th Street to Railroad north of 8th Street (partial payment)

- Final Design and Construction
  - 8th Street Crossing of Mill Creek
  - Channel improvements on Mill Creek from North of 8th Street to 10th Street
  - Cloud Branch crossing of the Railroad north of 8th Street
  - Safety fencing (partial)
- <u>City-Wide Master Stormwater Planning (Partial)</u>
  - In other parts of the City not previously planned
- 4) Organize a Public Awareness program to present the program to the media, interested citizen groups, key organizations or corporations in the area and to follow through and keep the public and particularly the citizens in the area, interested and involved in the program.
- 5) Be alert for other funding or ways to accomplish the program more economically and expeditiously:
  - Developer donations of improvements, and or land
  - Donation by residents of needed easements and rights-of-way. The City in cooperation with Civic, Church or Improvement Associations in the areas affected could undertake this very critical part of the plan.
  - C.D.B.G. (Community Development Block Grant) and other grant or loan programs that are or may become available.
  - Consider ways for City forces and citizens to participate in the program thereby expediting the program and accomplishing it at lower cost.

## **Alternate Designs**

Alternate designs were performed for the detention basins at:

- 18th Street on Main Channel of Mill Creek.
- McCracken Road on Tributary B of Mill Creek
- West of SCL Mainline on Tributary A of Mill Creek
- The confluence of Tributaries A&B with the Main Channel of Mill Creek north of 8th Street and south of the railroad
- Coastline Park and adjacent area on Cloud Branch
- 14th Street and Roundtree on Cloud Branch
- Pinehurst Park on Cloud Branch

The detention site at the confluence of Tributaries A & B with the main channel of Mill Creek north of 8th Street and South of the railroad was added as an alternative design. Although the site is strategically located to reduce downstream peak flows and there is a considerable expanse of railroad land undeveloped and potentially available, and a substantial water storage depth could be accommodated at the site, the basin site was abandoned because an examination of the inflow and outflow hydrographs showed that the inflows had accumulated to such a large magnitude at this location that only minimal reduction in the peak flow was accomplished by the basin even utilizing all the available undeveloped land. The peak was delayed in time somewhat, but the basin was not judged to be very effective and was dropped from further consideration.

The 18th Street basin on Mill Creek was sized at two different sizes (23 acres and 35 acres), and analyzed as to effectiveness in reducing peak flows and effect on the sizing of downstream facilities. The effect was also evaluated to a lesser degree, on the upstream facilities. The alternate designs were costed with a larger basin (35 acres) and smaller outletting pipes all the way to north of 13th Street. A second alternate was preliminarily designed and costed with a smaller sized pond (23 acres) and larger sized outletting pipes. A third alternate, utilizing a yet smaller basin (18 acres) and larger pipes, was also costed and compared in the early stages of this study but not included in the refined, more detailed subsequent studies. Land values for the detention site were included, as well as construction costs for the alternates. The most cost effective overall result including both land and physical facility costs, was the 35 acre sized site.

Similar analysis was done at the Coastline Park location, and the most cost effective solution there required obtaining additional land for detention north of the park and south of the railroad in order to gain sufficient peak attenuation to reduce the effect on the railroad crossing and to ensure that the peak flow from the 25 year - 24 hour storm is not increased in the post-project

condition over the pre-project condition at the measuring point. The measuring point as established by the St. Johns River Water Management District was the confluence of the two streams at the sewage treatment plant.

Alternates were modeled with and without detention storage at 14th Street and Roundtree; with and without detention at Pinehurst Park; with and without detention at the site west of the SCL mainline on Tributary A;, and with and without detention at McCracken Road. In the end it was necessary to provide detention at all these locations in order to control the peak flows at the measuring point and reduce the load on downstream, existing facilities to make them function without major enlargement. These basins were also instrumental in meeting the St. Johns river Water Management District pre/post criteria at the measuring point and in providing an overall cost effective solution.

#### Land Acquisition

The Engineer, with the approval of the City, employed Kirchhoff and Associates as real estate subconsultants to provide advice concerning the feasibility of obtaining certain parcels needed in the program. They also provided rough valuation guidelines (ranges in property value) to use in making comparisons of alternative preliminary designs involving different sizes of detention basins with differing sizes of conveyance piping resulting. They also prepared general land and easement acquisition rough valuations to use for the selected solutions.

Following preparation of right-of-way maps and aerial maps of the detention sites, the real estate subconsultant made rough square foot valuations of the detention sites and gave approximate square footage valuations for easements needed along the channels, streams and pipes of the system needed to supplement existing right-of-way and prescriptive land rights where they may exist. This matter of additional stream easements or right-of-way, is rather complicated and subjective. The overall easement needed is reasonably well defined as a result of this preliminary engineering work, supplemented by the widths needed for maintenance as provided by the City Public Works Department. However, the public records are not very clear nor complete on where the City has existing right-of-way, land, or easements in many locations. Also, the amount of the total easement requirement that the City may have claim to by prescriptive right is certainly not very clear. Until the actual channels and maintenance paths or roads alongside the channels are surveyed, maintenance maps prepared and filed with the County, and the claim withstands the test of time, these rights will not be firmly established. Also, see Page 27 for additional information on rights-of-way, easements and land acquisition matters.

The Engineer has utilized the best information readily available without benefit of surveys and utilized his judgement and the square footage valuations for various areas provided by the real estate consultant in arriving at land costs for drainage easements or right-of-way along the streams, channels and pipes of the system.

# **Opinion of Probable Cost for Land and Improvements**

Mill Creek Drainage Basin	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	\$ 6,851,396
Cloud Branch Drainage Basin		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	\$ 3,241,293

.

#### **OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST:** MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

#### **25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT**

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ry	UNIT COST	ITEM Cost
A.	CHANNEL CLEANING, RELOCATIONS, REGRAD	ING AND	IISCELLANEOUS	APPURTENANT CO	DNSTRUCTION
	<ol> <li>Mill Creek Channel &amp; Tributaries</li> <li>Stripping, Sodding, Seeding, Mulching, Channel Section Sodding, and Erosion Control (Fabriform, E</li> </ol>	1	lf ls	\$5 195,250	\$ 125,000 195,250
	3. Channel Section Fencing	5,000	LF	10 SUBTOTAL:	50,000 \$ 370,250
в.	DETENTION POND SYSTEMS				
	1. 18th Street Detention System				
	A. Excavation/Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)	-		1.50	600,000
	B. Seeding and Mulching	115,000	SY	0.35	40,250
	C. System Control Structure		LS	50,000	50,000
	D. Fencing of Site		LS	62,000	62,000
	(6000 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)			,	
-	E. Sodding of Side Slopes F. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	30,000	SY	2	60,000
1	F. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	1	LS	30,000	30,000
	G. Construction of Maint. Roadway	1	LS	60,000	60,000
	and Pond Berm	-		SUBTOTAL:	\$902,250
	2. <u>McCracken Road/RR Detention System</u>	(South S	ide Channel	- Tributary B)	
	A. Excavation/Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)			5.00	425,000
	B. Seeding and Mulching C. System Control Structure	25,000	SY	0.35	8,750
	C. System Control Structure	1		50,000	50,000
	D. Fencing of Site (2825 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)	1	LS	30,250	30,250
	E. Sodding	14,000	SY	2	28,000
	F. Erosion Control (Fabriform)			30,000	30,000
	G. Construction of Maint. Roadway and Pond Berm	1	LS	50,000	50,000
	H. 48" RCP	98	lf	100	9,800
	I. 54" RCP		LF	115	7,360
	J. Extension of existing box culve	** 7		1,500	1,500
	K. Culvert System Headwalls L. Asphalt Road Restoration M. Removal of railroad tracks	1	LS	12,000	12,000
	L. Asphalt Road Restoration	1	LS	4,100	4,100
	M. Removal of railroad tracks	ī	LS	5,000	5,000
	N. Inflow/Outflow Separator Wall	1	LS	50,000	
		*		SUBTOTAL:	50,000 \$ 711,760

0			UNIT	ITEM
	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	COST	COST
	3. <u>Tributary A - North Side Channel De</u>	etention System		
	A. Excavation/Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)		5.00	175,000
	B. Seeding and Mulching C. System Control Structure	9,600 SY	0.35	3,360
	D. Fencing of Site	1 LS 1 LS	20,000 19,000	20,000
	(1700 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)	2.00	19,000	19,000
	E. Sodding	4,500 SY	2	9,000
	F. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	1 LS	20,000	20,000
	G. Construction of Maint. Roadway and Pond Berm	1 LS	30,000 SUBTOTAL:	30,000 <b>\$276,360</b>
c.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 20TH STREET &			<i>4210,300</i>
		-	(تھ	
	<ol> <li>Triple 48" RCP</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing</li> </ol>	360 LF	\$ 300	\$108,000
	Culvert	1 LS	4,000	4,000
	3. Culvert System Headwalls	1 LS	10,000	10,000
	4. Sodding 5. Storm Inlets & Junction Boxes	1,100 SY	2.00	2,200
	6. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 LS 1 LS	20,000	20,000
	7. Erosion Protection	1 LS 1 LS	10,000 6,000	10,000
	8. Tree Removal/School Sign/	1 LS	7,500	6,000 7,500
$\bigcirc$	Detention Pond Modifications/ Miscellaneous		,,	7,500
$\sim$	9. Force Main Relocation	1 LS	5,000 SUBTOTAL:	5,000 <b>\$172,700</b>
D.	UPGRADING OF STORMWATER SYSTEM AT 18TH	STREET (MAIN CHANNEL)	•	
	1. Modifications to Existing	1 LS	£ 10 000	
	Stormwater System		\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
	2. Miscellaneous (Grading, Grouting) 3. Erosion Protection		1,500	1,500
	J. BIOBION FICEECION	1 LS	3,500 SUBTOTAL:	3,500
_			· <b>· · - ·</b>	\$ 15,000
E.	BACKFILLING OF DITCH FROM 20TH TO 18TH	ST. (MAIN CHANNEL, MC	;)	
	1. Backfill and Compaction	1 LS	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
	2. Sodding	3,900 SY	2.00	7,800
	3. Miscellaneous (Grading, Etc.)	1 LS	2,200	2,200
			SUBTOTAL:	\$ 20,000
F.	CULVERT CROSSING-EXISTING COUNTY DITCH	TO 18TH ST. DETENTION	POND (MAIN CH	NNEL)
	1. 4.0' x 9.0' Box Culvert	240 LF	\$     275	\$ 66,000
	2. Culvert System Headwalls	1 LS	7,500	7,500
	3. Sodding 4. Energy Discipation System	1250 SY	2.00	2,500
	4. Energy Dissipation System 5. Restoration	l LS	1,000	1,000
	6. Erosion Protection	1 LS 1 LS	7,500 3,500	7,500
	7. Conflict Structure	1 LS	12,500	3,500 12,500
			SUBTOTAL:	\$100,500
				•

-17-

ITEM DESCRIPTION

UNIT COST

ITEM

COST

#### G. PIPING OF MILL CREEK FROM 18TH ST. TO NORTH OF 13TH ST. (MAIN CHANNEL)

	1.	Dual 48" RCP Culverts	1,855	LF	\$ 200	\$371,000
	2.	Dual 36" RCP	760	LF	145	110,200
	з.	4.0' x 7.0' Box Culvert	240	LF	225	54,000
	4.	Dual 36" RCP 4.0' x 7.0' Box Culvert Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert @ 13th St.	· 1	LS	5,000	5,000
	5.	Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes	1	LS.	40,000	40,000
	6.	Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwall	1	LS	5,000	5,000
	7.	Sodding	1	LS	20,000	
	8.	Asphalt Road Restoration		LS	20,000	20,000
	9.	Dirt Road Restoration	1	LS	10,000	20,000 10,000
	10.	Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control	1	LS	150,000	150,000
	11.	Realignment of Existing Water		LS	100,000	
		and Sewer Utilities	-	20	100,000	100,000
	12.	Flow Control Boxes	3	EA	10,000	30,000
		Miscellaneous Restoration		LS	25,000	25,000
		Erosion Protection		LS	5,000	5,000
	- • •		-	10	SUBTOTAL:	\$ 945,200
н.	UPGR	ADING OF CULVERT AT 8TH STREET (MAI	N CHANN	EL)		
	_					
	1.			LF	\$ 275	\$ 14,575
	2.		1	LS	5,000	5,000
		Culvert and Filling of Existing Ditch				
~~~	з.	Culvert System Headwalls	1	LS	7,000	7,000
)	4.	Sodding	100	SY	2.00	200
	5.		1	EA	4,200	4,200
	6.	Erosion Protection		LS		3,500
			_		SUBTOTAL:	
1.	UPGR	ADING OF CULVERT AT MEISCE ROAD (NO	ORTH SID	E CE	ANNEL - Tributary A)	
	1.	1 51 w 10 01 Box Culmont	40		<b>Å</b> 500	
	2.	1.5' x 10.0' Box Culvert Demolition/Removal of Existing	40	LS	• • • • •	\$ 8,000
	4.	Culvert	7	72	1,000	1,000
	з.	Culvert Headwalle	-	LS	5 500	
	4.		200	72	7,500	7,500
	5.					400
	6.		ţ	LS LS		2,500
	٥.	BIOSION PICTECTION	T	LS	- •	3,500
Ј.	UPGR	ADING OF CULVERT AT -BEVIER ROAD (N	ORTH SI	de ci	SUBTOTAL: HANNEL - Tributary A)	\$ 22,900
					- /	
	1.	1.5' x 10.0' Box Culvert	40	LF	\$ 200	\$ 8,000
	2.	1.5' x 10.0' Box Culvert Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS	1,000	1,000
	3.		1	те	7 500	
	4.		200		7,500	7,500
	5.			LS	2.00	400
	6.	Erosion Protection		LS	2,500	2,500
	•••	storion IIOPECTON	1	13	3,500	3,500
					SUBTOTAL:	\$ 22,900

0	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM Cost
ĸ.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT AIRPORT BLVD.	- Tributary B)		
	1. Dual 42" RCP Culverts	98 LF	\$ 150	\$ 14,700
	1. Dual 42" RCP Culverts 2. Demolition/Removal of Existing	1 LS	1,000	1,000
	Culvert 3. Culvert Headwalls	1 LS	7,500	7,500
	4. 5000109		2.00	300
	5. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 EA	3,000 3,500	3,000 3,500
	6. Erosion Protection	1 LS	3,500	
	7. Junction Manhole & Culvert Extens	sion 1 LS	SUBTOTAL:	
				• • • • • • • •
L.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT PERSIMMON AVE	NUE - Tributary B)		
	1. Dual 60" RCP	60 LF	\$ 300	• •
	2. Demolition/Removal of Existing	1 LS	2,500	2,500
	Culvert		0,000	0.000
	<ol><li>Culvert Headwalls</li></ol>	1 LS	8,000 2.00	8,000 500
	4. Sodding	250 SY	5,000	5,000
	5. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 EA 1 LS	3,500	
	6. Erosion Protection		SUBTOTAL:	\$ 37,500
м.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 8TH ST Tri	butary B)		
	1. Dual 60" RCP	60 LF	\$ 300	
$\cap$	2. Demolition/Removal of Existing	1 LS	2,500	2,500
	Culvert	1.10	8,000	8,000
	3. Culvert Headwalls	1 LS 175 SY	2.00	350
	4. Sodding	175 SI 1 EA	6,000	6,000
	5. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 LS	3,500	3,500
	6. Erosion Protection		SUBTOTAL:	
N.	REGRADING/REDIRECTION/CULVERT CROSSIN	IG OF CHANNEL & RR/M	CKEE AREA - Tributa:	cy C
	<ol> <li>Ditch Filling/Grading/Sodding, Etc.</li> </ol>		\$ 10,000	
	2. Bore & Jack: Railroad	1 LS	7,500	7,500
	3. Dual 48" RCP Culverts	56 LF	200	11,200
	4. Culvert System Headwalls	2 EA	7,500	15,000
	5. Sodding	150 SY	2.00	300 3,500
	6. Erosion Protection	1 LS	3,500 SUBTOTAL:	\$ 47,500
	Total Construction Cost Mill Creek &	Tributaries	\$3,751,145	
	Construction Contingency (15%)		562,672	
	Project Costs (surveying, soils, eng construction admin, RPR, mapping, e	ineering, legal, tc) 20%	862,763	
	Land Costs Detention Sites **See Detail on Following Page**		1,291,118	
$\sim$	Land Costs Easements **See Detail on Following Page**		383,698	
U	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST		\$6,851,396	

-

N

$\bigcirc$									
	MILL CREEK	Land/Easement/Rights-of-Way Cost Description	Acres/ Sq.Ft.	Price Per Acre/ Sq.Ft.	Total Cost				
	DETENTION SITES								
		18th Street Site - Pond 1	35 acres	\$26,136	\$914,760				
		McCracken Road Site - Pond 2	7.75 acres	<b>\$26,</b> 136	202,554				
		Tributary A N.Side - Pond 3	6.65 acres	26,136	173,804				
	MAIN CHANNEL								
	Panel 1	Rail Road to 8th St	33,450 sq.ft.	0.60	20,070				
	Panel 2	8th St to 10th St	18,980 sq.ft.	0.60	11,388				
	Panel 3	10th St to 13th St	28,200 sq.ft.	0.85	23,970				
	Panel 4-7A	13th St to 18th St Detention Site	4,710 sq.ft. 7,140 sq.ft.	2.00 1.10	9,420 7,854				
	Panel 7B-8	Detention Pond #1 to 20th St Crossing	31,800 sq.ft.	0.60	19,080				
$\bigcirc$	Panel 9-12	20th St Crossing to 25th St	12,700 sq.ft.	1.40	17,780				
М	TRIBUTARY A								
	Panel 34-36	Main Channel to Pond #3	87,470 sq.ft.	0.85	74,350				
	Panel 37-41	Pond #3 to West of Meisch Rd	188,940 sq.ft.	0.60	113,364				
	TRIBUTARY C-2								
	Panel 15-17	Main Channel to Rail Road	27,530 sq.ft.	0.85	23,400				
	TRIBUTARY C-1	,							
	Panel 18,19,23	Pond #2 to Tributary C	17,530 sq.ft.	0.85	14,900				
	TRIBUTARY C								
	Panel 20-22	Rail Road to West of Airport	41,600 sq.ft.	1.12	46,592				
	TRIBUTARY D								
	Panel 33	Rail Road to 18th Street	1,800 sq.ft.	0.85	1,530				

#### **CITY OF SANFORD**

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

#### **25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT**

		•	1131 T <b>m</b>		-
	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTIT	UNIT	ITEM COST	item Cost
		ZA1211111	-	0051	031
A.	CHANNEL CLEANING, RELOCATIONS, REGRADIN	10. AND 1	TCCPT T REPORT		00NC00700704
<b>n</b> •	CIRCINI CHIMEING, AMOUNTOND, AMOUNT		HOCELLIAN BOO	5 AFFORTENANT	CONSTRUCTION
	1. Cloud Br. Channel & Tributaries	11,000	LF	\$4	\$ 44,000
	2. Stripping, Sodding, Seeding,	1	LS	96,450	96,450
	Mulching, Channel Section Sodding,				
	and Erosion Control (Fabriform, Et				
	3. Channel Section Fencing	2,000	Γ¥.	10	
				SUBTOTAL:	: \$ 160,450
в.	DETENTION POND SYSTEMS				
	1. Pinehurst Park Detention System				
	D. Russenting ( Dissue)	FF 000	~	_	
	A. Excavation & Disposal (10.0' Avg. Cut)	55,000	CI	5	275,000
	B. Seeding and Mulching	-	LS	E 000	5 000
	C. System Control Structure		LS	5,000 50,000	•
1	D. Sodding		17,000 CY		50,000 34,000
)	E. Erosion Control	1	LS	20,000	
	F. Construction of Maint. Roadway		LS	20,000	
	and Pond Berm	-		20,000	20,000
	G. Realignment of Existing Storm	1	LS	23,000	23,000
	System			,	,
	H. 48" RCP Culvert	60	LF	100	6,000
	I. Headwalls	1	LS	4,000	
	J. Misc. Restoration & Landscaping			1 LS 5,000	
	K. Demolition & Removal of Existing	g		-	• .
	Culvert	1	LS	500	500
				SUBTOTAL	\$442,500
	2. 14th St./Roundtree Detention System				
	21 <u>14th Dtt/Admittee Detention OfStem</u>				
	A. Excavation/Disposal (On-Site)	18,000	CY	5	90,000
	(7.0' Avg. Cut) B. Seeding and Mulching	6,100	CV		
	C. System Control Structure		LS	.35	-,
	D. Fencing of Site		LS	50,000	• • • •
	(1285 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)	*		14,850	14,850
	E. Sodding	4,500	SY	2	9,000
	F. Erosion Control (Fabriform)		LS	10,000	10,000
	G. Construction of Maint. Roadway		LS	15,000	
	and Pond Berm	-		,	20/000
	H. Fill Existing Drainage Ditch &	1	LS	2,500	2,500
	Provide a Swale System for			•	
	Local Drainage				
	I. Stormwater Inlet		LS	2,000	2,000
~	J. 18" RCP	42	lf	18	756
				CTIBRAM	
				SUBTOTAL,	: \$196,241

$\frown$				
$\bigcirc$	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	ITEM
-		QOANTIII	COST	COST
	3. <u>Coastline Park Detention System</u>			
	A. Excavation & Disposal	50,000 CY	5	250,000
	B. System Control Structure	1 LS	50,000	50,000
	C. Sodding D. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	15,000 SY 1 LS	2 25,000	30,000 25,000
	and energy dissipation system		15,000	23,000
	E. Construction of Maint. Roadway and Pond Berm	1 LS	25,000	25,000
	F. Demolition/Removal/Modification of Existing Storm Sewer System		7,500	7,500
	G. Landscaping	1 LS	10,000	10,000
	H. Tree Removal	1 LS	5,000	5,000
	I. Site Fencing (1230 LF @ \$10/LF and 2 Gates	l LS	14,300	14,300
	J. Relocation of Power Line K. Remove Existing 12" Reclaimed	1 LS	50,000	50,000
	Water Line & Reroute New Line			
	Around Pond (825 LF) L. Ditch Regrading South of Pond	1 LS	13,000	13,000
	and Erosion Protection	l LS	5,000	5,000
			SUBTOTAL:	484,800
c.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 20TH STREET			•
		<b>6</b>		
$\cap$	1. 30" RCP Culvert 2. Culvert System Headwalls	68 LF	\$ <u>50</u>	\$ 3,400
$\mathbf{U}$	3. Sodding	1 LS 150 SY	4,000	4,000 300
-	4. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 LS	2,300	2,300
	5. Erosion Protection	1 LS	4,000	4,000
	<ol> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Headwalls</li> </ol>	1 LS	1,000	1,000
	neadwalls		SUBTOTAL:	\$15,000
D.	UPGRADING CULVERT CROSSING AT 19TH PL	ACE		
	1. Triple 30" RCP Culverts	65 LF	\$ 150	¢ 0 350
	2. Culvert System Headwalls	1 LS	4,000	\$ 9,750 4,000
	3. Brosion Protection (Fabriform)	1 LS	5,000	5,000
	4. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 LS	4,200	4,200
	5. Sodding	100 SY	2	200
	<ol> <li>Demolition of Existing Culverts / Headwalls</li> </ol>	1 LS	1,000	1,000
			SUBTOTAL:	\$24,150
E.	UPGRADING CULVERT CROSSING AT 16TH ST	REET		
	<ol> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert System</li> </ol>	1 LS	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,500
	2. 3' x 8' Box Culvert	60 LF	230	13 000
	3. Culvert System Headwalls	1 LS	4,000	13,800 4,000
	4. Sodding	150 SY	2	300
	5. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 LS	3,000	3,000
	6. Erosion Protection (Fabriform)	1 LS	5,000	5,000
$\cap$			SUBTOTAL:	\$27,600

-22-

$\bigcirc$					
$\mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	t	UNIT COST	item Cost
F.	UPGRADING CULVERT SYSTEM AT 14TH STR	EET			
	<ol> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert</li> </ol>	1	LS	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,500
	2. 3' x 8' Box Culvert	120	LF	230	27,600
	<ol><li>Culvert System Headwalls</li></ol>	1	LS	4,000	4,000
	4. Sodding	250	SY	2	500
	5. Road Restoration		LS	2,000	2,000
	6. Erosion Protection (Fabriform)	1	LS	3,000	3,000
	7. Energy Dissipation System		LS	1,000	1,000
	8. Demolition/Removal of Existing 3	0" RCP 1	LS	1,000	1,000
				SUBTOTAL:	\$41,600
G.	UPGRADING CULVERT SYSTEM AT LAKE AVE	INUE			•
	1. Dual 30" RCP	290	LF	100	29,000
	2. Culvert System Headwall/MES		LS	3,000	3,000
	3. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	1	LS	3,000	3,000
	4. Sodding	550	SY	2	1,100
	5. Energy Dissipation System		LS	1,000	1,000
	6. Sidewalk Restoration	25	LF	10	250
	7. Misc. Restoration/Landscaping	1	LS	5,000	5,000
H.	PIPING OF CLOUD BRANCE FROM 14TE ST.	to coasti	INE PARK	SUBTOTAL:	\$42,350
( )	1. Dual 48" RCP Culverts	1,085	LF	\$ 200	\$217,000
	2. Storm Inlets/Junction Boxes	6	EA	5,000	30,000
	3. Culvert System Headwalls		LS	7,500	7,500
	4. Sodding	2,500	SY	2	5,000
	5. Asphalt Road Restoration		LS	2,500	2,500
	6. Dirt Road Restoration		LS	4,000	4,000
	7. Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control	1	LS	25,000	25,000
	8. Miscellaneous Restoration/ Landscaping	1	LS	15,000	15,000
	9. Energy Dissipation System	1	LS	1,000	1,000
I.	IMPROVEMENTS AT 13TH STREET			SUBTOTAL:	\$307,000
	1. Stormwater Inlets	1	LS	5,000	5,000
	2. 24" RCP	30		27	810
	3. 36" RCP	175		67	11,725
	4. Filling of Ditch / Construction			• • •	11,723
	of Swale		LS	2,000	2,000
	5. Sodding	320		2,000	2,000 640
	6. Demolition / Removal of Existin			<b>4</b> 2	040
	Culvert System		LS	3,000	3,000
	7. Road Restoration		LS	7,500	
	8. Miscellaneous Restoration		LS		7,500
	. WINCELLENGUS VESCULECTON	1	64	1,500	1,500
				SUBTOTAL:	\$32,175

O			UNIT	ITEM
	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	COST	COST
J.	IMPROVEMENTS AT 12TH STREET			
	1. Stormwater Inlet 2. 24" RCP 3. Demolition / Removal of Existing	1 LS 125 LF	2,500 27	2,500 3,375
	42" Culvert 4. Dirt Road Restoration	1 LS 1 LS	1,000 2,500	1,000 2,500
	5. Sodding	150 SY	2	300
			SUBTOTAL:	<b>\$9,</b> 675
κ.	IMPROVEMENTS AT 11TH STREET			
	<ol> <li>Stormwater Inlet</li> <li>24" RCP</li> <li>Filling of Ditch / Construction of</li> </ol>	1 LS 81 LF	\$ 2,500 27	\$2,500 2,187
	Swale 4. Sodding	1 LS 500 SY	4,000	4,000
	4. Douarny	500 51	2 SUBTOTAL:	1,000 <b>\$9,687</b>
L.	COASTLINE PARK POND EQUALIZATION SYSTE	M		
	1. Dual 72" RCP	520 LF	\$ 350	\$182,000
	<ol> <li>Culvert System Headwalls</li> <li>Sodding</li> </ol>	1 LS 1,500 SY	5,000	5,000 3,000
5	4. Asphalt Road Restoration	1 LS	5,000	5,000
U	5. Miscellaneous Restoration	1 LS	2,000 SUBTOTAL:	2,000 \$197,000
м.	IMPROVEMENTS ALONG POPLAR AVENUE			
	<ol> <li>Earthwork         Fill existing ditch along Poplar             Avenue with soils from Coastline             Park Pond and form swale to convey         </li> </ol>			
	drainage to 8th Street	1 LS	\$ 7,800	\$ 7,800
	<ol> <li>Sodding</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of existing</li> </ol>	2,000 SY	\$2	\$ 4,000
	headwall at 10th Street	1 LS	\$ 1,000 <b>SUBTOTAL:</b>	\$ 1,000 <b>\$ 12,800</b>
N.	IMPROVEMENTS AT 8th STREET			
	<ol> <li>Junction box with inlet</li> <li>24" RCP</li> <li>Miscellaneous Restoration</li> </ol>	2 BA 35 LF 1 LS	\$ 2,500 \$ 27 \$ 1,000 <b>SUBTOTAL</b> :	\$ 5,000 \$ 945 \$ 1,000 <b>\$ 6,945</b>

-24-

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
ο.	SCL RAILROAD CROSSING AT 7TH STREET			
	<ol> <li>Dual 48" RCP</li> <li>5'x10' box culvert</li> <li>2. Bore and Jack</li> <li>Culvert System Headwalls</li> <li>Erosion Protection (Fabriform)</li> <li>Sodding</li> <li>Energy Dissipation System</li> <li>Miscellaneous Restoration</li> <li>Junction Box</li> </ol>	150 LF 38 LF 160 LF 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS	\$ 200 \$ 300 5,000 7,500 5,000 1,000 5,000 7,500 SUBTOTAL:	\$ 30,000 \$ 11,400 \$,000 5,000 7,500 1,000 5,000 7,500 <b>\$147,900</b>
	Total Construction Cost		\$2,157,873	
	Construction Contingency (15%)		323,681	
	Project Costs (surveying, soils, eng construction admin, RFR, mapping, e		496,311	
	Land Costs Detention Sites **See Detail on Following Page**		170,945	
$\mathbf{)}$	Land Costs Easements **See Detail on Following Page**		<del>9</del> 2,483	
	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST		\$3,241,293	

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $( \cap$ 



	1			
CLOUD BRANCH	Land/Easement/Rights-of-Way Cost Description	Acres/ Sq.Ft.	Price Per Acre/ Sq.Ft.	Tota Cost
DETENTION SITES				
	14th Street Site - Pond 5	1 lot/house 2 lots/houses Remaining Land - 85,500 sq.ft.	20,000 30,000 0.85	20,000 60,000 72,67
	North of Coastline Park (Pebble Junction) - Pond 4A	30,450 sq.ft.	0.60	18,27
MAIN CHANNEL				
Panel 42	Approaches to Rail Road Crossing (N&S of Rail Road)	7,925 sg.ft.	0.60	4,75
Panel 44	Detention Pond 4B to Detention Pond 5	28,000 sq.ft. (6 lots)		58,70
Panel 45 to 48	Detention Pond 5 to Detention Pond 6	29,275 sg.ft.	0.85	24,88
TRIBUTARY H				
Panel 55	Lake Avenue to Detention Pond 5	4,875 sq.ft.	0.85	4,14

#### **Prioritization of Improvements**

#### <u>General</u>

The program can not be constructed at one time for financial feasibility reasons. It is also important to realize that this preliminary work is concerned with only the main interceptor (through) main drainage facilities and does not include local drainage facilities along many individual streets or on individual land parcels. Also, some of the areas in the two basins are more subject to flooding than others and some of the improvements are more cost effective in solving the water management problems than others. Therefore, a prioritized, phased construction program is needed.

Theoretically, it would be advisable to construct conveyance type drainage facilities from the downstream end of the system first and subsequently move progressively upstream with the improvements. Likewise, it would be generally advantageous to construct detention facilities located in the upstream part of the system early in the program in order to attenuate peak flows and to lessen the load on the remaining, existing downstream facilities. Facilities that will most cost effectively reduce flooding and damage to property should be a high priority. Also of very high priority are safety improvements that would eliminate or reduce the hazard to children. Obtaining land and land rights at least for high priority projects or sections to be constructed early on in the program, is also a high priority. Donation and taking full advantage of prescriptive rights by filing maintenance maps, etc., to obtain rights should be pursued as a high priority. Legal opinions to the methodology necessary and the rights actually obtained by prescriptive right procedures is needed even to the degree of determining whether formalized prescriptive rights do away with the need for acquisition. Methods of right-of-way acquisition should include (1) developer contribution of right-of-way at time of future development, (2) acquisition of additional right-of-way by negotiated purchase, and (3) acquisition of additional right-of-way by condemnation. In some non-critical locations where drainage facilities are located in SCL undeveloped property or rights-of-way, or outside the City limits, or for other reasons, it may not be necessary nor advisable to immediately pursue right-of-way acquisition. In these cases, waiting for the property to develop requiring right-of-way dedication, and/or the County to obtain right-of-way, may be the appropriate action. In a number of the cases involving railroad right-of-way which is occupied by drainage ditches, the Engineers have not shown the need to acquire an easement nor included costs to do so in the project land and easement costs. See the aerial right-of-way maps for easements and right-of-ways included. The areas or widths shown on the right-of-way maps are the total needed from which the Engineer has deducted those areas where the City may have prescriptive rights, to obtain the net amount the City needs to acquire. The net amount is shown in the cost tables of Pages 20 and 26.

# Establishment of Priorities

Based on the above general concepts, the following general priorities have been established separately for Mill Creek and Cloud Branch.

General Priority	Basi	n	Description
Priority <u>One</u> Reaches/Items	Mill 1: Creek		Selective safety fencing throughout both Basins including areas not included in the Study
		2:	South of 13th Street to railroad north of 8th Street
		3:	Closed System from 18th Street to south of 13th Street
		4:	Land for detention site southwest of Goldsboro School and right-of-way from 18th St. along main channel to railroad north of 8th Street
	Cloud Branch	1:	Railroad crossing north of Coastline Park
		2:	Closed piping system from south of 13th street to Coastline Park
		3:	Land for detention north of coastline park and south of railroad and right-of-way for reaches in Priority 1.
Priority <u>Two</u> Reaches	Mill Creek	1:	Detention basin and outlet to 18th Street southwest of Goldsboro School
		2:	South of 20th Street to detention basin southwest of Goldsboro School
		3:	Right of way for above
	Cloud Branch	1:	Detention basin at Coastline Park area
	Jor Laich	2:	14th Street and Roundtree crossing
		3:	16th Street crossing
		4:	Detention basin at Pinehurst Park
		5:	Land and right-of-way for above

General Priority	Basin		Description
Priority <u>Three</u> Reaches	Mill Creek	1:	Railroad crossing east of Academy Manor and north across McCracken Road to detention site and on easterly to main stream of Mill Creek
	:	2:	McCracken Road detention site on Tributary B
		3:	Land and right-of-way involved
	Cloud Branch	1:	Detention basin between 13th, 14th, Roundtree and Lake
		2:	Natural detention basins south of 14th Street and south to 19th Place
	-	3:	19th Place and 20th Street Crossings
		4:	Land and right-of-way involved
Priority <u>Four</u> Reaches	Mill Creek	1:	Detention basin on Tributary A including inlet and outlet to railroad crossing
	2	2:	Airport Boulevard crossing at McCracken Road and reach on to detention site on Tributary B on McCracken Road
	Cloud Branch	1:	Outlet to Coastline Park detention area from 10th Street and 11th Street area and back along railroad toward Rich Foods
Priority <u>Five</u> Reaches	Mill Creek/ Cloud Branch	1:	Remainder of program including some improvements in the channels, obtaining rights-of-way, etc. north of Study area on both streams

)

### **Implementation Plan**

#### <u>General</u>

Based on the costs included in the <u>Opinion of Probable Costs for Land and Improvements</u>, and the general prioritization of improvements shown in the previous Section, the Engineer has formulated a general recommended Implementation Plan. The Plan attempts to recognize the needs of the various prioritized improvements and arrange them in a time sequenced, phased Implementation Plan that has some reasonable relationship to the City's ability to fund the program.

The City has implemented a Stormwater Utility and is collecting significant revenues from that source, part of which may be available for the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch program. Obviously, there are City-wide operation and maintenance needs, as well as studies and drainage improvements needed in the downtown, Pump Branch and many other areas of the City which also need funding. These other needs will have to be evaluated in determining funds available for the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch program.

It also is noteworthy that major drainage expenditures requiring a revenue bond issue will have to wait until the Stormwater Utility has been in place a few years, until the Utility has become firmly established and the revenue stream proven to satisfy bond counsels, insurers and buyers. Therefore in the first few years, the program expenditures will have to be on an annual budget "pay as you go" basis. In arriving at the Implementation Plan to follow, this fact has to be recognized in choosing improvements that are implementable (and not too large) on a "pay as you go" basis.

It is also important to include some physical improvements in the early years that show demonstrable work and improved physical drainage improvements in the community, so that the public will see and experience some measurable improvement in the actual drainage.

Also, it is important that some physical part of the system be actually designed, permitted and construction initiated by March 10, 1994, as a condition of the St. Johns River Water Management District Conceptual Permit.

Based on very rough revenue and expense projections with growth and expense increases of two and one-half percent per year for the Stormwater Utility, with rate increases of \$1/ERU every four years, and a bond issue every four years starting in year 4, it would take <u>approximately 15</u> <u>years</u> to raise enough money to construct the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch program and obtain land and right-of-way <u>at today's prices</u>, without accounting for inflation in construction or land <u>acquisition costs</u>. This analysis was based on phased construction and a year one revenue projection for the total Stormwater Utility of \$850,000 to \$900,000, and operation and maintenance and construction and rehabilitation and minor improvements of \$550,000. During the initial 3 years before revenue bonds could be issued, we have assumed that all excess
revenues over the cost of City-wide operation and maintenance, would be put into "pay as you go" Mill Creek / Cloud Branch improvements as that area appears to have the biggest need, and that an additional, partial allocation would be made for each of three years to accomplish Citywide, overall drainage planning in other areas of the City. The analysis also assumes that after the first 3 years that there would be a reasonable stormwater utility revenue and operation and maintenance expense split between the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch area and the rest of the City and that the excess from Mill Creek and Cloud Branch area would be used for debt service of the bond issues in years 4, 8, and 12 to cover improvements in the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch areas only. The improvements needed in the rest of the City have not been determined or costed as of the time of this Report and therefore, could not be included in the analysis.

These assumptions result in a range of \$400,000 to \$600,000 being available in each of the first three years for the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch program and the City-wide drainage planning on a "pay as you go" basis. Then bond issue net proceeds of \$3.3, \$2.7, and \$2.5 Million each in Years 4, 8, and 12, would be required unless <u>other sources of revenue beyond the Stormwater Utility are located and made available, or the revenue and expense splits or other assumptions are modified</u>, or part of the physical facility or land acquisition program is not implemented.

The above very rough financial analysis is made only for purposes of obtaining a phased Implementation Plan that has some reasonable relationship to the City's ability to finance the program and the needs of other parts of the City. If the City (hopefully) chooses to implement the Plan faster, the physical improvements to be included in any phase of the accelerated program can be easily moved forward and grouped, utilizing the information presented in this Report.

Based on the very rough financial analysis described above, we have arranged improvements in an Implementation Plan that very roughly tries to match the funding availability. In determining improvement costs to include in the first three years ("pay as you go" basis), we have shown the improvements plus City-wide drainage planning for a total of roughly \$400,000 to \$600,000, and utilized the following general criteria to determine included projects.

- 1. High priority, individual street crossings or short channel improvements (preferably downstream in the system) with necessary land and nearby channel improvements that would provide high flood relief in relation to cost
- High priority street crossings and/or local channel improvements that the City could construct themselves at reduced cost.
- Some fencing or other local safety improvements that would reduce local safety hazards to children.
- High priority land acquisition for detention sites and/or drainage routes that are now available and might be lost to other near future land uses.

- 5. Smaller improvements of reasonably high priority that are inordinately high, continuing, maintenance problems.
- 6. Upstream detention improvements that would take the load off existing downstream facilities.
- 7. Engineering and surveying:
  - a. Master Drainage Studies needed elsewhere in the City system
  - b. Surveys and Maintenance Mapping needed to establish rights-of-way for acquisition
  - c. Final design of "pay as you go" projects and advance work on the first bond issue project so it can be constructed expeditiously

#### **Detailed Implementation Plan**

The program in years 1, 2, and 3 (pay as you go) outlined is very aggressive. It allows some land acquisition, but concentrates as much as possible on delivering physical drainage relief to the residents by means of actual construction. If the program turns out to be too aggressive or not feasible for other reasons, it can be easily modified utilizing the general priorities shown in the Prioritization Section, and the detailed costs shown in the Opinion of Cost Section of this Report. Also, some of the improvements could be split funded between two fiscal years and in that way, supported by funding from more than one fiscal year. Also, it might be reasonable to fund the City-wide drainage planning or other program elements for this or other parts of the City from other supplementary sources.

#### Year 1 - Pay As You Go

•	City-wide	Master	Storwater	Planning	
	(Partial)				\$ 20,000

#### • Land and Easement Acquisition (Partial)

#### Mill Creek -

Pond 1 /18th Street Detention Basin (Partial) Main Channel Approaches to 8th Street	100,000 6,500 12,393
Cloud Branch -	
Main Channel S of 13th St to Coastline Park (Partial) . Main Channel Approaches to Rail Road	25,000 4,800

#### Project Costs -

Engineering, Soils, Surveys, Property Descriptions	
and Sketches, Maintenance Mapping, Construction	
Services, Inspection, Administrative,	
Legal, etc. (20%)	49,996

#### Construction

#### Mill Creek - Main Channel 13th Street Through 8th Street

8th Street Crossing - Main Channel	34,475
(8th St to 10th St)	30,000 5,000 10,421
<u>Cloud Branch - Main Channel</u>	
SCL Railroad Crossing N of Coastline Park	147,900 22,185
Total Year 1	\$468,670

Note: The "City-wide Master Stormwater Planning" item is shown in the Implementation Plan for planning and budget purposes, but was not included in the Opinion of Probable Cost for Land and Improvements.

#### Year 2 - Pay As You Go

•	City-wide Master Storwater Planning	
	(Partial)	; 20,000
•	Land and Easement Acquisition	
	<u>Nill Creek</u> -	
	Pond 1 - 18th Street Detention Basin (Partial) Main Channel - 10th Street to South of 13th Street	100,000 36,500
	<u>Cloud</u> Branch -	
	Main Channel South of 13th Street to Coastline Park (Partial)	30,000
•	Project Costs -	
	Engineering, Soils, Surveys, Property Descriptions and Sketches, Maintenance Mapping, Construction Services, Inspection, Administrative,	69,460
	Legal, etc. (20%)	07,400

#### Construction

Mill Creek - 13th Street Through 8th Street

Channel Improvements/Brosion Protection	20,000
13th St to 8th St	5,000
13th Street Crossing	277,000
Construction Contingency (15%)	45,300
Cloud Branch	
None in Year 2	Q
Total Year 2	\$ 603,260

Note: The "City-wide Master Stormwater Planning" item is shown in the Implementation Plan for planning and budget purposes, but was not included in the Opinion of Probable Cost for Land and Improvements.

#### Year 3 - Pay As You Go

٠	City-wide Master Stormwater Planning
	(Partial)
٠	Land and Easement Acquisition
	Mill Creek Main Channel -
	Pond 1 - 18th Street Detention Basin (Partial) 100,000
•	Project Costs -
	Engineering, Soils, Surveys, Property Descriptions and Sketches, Maintenance Mapping, Construction Services, Inspection, Administrative, Legal, etc. (20%)
•	Construction
	Mill Creek
	Nome in Year 3
	<u>Cloud Branch - Main Channel</u>
	Piping from South of 13th Street to Coastline Park and local improvements at 13th, 12th, 11th, Poplar and Stb Streets
	Poplar and 8th Streets 378,282   Construction Contingency (15%) 56,742
Tota]	Year 3

Note: The "City-wide Master Stormwater Planning" item is shown in the Implementation Plan for planning and budget purposes, but was not included in the Opinion of Probable Cost for Land and Improvements.

#### Years 4, 5, 6 and 7 - \$3.2 Million Revenue Bond Issue

٠	Land and Easement Acquisition
	(Partial)
٠	Project Costs ~
	Engineering, Soils, Surveys, Property Descriptions and Sketches, Maintenance Mapping, Construction

#### 

#### Construction

Total

)

#### Mill Creek

Piping Main Channel from South of 13th Street			
to 18th Street	•		\$ 668,200
18th Street Piping Modifications	-	-	15,000
Channel Safety Fencing (Partial)	•		20,000
Pond 1 Detention Basin South			• • • • •
of 18th Street			902.250
Construction Contingency (15%)			
Cloud Branch			
Safety Fencing (Final)			
Construction Contingency (15%)	•	•	3,000
Years 4, 5, 6 and 7		•	\$ 3,243,120

#### Years 8, 9, 10 and 11 - \$2.7 Million Revenue Bond Issue

٠	Land and Basement Acquisition
	(Final)
•	Project Costs -
	Engineering, Soils, Surveys, Property Descriptions and Sketches, Maintenance Mapping, Construction Services, Inspection, Administrative,
	Legal, etc. (20%)

#### Construction •

#### Mill Creek

Upgrading of Culverts at 20th Street and	
Goldsboro School	172,700
Backfilling Ditch from 20th Street to 18th Street	20,000
Channel Safety Fencing (Partial)	20,000
Channel Improvements and Brosion Protection (Final)	100,000
Culvert Crossing into 18th Street Detention Basin	100,500
Construction Contingency (15%)	61,980
Cloud Branch	
Pond 4A and 4B - Detention Basin	
at Coastline Park	484,800
Coastline Park Equalization System	197,000
Channel Improvements and Brosion Protection (Partial) .	70,400
Pond 6 - Detention Basin at Pinehurst Park	442,500
Construction Contingency (15%)	179,250
Total Years 8, 9, 10 and 11	,742,025

-37-

#### Years 12, 13, 14 and 15 - \$2.5 Million Revenue Bond Issue

•	Land and Easement Acquisition	
	(Previously Acquired)	0
•	Project Costs -	
	Engineering, Soils, Surveys, Property Descriptions and Sketches, Maintenance Mapping, Construction Services, Inspection, Administrative, Legal, etc. (20%)	08,931

#### Construction

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### Mill Creek

Regrading/Redirection/Culvert Crossing of Channel	
<pre>@ RR/McKee Area - Tributary C</pre>	47,500
Pond 2 - McCracken Road Detention Site	711,600
Tributary A North side Detention System	276,360
Upgrading Meisch Road Culvert - Tributary A	22,900
Upgrading Bevier Road Culvert - Tributary A	22,900
Upgrading Airport Blvd Culvert - Tributary B	33,500
Upgrading Persimmon Avenue Culvert - Tributary B	37,500
Upgrading 8th Street Culvert - Tributary B	38,350
Channel Improvements and Erosion Protection (Partial) .	170,250
Construction Contingency (15%)	204,153

#### Cloud Branch

Lake	and Rou	undtree	•		• •	•	٠		٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	\$ 196,241
14th Sty	eet and	l Roundt	ree	e Cro	881I	ıg	•	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41,600
16th Str	eet Cro	ssing				-	-		-	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	27,600
Upgradi	g Lake	Avenue	Cul	lvert		•		•			•		-		-	•		42,350
Upgradi																		15,000
Culvert																		24,150
Channel																		70,000
																		62.541

#### MILL CREEK/CLOUD BRANCH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM **IMPROVEMENTS**

#### CONCEPTUAL PERMIT APPLICATION TO ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION

DECEMBER 1991

Brother 6 Gallie

1205COV.TLB

i.

٩.

CPH Project No. \$8607.02

#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION

1206cov.tlb

[ [

[

 $\mathbf{J}_{1}$ 

[]

#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

1.19

#### NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION

[		Pursuant to Section 373.413, Florids Statutes, and Chapter 40C-4, Florida Administrative Code, the applicant is required to provide the following information for the purpose of public notice. Failure to provide all information will result in an incomplete application. This information is in addition to that required in other portions of the application form.
[		Five copies of this form and all attachments must be submitted.
[	This	section will be completed by the District.
r.		Application Rumber:
		Date of hearing. If any :
г		Earliest possible date for agency action:
L		Date to be poited: Date to be removed:
Γ		Written objections must filed by:
ί		
[ r	1.	Attach a location map, showing the boundary of the proposed activity and its relationship to any other portions of the project. Map size must be no larger than 11° by 17° referenced to Section, Township, and Range. Map scale must be 1° - 2000° (plot on USCS quad maps). Attach multiple sheets, if necessary. See Maps 1 and 2 attached and description of project area.
l	2.	Attach a depiction (plan) of the work, works, dans, impoundments, stormatter
F		
ξ.		scale should be sufficient to show location and type of works (at least scale should be sufficient to show location and type of works (at least 1° = 2000', (plot on USGS quad). Attach multiple sheets, if necessary. See Maps 3 and 4 and additional response of <u>Description of Proposed Project</u> .
<b>r</b> -		and the second of the second s
L	3.	
r		excavated, or otherwise impleted by the proposed sheet for additional response on system: 3.18 ac See separate sheet for additional response on Wetland Areas and also see Maps No. 9 and 10.
ł.	4.	Provide a brief statement describing any period and plagse refer to Maps 9 and 10
r.		
L.		involved or to be affected so mitigation should not be a summaries to 1/1000
r		addrassed are the sensitive
L	5.	mitigation, if required, write be addressed at their the requires addressed that Provide the names of all streams, lakes, wetlands, or other watercourses that are proposed to be impounded, diverted, drained, discharged into (either are proposed to be impounded, diverted, drained, discharged into (either
r ·		are proposed to be impounded, diverted, diverted, by the proposed activity:
L		NiT Creek, Cloud, Branch and Lake Monroe, See about the address and the
<b>r</b> .		Warlands areas areas the source of any water to be contained on size: stormwater runoff : Indicate the source of any water to be contained on size: stormwater runoff :
	6.	
		the use to be made of the water and any other ilmitation thereon:
L		
Γ.		Persons interested in the above described application should contact the St.
i.		Persons interested in the above described approximately, Palatka, Florida Johns River Water Hanagement District at P. O. Box 1429, Palatka, Florida 32178-1429, or in person at its office on State Highway 100 West, Palatka,
-		
		later than the date specified above. Written dujettions of the objection
·		
		to the Board for consideration prior to the pusie tentile one to a Chepter
		The stand of the s
		the state of the s
		substantial interests all actions any obtain an administrative hearing (see intended action or final action may obtain an administrative hearing (see
		of such petitions are set forth in Chapter 40C-1, P.A.C., Perts I and V.
		and the second

•

.

District Förm 400-1.181(4) (3) of (3)

1.125 ..... (1) King a first heater a constrained by and constrained with a substance. nen bei eine eine eine eine eine eine bester bester versteren Geberten. Benetzen eine eine eine eine eine eine eine besterte aufen biet die eine eine besterten. 1.1 or allefter of plants in a rock of helpin hugh plants on the bridship 2.1.2. 11 . · · · · · · · e english i sa Na Salata Sa

.

=

1 - 19**1** - 1925

#### Effective Date

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE MANAGEMENT AND STORAGE OF SURFACE WATERS (MSSM) REPORT (CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL)

GENERAL Fill in all spaces of application form. The phrase "Not Applicable" (N/A) should be used to indicate those questions which do not pertain to the proposed system. Include application fee and four sets of all necessary plans and calculations with this application form. This form is to be used for projects to be permitted under Conceptual Surface Water Vermits. Refer to Chapters 40C-4, 40C-40, 40C-41 for appropriate thresholds. The District will provide assistance in interpreting questions and in completing this form. You may also wish to schedule a preapplication conference prior to filling out this application.

OWNER Provide the mame, street address or post office box as appropriate, city or town, county, state, zip code and telephone number of the property owner. The owner is identified as the person or persons having title, deed or similar interest in said property.

APPLICANT Provide the applicant's name, street address or post office box as appropriate, city or town, county, state, zip code and talephone number. If the applicant is not the owner of the involved property, then a copy of the document indicating the right to submit this application must be attached to the application. The permit will be issued to the applicant.

CONSULTANT or ENGINEER When applicable, provide the name of the individual. engineering firm, or SCS District Conservationist who designed the project and who can be contacted regarding the application: include the name, address and telephone number. If the consultant or engineer is acting as the agent, please fill in the consultant or engineer section of the application, indicate the firm acting as the agent and leave the applicant's section blank.

ATTORNEY OF RECORD: When applicable provide the name of the individual or law firm who can be contacted regarding the application; include the address and telephone maker.

PROJECT INFORMATION Provide the have by which the project will be known. Provide the size of the project in acres. If the total land area owned differs from the project area, provide the size of the total land area owned in acres.

The location of the project is to be identified by the nearest city and the county where located. Section, township and range must be given. Identify the USIS Quadrangle (Quad) map on which the project is located.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT Describe the overall project scope: e.g., agricultural, multi-family, single family subdivision phase, etc. Attach extra sheets as necessary.

DESCRIPTION OF SURFACE WATER WORKS Describe the proposed surface water works; i.e., pumped system, retention, detention, curb and gutter. Attach extra sheets if necessary. Describe the proposed stormwater management system (water quality treatment), e.g., retention, detention with filtration scales, wet detention.

Provide the name of the water body which will receive discharge from the system. Indicate whether the discharge will be directly discharged to croundwater.

Provide date that construction is anticipated to begin and end. Designation of the month and year is sufficient.

If the application is for alteration of a penaitted system or for modification of permit conditions, provide existing permit number.

District Form 40C-1.181(4)

#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION

#### "ADDITIONAL RESPONSES TO NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION"



#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION

#### <u>Additional Responses</u> to "Notice of Receipt of Application"

#### Item 1: Description of Project Area:

The areas surrounding the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch water courses are currently thoroughly developed, economically depressed, and are mostly comprised of black and lower income housing, neighborhood commercial, railroad, and industrial land use (see Map Numbers 9 and 10). Repeated, hazardous flooding problems exist in these areas because of the very inadequate drainage system. In many cases, homes and other structures are built right on the streams and ditches, and public health and safety has always been a major problem as has been regular flooding damage.

The following statements describe the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch Drainage areas and pertiment factors affecting the project:

- The area is an old, existing, minority, completely developed area with relatively little undeveloped or vacant land
- The area has severe drainage and flooding problems, a generally high water table, and poor soils conditions
- Right-of-ways and land required for most of the existing and proposed improvements are not in public ownership
- The project is a maintenance and upgrading (retrofitting) of a highly deficient, existing public system which is an entirely different circumstance than an all new, private enterprise land development and should not be subject to strict enforcement of the same rules and criteria
- The people of the area were seriously flooded on July 13, 1991 and have called for improvements by means of committees, petitions, appearances before City Commission, and in the newspapers

- Any solution of the problem involves extremely high cost
- Very limited fund availability for this and other drainage projects
- The City has just implemented a stornwater utility with a charge of \$3/ERU, but drainage improvements are needed throughout the City. The \$3 charge will not completely fund the needed Mill Creek / Cloud Branch improvements, let alone those needed throughout the City, but it is a start at a new funding source

Project location and topographic maps for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins are presented in Map Numbers 1 and 2, respectively.

#### Item 2: Description of Proposed Project:

Master Stormwater Management Plans for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins are presented in Map Numbers 3 and 4, respectively.

The project consists of providing maintenance and improvements to the existing, open drainage conveyances of Mill Creek and Cloud Branch through a developed, urban area consisting of approximately 2,056 acres. The purpose is to alleviate flooding, eliminate property damage and protect the health, safety, and welfare of the existing inhabitants and businesses. The proposed improvements include work on the existing systems, consisting of channel maintenance, cleaning anđ excavation; erosion protection; closing some open water courses that are in very close proximity to residences and buildings; providing drainage detention and control facilities; all in an already developed, low income, minority area. No new residential, commercial, industrial or governmental land development of any kind is included in this project. It is purely a governmental drainage and water management project to improve deplorable, existing conditions.

#### Item 3: Wetlands Areas:

The existing water courses of Mill Creek and Cloud Branch traverse very few wetlands areas as shown on Maps 9 and 10, taken from SCRWHD-Wetlands areas. Some maintenance, cleaning, channel enlargement and modifications in some of the areas will be required for hydraulic conveyance purposes. Most of the wetlands that now exist along these streams and ditches have been so drastically altered and disturbed that they are not effective wetlands any longer and are not so classified.

One of the main existing wetland areas is on Cloud Branch from north of 19th Street to about 14th Street. The intent is to use this wetland in its natural state as much as reasonably possible without major modifications, as it naturally provides a considerable water storage volume and serves other natural wetland purposes.

Wetlands within the Mill Creek/Cloud Branch Drainage Basins are presented in Table 3-1. They are classified as follows:

- 1. Forested Wetlands (FW)
- 2. Shrub Wetlands (SW)
- 3. Herbaceous Wetlands (HW)
- 4. Aquatic Wetlands (AW)
- 5. Disturbed Wetlands Within the Channel

In addition, Table 3-1 identifies the total area of wetlands which will be altered and/or impacted (via clearing and excavation) as a result of the proposed stormwater management for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins.

<u>Item 4:</u> Wetlands will be studied further and addressed in more detail as to jurisdiction, mitigation, effectiveness, etc., in <u>connection with individual</u> permits which will follow this conceptual permit.

#### Item 5: Wetland Areas Affected:

A long, narrow, unnamed wetland north of 19th Street and south of 14th Street on Cloud Branch is essentially to be left as is, but may be impacted to a small degree. Also, an unnamed wetland on Mill Creek, west and south of Goldsboro School and south of 18th Street, may be slightly impacted by the proposed detention facility planned just north of the wetland. Jurisdiction and affected wetlands and mitigation will be addressed in more detail in individual permits to follow.



## **TABLE 3-1**

# MILL CREEK AND CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASINS MASTER STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM **ON-SITE WETLANDS**

DRAINAGE BASIN	WETLAND TYPE	WETLAND Acreage (AC)	WETLANDS TO REMAIN (AC)	WETLANDS TO BE FILLED (AC)	BE EXCAVATED (AC)	AREA TO BE IMPACTED (AC)
MILL CREEK	Forested Wetlands (FW)	6.51	6.51	0.00	00.00	0.00
	Herbaceous Wetlands (NW)	7.80	5.38	0.00	2.42	2.42
	Aquatic Wetlands (AW)	1.94	1.94	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Disturbed Wetlands Within the Channel*	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.57
	Total Wetland Acreage (AC)	16.82	13.83	00.0	2.99	2.99
CLOUD BRANCH	Forested Wetlands (FW)	3.07	3.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Shrub Wetlands (SW)	5.64	5.64	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Disturbed Wetlands Within the Channel*	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19
	Total Wetland Acreage (AC)	8.90	8.71	0.00	0.19	0.19

\* Disturbed wetlands within channel estimated based on aerial photography, limited field reconnaissance, and City documentation.

Refer to Map No. 9 (Mill Creek) and Map No. 10 (Cloud Branch) which identify land uses and wetlands within the project boundaries. NOTE :

#### Item 6: Volume of Water to Be Completed On-Site:

The Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins encompass approximately 1,392 acres and 664 acres respectively (2,056 acres total). Approximately 40 acres are proposed for storage (detention) providing 96.1 acre-feet of storage (25-year/6-hour storm event) in the Mill Creek Drainage Basin.

The Cloud Branch Drainage Basin consists of areas of natural storage as well as proposed detention facilities. The proposed detention facilities and natural storage areas account for approximately 9.4 acres and 4.0 acres, respectively. The two systems provide a combined 39.6 acre-feet of storage (25-year/6-hour storm event) within the Cloud Branch Basin.

The water is being stored to attenuate peak flows and to provide pollution abatement.

millclou.tlb

### MAPS

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### MAPS

The following supplemental information is being provided, in graphical form, as part of the "Notice of Receipt of Application":

Map No.	Map Description
1	Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Project Location & Topo Map
. 2	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Project Location & Topo Map
3	Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Proposed Improvements
4	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Proposed Improvements
5	Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Flood Prone Map
6	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Flood Prone Map
7	Mill Creek Drainage Basin: S.C.S. Soils Map (1966)
8	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: S.C.S. Soils Map (1966)
9	Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Land Use Map With Wetlands
10	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Land Use Map With Wetlands







..

















ENGINEERS, INC. 500 W. FULTON STREET POST OFFICE BOX 2808 SANFORD, FLORIDA 32772-2808 TEL 407-322-6841 TEL 407-831-5717 FAX #407-330-0639

December 16, 1991

Mr. David Dewey St. Johns River Water Mgmt. Dist. 618 E. South Street, Suite 200 Orlando, FL 32801

Dear Mr. Dewey:

Enclosed is an application for a conceptual permit for the Sanford Mill Creek/Cloud Branch major drainage program that we have been discussing with you, Hal Wilkening, Joan Budzinski, and Rod Pakzadian.

The submittal package includes the following items:

- Notice of Receipt of Application form and attachments. 1.
- 2. Management and Storage of Surface Waters Conceptual Approval form and attachments, included in the loose-leaf notebook with narrative and backup information supporting the application.

We, City Staff, and Officials will be pleased to meet with you or St. Johns River Water Mgmt. Dist. Staff and/or Board to pursue the matter further and supply any additional information or explanations as may be required. We appreciate your assistance in meeting with us on this matter and look forward to your review and approval of this conceptual permit and the subsequent construction permits for this very needed system improvement.

Sincerely,

CONKLIN, PORTER AND HOLMES ENGINEERS, INC.

Bristol C. Conklin, P.E. Executive Vice President

BCC/tlb

Enclosures

1024ltr5.tlb

#### MILL CREEK/CLOUD BRANCH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

#### CONCEPTUAL PERMIT APPLICATION TO ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CONKLIN, PORTER & HOLMES - ENGINEERS, INC. P.O. Box 2808 Sanford, FL 32772-2808

- .

DECEMBER 1991

Bruntol 2/6/91

CPH Project No. S0607.02

#### MILL CREEK/CLOUD BRANCH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

#### CONCEPTUAL PERMIT APPLICATION

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Application
- 2. Introduction and Required Site and System Design Information
- 3. Supplementary Information Booklet
- 4. Appendices

Appendix 1: Mill Creek Drainage Basin: 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)

- Appendix 2: Mill Creek Drainage Basin: 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
- Appendix 3: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
- Appendix 4: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
- Appendix 5: Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Hydraulic Input Data - 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event
- Appendix 6: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Hydraulic Input Data - 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event

- 5. Maps
  - Map No. 1 Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Project Location & Topo Map
  - Map No. 2 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Project Location & Topo Map
  - Map No. 3 Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Proposed Improvements
  - Map No. 4 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Proposed Improvements
  - Map No. 5 Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Flood Prone Map
  - Map No. 6 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Flood Prone Map
  - Map No. 7 Mill Creek Drainage Basin: S.C.S. Soils Map (1966)
  - Map No. 8 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: S.C.S. Soils Map (1966)
  - Map No. 9 Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Land Use Map With Wetlands
  - Map No. 10 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Land Use Map With Wetlands
  - 6. Map Pockets
    - Map Pocket A: Mill Creek Drainage Basin: Topographic Map With System Boundaries and Proposed Stormwater Management System Improvements
    - Map Pocket B: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin: Topographic Map With System Boundaries and Proposed Stormwater Management System Improvements
    - Map Pocket C: Overall System Aerial/Wetlands Map
    - Map Pocket D: Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Pre-Condition): Nodal Diagram With Water Surface Elevations and Associated Flows - 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event
    - Map Pocket E: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Pre-Condition): Nodal Diagram With Water Surface Elevations and Associated Flows - 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event

- Map Pocket F: Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Pre-Condition): Nodal Diagram With Water Surface Elevations and Associated Flows - 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event
- Map Pocket G: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Pre-Condition): Nodal Diagram With Water Surface Elevations and Associated Flows - 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event
- Map Pocket H: Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Post-Condition): Nodal Diagram With Water Surface Elevations and Associated Flows - 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event
- Map Pocket I: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Post-Condition): Nodal Diagram With Water Surface Elevations and Associated Flows - 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event
- Map Pocket J: Mill Creek Drainage Basin (Post-Condition): Nodal Diagram Indicating Performance of the Proposed 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Stormwater Management Facilities Under a 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event
- Map Pocket K: Cloud Branch Drainage Basin (Post-Condition): Nodal Diagram Indicating Performance of the Proposed 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Stormwater Management Facilities Under a 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event

table.con

Effective Date

#### te MANAGEMENT AND STORAGE OF SURFACE WATERS CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL

CHAPTER 40C-4, CHAPTER 40C-40, CHAPTER 40C-41, F.A.C.

ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGMENT DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DIVISION OF RECORDS P.O. BOX 1429 PALATKA, FLORIDA 32178-1429 NUMBER FEE RECEIVED ASSIGNED REVIEWERS PROJECTED DATE OF ISSUANCE

Please type of print with <u>BLACK</u> hall point pen. Read <u>ALL</u> instructions on the back of this speet before completing application. Complete necessary data sheets attached.

APPLICATION IS FOR: X\_CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL \_\_\_\_ RE-ISSUANCE \_\_\_\_ MODIFICATION

OWNER			
Name of Owner:	City of Sanford		
Address:	P.O. Box 1788		
City:	Sanford	County: <u>Seminole</u>	
State:	Florida 2ip Code	: 32772-1788 Telephone No. : (407)	330-5600-

APPLICANT/ENTITY T	O RECEIVE PERMIT		
Name of Applicant:	City of Sanford		
Address:	Same as Above		
City:		County:	
State:	Zip Code	:	Telephone No.:

CAESULTANT OR EAGINEER OR SCS DISTRICT CONSERVATIONIST

Name of firm: Conkilla,	Forter and nomes-cugineers, the
Name of Firm Contact:	Bristol C. Conklin, P.E.
Address:	P.O. Box 2808
City:	Sanford County: Seminole
State: Florida	2ip Code: 32772-2808 Telephone No.: (407) 322-6841

ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Name of Firm: Stenstrom, McIntosh, Colt	pert, Whigham
Name of Firm Contact: Bill Colbert	
Address: P.O. Box 1330	
City Sanford C	ounty: Seminole
State: Florida 2ip Code:	32771 Telephone No.: (407) 322-2171

PROJECT INFORMATION

Name of Project: Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Improvements U.S.G.S. Topo Quad Map: Sanford 1392 Mill Creek County: Seminole Project Acreage: 664 Cld Br Total Acreage Owned: N/A Section: 39 Township: 195 Range: 31E Description of Project: Make drainage and detention improvements on existing Cloud Branch and Mill Creek to alleviate flooding, eliminate property damage and protect the health safety and welfare of the existing inhabitants, businesses, and industry. Please see attached booklet for further description. Description of Proposed Surface Water Works: Channel maintenance, Cleaning, and excavation: erosion protection; closing drainage detention and control facilities; residences and buildings: providing drainage detention and control facilities; Water Course/Water Body Most Affected: Mill Creek, Cloud Branch, and Lake Monroe. Date Construction is for Alteration of Existing Permit, Give Permit Namber: N/A

(Include Information Required on Attached Sheets)

#### OTHER

(

Name and Address of Proposed Operation/Maintenance Entity: City of Sanford Have You Had A Pre-Application Conference With District Staff? Yes X No Date: 08/21/91 With Whom? Hal Wilkening, Joan Budzinski, Rod Pakzadian

Has a Conservation Plan Been Approved by the Local SMCD? NO

• .
Has Stormwater Permit or Exemption Been Granted?\_\_\_\_ Has Stormwater Permit or Exemption Been Granted?<u>No</u>If So, Give No.: Have any Wetland Resource/Dredge and Fill Permits, Authorizations, or Exemptions Been Granted?<u>No</u>If so, Give Nos. and Agencies:

\*\* Issuance of Permit Does Not Preclude Responsibility of Applicant to Obtain All Necessary Federal, State, Local Permits \*\* ....

In compliance with the provisions of Chpater 373, Florida Statutes, 1973, and applicable rules and regulations of St. Johns River Water Management District, application is hereby made for a permit as identified above, and in accordance with support data and incidental information filed with this application and made a part thereof. CITY OF SANFORD W. A. Simmons, City Manager

Applicant's Name (please print)

Applicant's Signature

191 Date

If person other than applicant has completed this form, that person certifies by his signature below that he is acting as an authorized agent of the applicant and his signature will be certification that he is in fact the authorized agent. 11

		· · ·	
Agent's Name (please print)	Agent's Signature	in f	Date
		. •.	
		•	
•		T	
		:	
2 <sup>9</sup> -			
en de la companya de			
		1.6	
	·		
		- ;	
		.: •	
			:
	•	1	

ĩ.

District Form 40C-1.181(4) (2) of (3)

4

Effective Date

(

(

### MANAGEMENT AND STORAGE OF SURFACE WATERS CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL

REQUIRED SITE AND SYSTEM DESIGN INFORMATION

A. Maps

1. A general location map.

- A recent aerial map(s), legible for photo interpretation with a scale no smaller then 1" = 800', of the site with project boundaries and any on-site wetlands delineated.
- 3. A topographic map with project boundaries shown. Delineate 100year flood prone areas (including hurricane flood zones) and indicate major land surface features.
- A current land use map.
- 5. A soils map of the site.
- 6. A master drainage plan for the site showing: the location of water bodies and proposed size, side slopes and depths; the location of receiving water bodies; the location of proposed control structures; and the drainage basis boundaries for the site.
- 7. A master stormwater management system plan for the site.
- 8. A master development plan for the site.
- B. Environment and Natural Resources: Land
  - 1. Where the degree of limitations are very severe or moderate for a particular soil, discuss how each of these limitations will be overcome, and what site alterations will be overcome, and what site alterations will be necessary.
  - 2. What steps will be taken during construction and operation and maintenance at full development to prevent or control damage from wind and water soil erosion and sedimentation? Include a description of proposed plans for clearing and grading as related to erosion control.
  - 3. Describe any unique geologic features of the site, and discuss what aspects of the site plan will be used to compensate for or take advantage of these.
- C. Environment and Natural Resources: Water
  - 1. Describe the existing hydrologic conditions (surface water) on and abutting the site, including identification and discussion of any potential aquifer recharge areas.
  - Describe in terms of appropriate water quality parameters the existing ground and surface water quality conditions on and abutting the site which will be affected by this development.
- D. Environment and Natural Resources: Wetlands
  - 1. How many acres of wetlands, as defined in section 16.0, Applicant's Handbook, are found on the site?
  - 2. What alterations or disturbances, including clearing, draining, excavating, or filling will occur to the wetlands?

OTHER Provide the name, address, and documentation of the entity which will operate and maintain the system. This applies o all projects including subdivision projects.

1

SIGNATURE The bottom of the application is for the applicant's and/or the agent's printed name, original signature and date.

- 3. What wetlands will be preserved in their natural or existing state?
- 4. What, if any, mitigation is proposed to compensate for encroachment into wetlands?
- E. Environment and Natural Resources: Floodplains
  - 1. Is any development proposed within the 100-year flood prome area as identified by the National Flood Insurance Program?
  - 2. Quantify the encroachment volume within the 10 year floodplain. Describe any compensating storage to be provided.
  - 3. Describe all traversing works and other encroachment within floodways.
- F. Public Facilities: Drainage

ŧ

(

- Describe the various elements of the proposed drainage system shown on the master drainage plan and discuss the design capacity criteria to be used for the various elements. Include information as to what design (e.g. 10 year - 24-hour, 25 - year 24 hour, etc.) will be used for what portions of the system.
- 2. From the master drainage plan, indicate the total number of acres in each drainage area, and specify the acreage of any portions of drainage areas outside the site boundaries. Indicate the total acreage and storage capacity of proposed retention areas, and the total acres of impervious surfaces proposed.
- 3. Specify and compare the volume and quality of runoff from the site in its existing condition to the anticipated runoff at the end of each phase of development. Indicate what provisions will be incorporated in the design of the drainage system to minimize any degradation of water quality in the ultimate receiving body from that occurring in its pre-development state. Indicate the major points of discharge for storm water.
- 4. Specify who will operate and maintain the drainage system after completion of the development.
- G. Design Analysis including:
  - 1. Pre-development and post-development drainage calculations as follows:
    - Runoff characteristics, including area, runoff curve number or runoff coefficient and time of concentration for each hydrologic basin
    - b. Water table elevations (normal and average wet season) including aerial extent and magnitude of water table drawdown
    - c. Receiving water elevations (normal, wet season, design storm)
    - d. Design storms used including duration, frequency, and time distribution
    - e. Runoff hydrograph(s) for each basin (for all required design storm event(s))
    - f. Stage-storage computations for any storage area such as a reservoir, detention area or channel storage, used in storage routing
    - g. Stage discharge computations for any storage areas at a selected control point, such as structure control or natural restriction
    - h. Flood routings through on-site conveyance and storage areas
    - i. Water surface profiles in the primary drainage system for each required design storm event(s)

District Form (00-1.181(4) (4) of (5)

.

- j. Runoff peak rates and volumes discharged from the system for each required design storm event(s)
- 2. A description of the methodology, assumptions and references for the parameters listed in 3 above and a copy of all such computations, engineering plans and specifications used to analyze the system. If a computer program is used for the analysis, provide the name and description of the program.
- 3. Provide a description of the proposed stommater treatment methodology including type of treatment, pollution abatement volumes and analysis of recovery.
- 4. Provide a discussion of the proposed construction schedule, including the sequence of any phases.

H. Water Use

- Will the surface water system be used for water supply, including landscape irrigation, recreation, etc.?
- 2. Are there proposed groundwater withdrawals which will discharge into surface waters? If so, from what hydrogeologic zone?

### MILL CREEK/CLOUD BRANCH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

### CONCEPTUAL PERMIT APPLICATION TO ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

### INTRODUCTION AND REQUIRED SITE AND SYSTEM DESIGN INFORMATION

DECEMBER 1991

CPH Project No. S0607.02

### MILL CREEK/CLOUD BRANCH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

### CONCEPTUAL PERMIT APPLICATION: INTRODUCTION AND REQUIRED SITE AND SYSTEM DESIGN INFORMATION

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

		<u>Page #</u>
INT	RODUCTION	l
REQ	UIRED SITE AND SYSTEM DESIGN INFORMATION	3
Α.	MAPS	3
B.	ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES: LAND	3
	<ol> <li>Degree of Limitations of the Soil</li> <li>Erosion Control</li> <li>Unique Geologic Features</li> </ol>	3 4 4
c.	ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES: WATER	5
	<ol> <li>Existing Hydrologic Conditions</li> <li>Water Quality Parameters</li> </ol>	5 5
D.	ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES: WETLANDS	5
	14. Wetland Areas Affected	5
E.	ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES: FLOODPLAINS	6
	1. Proposed Development in Floodplains Area	6
	<ol> <li>Encroachment Volume in Floodplains and Compensating Storage</li> </ol>	6
	3. Proposed Traversing Works or Other Encroachment:	<b>s</b> 6

F.	PUBI	LIC FACILITIES: DRAINAGE	6
	1. 2. 3. 4.	Description of Drainage System Elements Drainage Area Size Amount and Quality of Runoff (Pre/Post) Operator/Owner	6 7 7 9
G.	DESI	IGN ANALYSIS	9
	1.	Pre-Development and Post-Development Drainage Calculations	9
		<ul> <li>a. Runoff Characteristics</li> <li>b. Water Table Elevations</li> <li>c. Receiving Water Elevations</li> <li>d. Design Storms</li> <li>e. Runoff Hydrographs</li> <li>f. Stage-Area Computations</li> <li>gj. Hydraulic Analyses and Results of Flood Routing</li> </ul>	9 9 10 10 10 11
	2.	Engineering Decision Methodology	12
		a. S.C.S. Unit Hydrograph Method	12
		b. Time of Concentration	13
		c. Lag Time	13
		d. Directly Connected Impervious Area (% DCIA)	14
		e. S.C.S. Unit Hydrograph Shape/Peaking Factor	14
		f. S.C.S. Curve Number Determination	14
		g. Hydraulic Analyses of the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins - Advanced Interconnected Pond Routing Model (AdICPR)	15
	з.	Stormwater Treatment Methodology	15
	4.	Proposed Construction Schedule	16
H.	WAT	ER USE	16
	1. 2.	Use of Surface Water Ground Water Withdrawals	16 17

.

.

TABLEZ.CON

### LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table Number</u>	Title	<u>Page #</u>
D-1	Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins Master Stormwater Management System On-Site Wetlands	18
G-1	Mill Creek Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Pre-Condition	19
G-2	Mill Creek Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Post Condition	21
G-3	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Pre-Condition	23
G-4	Mill Creek Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Post Condition	24
G-5	Mill Creek Drainage Basin Estimated Physical Soil Properties: S.C.S. Soil Survey of Seminole County (1966)	25
G-6	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin Estimated Physical Soil Properties: S.C.S. Soil Survey of Seminole County (1966)	27
G-7	Lake Monroe Seasonal Water Surface Elevations	28
G−8 ∷	Seminole County 25-Year Frequency/ 6-Hour Duration Rainfall Distribution	29
G-9	Soil Conservation Service (S.C.S.) 25-Year Frequency/24-Hour Duration Typ III Rainfall Distribution	30 e
G-10	Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins Stage - Area Relationships: Pre-Condition	32
G-11	Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins Stage - Area Relationships: Post Condition	33
G-12	Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition	34

### LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page #</u>
G-13	Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition	36
G-14	Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Post Condition	38
G-15	Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results Post Condition	40 :
G-16	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition	42
G-17	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results Pre-Condition	44 :
G-18	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Post Condition	46
G-19	Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/ 24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results Post Condition	47

TABLE2.CON

### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT CONCEPTUAL PERMIT

### INTRODUCTION

Description of project area. The areas surrounding the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch water courses are currently thoroughly developed, economically depressed, and are mostly comprised of black and lower income housing, neighborhood commercial, railroad, and industrial land use (see Map Numbers 9 and 10). Repeated, hazardous flooding problems exist in these areas because of the very inadequate drainage system. In many cases, homes and other structures are built right on the streams and ditches, and public health and safety has always been a major problem as has been regular flooding damage.

The following statements describe the Mill Creek / Cloud Branch Drainage areas and pertinent factors affecting the project:

- The area is an old, developed area with relatively little undeveloped or vacant land
- The area has severe drainage and flooding problems. It has a generally high water table, and poor soils conditions
- Right-of-ways and land required for most of the existing and proposed improvements are not in public ownership and will have to be obtained.
- The project is a maintenance and upgrading (retrofitting) of a highly deficient, existing public system. This is an entirely different circumstance than an all new, private enterprise land development. This case should not be subject to strict enforcement of the same rules and criteria as a new private land development project
- The people of the area were seriously flooded on July 13, 1991 and many previous times. They have called for improvements by means of committees, petitions, appearances before City Commission and in the newspapers
- Any solution of the problem involves extremely high cost
- Very limited fund availability for this and other City drainage projects

• The City has just implemented a stormwater utility with a charge of \$3/ERU, but drainage improvements are needed throughout the City. The \$3 charge will provide very little funding for the needed Mill Creek / Cloud Branch improvements, let alone those needed throughout the City. However, it is a start at a new funding source.

Description of proposed project. The project consists of providing maintenance and improvements to the existing, open drainage conveyances of Mill Creek and Cloud Branch through a developed, urban area consisting of approximately 2,056 acres. The purpose is to alleviate flooding, eliminate property damage and protect the health, safety and welfare of the existing inhabitants and businesses. The proposed improvements include work on the existing systems, consisting of channel maintenance, cleaning and excavation; erosion protection; closing some open water courses that are in very close proximity to residences and buildings; providing drainage detention and control facilities; all in an already developed, low income, minority area. residential, commercial, No new industrial or governmental land development of any kind is included in this project. It is purely a governmental drainage and water management project to improve deplorable, existing conditions.

Wetlands areas. The existing water courses of Mill Creek and Cloud Branch traverse very few wetlands areas as shown in Map Numbers 9 and 10, taken from SJRWMD Wetlands Maps. Some maintenance, cleaning, channel enlargement and modifications in some of the areas will be required for hydraulic conveyance purposes. Most of the wetlands that now exist along these streams and ditches have been so drastically altered and disturbed that they are not effective wetlands any longer and are not so classified.

One of the main existing wetland areas is on Cloud Branch from north of 19th Street to about 14th Street. The intent is to use this wetlands in its natural state as much as reasonably possible without major modifications, as it naturally provides a considerable water storage volume and serves other natural wetland purposes.

Wetlands will be studied further and addressed in more detail as to jurisdiction, effectiveness, etc., in connection with individual permits which will follow this conceptual permit.

### REQUIRED SITE AND SYSTEM DESIGN INFORMATION

- A. Maps
  - 1. General Location Map See Map Numbers 1 and 2.
  - Recent Aerial Map with Project Boundaries and On-site Wetlands - See Map Pocket C.
  - Topographic Map With Project Boundaries Shown and Flood Prone Areas - See Map Numbers 1, 2, 5, 6 and Map Pockets A & B.
  - 4. Current Land Use Map See Maps Numbers 9 and 10.
  - 5. Seminole County S.C.S. Soils Map (1966) See Map Numbers 7 and 8.
  - A Master Drainage Plan See Map Numbers 3 and 4 and Map Pockets A and B.
  - 7. A Master Stormwater Management System Plan See Map Pockets A, B, H, and I (Nodal Diagrams with structures shown for 25-year/6-hour storm) and booklet enclosed.
  - 8. Master Development Plan for the Site No residential, commercial, industrial, or governmental developments are involved in the plan. Drainage improvements of an existing main City drainage system is all that is involved.

### B. Environment and Natural Resources: Land

- <u>Degree of limitations of the soil</u>. Referring to Map Numbers 7 and 8 and Tables G-5 and G-6 taken from USDA, S.C.S. Soil Survey for Seminole County (1966), it can be seen that the soil types are generally :
  - Leon Fine Sand (LfA)
  - Blanton Fine Sand (BnB)
  - St. Johns Fine Sand (Sa)
  - Delray Fine Sand (DF)
  - Immokalee Fine Sand (Im)

The drainage areas are generally moderately poor soils with high water table and moderate to severe limitations.

The soils limitations will be overcome by various means. The program of improving the drainage by the systems described in the maps and elsewhere in the booklet will in themselves convey local runoff away from the peoples' homes, residences, streets, and buildings. This will alleviate standing water in those areas and provide better surface drainage. Water storage will be in planned detention areas rather than in yards, streets, and buildings. The detention will attenuate peak flows and reduce erosion. Erodibility limitation of the soils are discussed in the following Item 2.

High groundwater is another soil limitation which will be mitigated and lowered by the project.

The improved drainage system will in itself lower the high groundwater table locally around these main conveyances and allow improved lateral drainage systems along the streets (not a part of this project) to be connected in order to manage the surface water runoff and control the high groundwater better through much of the basins.

- 2. Erosion control. See Map Numbers 3 and 4 and Map Pockets A and B. During construction, silt screens will be used in the streams downstream of the maintenance cleaning and excavation work or other construction. Detention sites will be constructed offline with staked hay bales and silt fences being utilized. Seeding, grassing, and sodding will be extensively used both as temporary construction and permanent erosion control measures. Detention sites will be completed as side channels basins and completely stabilized sodded and grassed before water is directed onto them. The detention basins will reduce the peak rates of discharge and reduce erosion by producing lesser velocities. The design throughout will be accomplished to control velocities and reduce erosion. Special erosion protection will be provided around all structures. Grades and side slopes will be flattened wherever possible to minimize erosion and facilitate maintenance. Most of the existing system does not have easements or right-of-ways and a major part of this program involves obtaining land right-ofways and easements which will facilitate future maintenance and thereby, reduce erosion.
- 3. <u>Unique geologic features</u>. There are no known unique geologic features of the site. Some few of the stream reaches are still natural and very attractive. These reaches will be preserved, stabilized, and enhanced.

-4-

### C. Environment and Natural Resources: Water

- 1. Existing hydrologic conditions. See Map Numbers 1 and 2, and Map Pockets A and B. The streams are old, relic channels in some reaches that still follow their original course though the area has been urbanized. Ιn. some areas, the streams or creeks have been modified and relocated as ditches around projects and to follow rectangular street patterns. This results in their paths being tortuous, convoluted, and unnatural. Some of the side channels or branches are agricultural or other drainage ditches that follow in from the outskirts along roads or along railroads. There is a base flow at all times caused by the lateral discharge of a high groundwater table into the streams and ditches. The base flow undoubtedly contains some minor amounts of man induced surface water runoff from various sources. The groundwater is high in all areas and the soils rather poor. The area adjacent to Lake Monroe (St. Johns River) essentially is a discharge area rather than a recharge area. There is a hardpan layer at 28" to 32" in much of the area which causes the surface rainfall entering the soil to move laterally along the impervious layer to a point of discharge at the creeks, streams, and ditches. The hardpan and fine silty and organic nature of the sands creates a high perched water table unless it is drained laterally. Essentially there are no good retention (percolation recharge to groundwater) sites in the basins because of previous urbanization and the high water table and rather poor soils. Detention basins are planned rather than retention facilities.
- 2. <u>Water Quality Parameters.</u> Refer to Section F.3 of this Design Information Package.

### D. Environment and Natural Resources: Wetlands

1.-4. <u>Wetland areas affected</u>. A long, narrow, unnamed wetlands north of 19th Street and south of 14th Street on Cloud Branch is essentially to be left as is, but may be impacted to a small degree. Also, an unnamed wetlands on Mill Creek, west and south of Goldsboro School and south of 18th Street, may be slightly impacted by the proposed detention facility planned just north of the wetland. Jurisdiction, wetlands, and mitigation will be addressed in more detail in individual permits to follow when more detailed stormwater management system improvement plans and wetland information is available. Wetlands within the

-5-

Mill Creek/Cloud Branch Drainage Basins are presented in Table D-1. They are classified as follows:

- 1. Forested Wetlands (FW)
- 2. Shrub Wetlands (SW)
- 3. Herbaceous Wetlands (HW)
- 4. Aquatic Wetlands (AW)
- 5. Disturbed Wetlands Within the Channel

In addition, the table identifies the total area of wetlands which will be altered and/or impacted (via clearing and excavation) as a result of the proposed stormwater management system for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins.

### E. Environment and Natural Resources: Floodplains

- 1. <u>Proposed development in floodplains area</u>. No development is proposed as a part of this project and therefore, no development is proposed in the 100-year flood prone area as identified by National Flood Insurance Program as shown in Map Numbers 5 and 6.
- 2. Encroachment volume in floodplains and compensating storage. Obviously there are existing encroachments in areas that currently flood or are flood prone but no new encroachments will be made. The purpose of this program is to provide better drainage and to remove the flooding of buildings and alleviate flooding in the yards and streets. Therefore, no compensating storage is proposed or appropriate.
- Proposed traversing works or other encroachments. No new traversing works or other encroachments within floodways are contemplated.

### F. Public Facilities: Drainage

See Map Numbers 3 and 4, and Map Pockets A and B for the proposed system.

 <u>Description of drainage system elements</u>. The various elements of the drainage system are shown on the above referenced Maps and Map Pockets and described in this booklet and the Supplementary Informational Booklet. The system is generally intended at this time to be designed for a 25-year/6-hour storm event (6-inches in 6 hours) for all internal improvements and meets the SJRWM District's pre/post discharge criteria at the point of discharge for the 25-year/24-hour storm event (agreed upon at pre-application meetings with local District Personnel and Hal Wilkening as a "measuring point" on each stream, immediately upstream of the confluence of Mill Creek and Cloud Branch.

 <u>Drainage area size</u>. The Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins encompass approximately 1,392 acres and 664 acres, respectively (2,056 acres total). Approximately 40 acres are proposed for storage (detention) providing approximately 96.1 acre-feet of storage (25-year/6-hour storm event) in the Mill Creek Drainage Basin.

The Cloud Branch Drainage Basin consists of areas of natural storage as well as proposed detention facilities. The proposed detention facilities and natural storage areas account for approximately 9.4 acres and 4.0 acres, respectively. The two systems provide a combined 39.6 acre-feet of storage (25-year/6-hour storm event) within the Cloud Branch Basin.

There is no significant amount of impervious area being created as the whole project is a drainage project and no land development is involved.

3. <u>Amount and quality of runoff (pre/post)</u>. The amount of runoff (peak rate) following implementation of these improvements (post-condition) will not exceed the predevelopment rate for either stream prior to the confluence of the two streams ("point of discharge") and their outfall to Lake Monroe. The analyses are based on routing a 25-year/24-hour storm through both the predeveloped and post-developed designed improvements for both basins.

The Nodal Diagrams and accompanying hydrologic/hydraulic results are presented in Map Pockets F, G, J, and K.

The summarized results at the point of discharge are as follows:

	<u>25-Year / 24-Hou</u> Hydrologic/Hydra	r Stor. ulic R	<u>m_Event</u> : <u>esults</u> :
	<u>Mill Creek</u>	<u>Cloud</u>	Branch
<u>Parameter</u>			
Pre-development condition flow (cfs):	2014	. :	829
Post-development condition flow (cfs):	1136	:	512

The quality of the runoff during base flow conditions (primarily lateral groundwater seepage) into the open streams and ditches is relatively clear (non turbid) in many stream reaches and has a pleasant appearance. The quality and turbidity will be slightly improved by the various improvements in the systems contemplated.

The quality of the runoff during rainfall events will be improved significantly for several reasons. R.O.W.'s will be obtained allowing the City to provide routine maintenance throughout the system. The primary source of pollution in the system is soil particle erosion.

With the banks of the streams flattened and grassed and other erosion protection in place throughout the system, as described in B.2 and a regular preventive maintenance program in place, soil erosion will be significantly reduced. Also, the water detention basins will provide some settling and pollution abatement. At selected locations, such as Coast Line Park and Pinehurst Park, the detention basins will be designed as wet detention facilities. They are proposed as unfenced, recreational, surface water amenities, as well as pollution abatement and peak attenuation facilities. In addition, "nonstructural" measures will be expanded, such as increased street cleaning, trash, and debris pick-up and removal, thereby improving water quality by decreasing the pollutant load reaching the streams.

Oil and trash skimmers will be provided on all basin outlets. Where systems are piped and junction structures provided at cross streets, the junction structures will have dual compartments thereby providing a pollution abatement function. Also, in the residential areas, tributary to the main through drainage systems, depressed grassed swales will be utilized with raised inlets connecting to the Mill Creek/Cloud Branch main system. These measures will provide additional pollution abatement in connection with the lateral drainage facilities not directly included in this main system program. At the present time, much of this lateral drainage is directly connected, piped, or flows over land as sheet flow, or by ditches to the system.

There will be no degradation of existing water guality. An improvement in water quality is expected.

The discharge point for both streams is Lake Monroe.

- 4. <u>Owner/operator</u>. The City of Sanford will operate and maintain the systems under their new (existing) stormwater utility organization and funding.
- G. Design Analysis:
  - 1. <u>Pre-development</u> and <u>Post-development</u> drainage <u>calculations</u>.
    - a. <u>Runoff Characteristics</u>. Runoff characteristics, including hydrologic basin number, basin area, S.C.S. runoff curve numbers and basin time of concentrations are presented in the following Tables:

Table No. Description

- G-1 Mill Creek Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Pre-Condition
- G-2 Mill Creek Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Post-Condition
- G-3 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Pre-Condition
- G-4 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin Summary of Pertinent Basin Data: Post-Condition

Runoff curve numbers and times of concentration for all basins within the study area were generated utilizing the methodologies outlined in <u>Urban</u> <u>Hydrology for Small Watershed</u>, S.C.S. Technical Release 55.

b. <u>Water Table Elevations.</u> Estimated Physical Soil Properties for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins are presented in Tables G-5 and G- 6, respectively. This information was extracted from the S.C.S. Soil Survey of Seminole County (1966) and includes soil type, description of soil, depth to seasonally high water table, permeability, and available moisture capacity.

- c. <u>Receiving Water Elevations</u>. The Mill Creek and Cloud Branch streams converge at the City of Sanford Water Reclamation Facility and outfall into Lake Monroe. Seasonal water surface elevations for Lake Monroe are presented in Table G-7 for calendar years 1982 through 1990. The data was supplied by the U.S. Department of the Interior-Geologic Survey.
- d. Design Storms. Stornwater facilities required for the adequate conveyance of rainfall induced runoff varies greatly, dependent upon the size of the area, site topography, soil types, antecedent moisture conditions, depressional storage, and level of protection desired. Protection against a storm of reasonable magnitude is essential when considering the effects of flooding on both residential and commercial properties. In addition, the selection of design rainfall criteria results from an evaluation of the economic balance between the cost of the stormwater management system and the benefit derived from the level of protection it provides. An economic evaluation of the two design storm events (25-year/6-hour and 25year/24-hour) is presented in the supplemental information booklet (Section VI). Therefore, based on previous studies, the "incremental" difference in the level of protection provided by the design storm events an economic evaluation, and a rigorous evaluation of the storm events, internal improvements to both the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins have been designed based on a 25-year frequency/6-hour duration storm event (Seminole County). In addition, in order to meet the SJRWMD's pre/post discharge criteria, a 25-year frequency/24-hour duration storm event was routed through the proposed 25-year / 6-hour post condition improvements. Distributions for both storm event are presented in Tables G-8 and G-9.
- e. <u>Runoff Hydrographs</u>. Runoff hydrographs for the proposed design storms (25-year/6-hour, 25-year/24-hour) applied to the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch

Drainage Basins, pre and post conditions, are presented in the following Appendices:

- Appendix 1: Mill Creek Drainage Basin: 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
- Appendix 2: Mill Creek Drainage Basin: 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
- Appendix 3: 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
  - Appendix 4: 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Runoff Hydrographs (Pre and Post Condition)
- f. <u>Stage-Area Computations.</u> Stage-area computations for storage areas, either natural storage or proposed detention facilities are presented for both the pre and post conditions in Tables G-10 and G-11, respectively.
- 9-j. <u>Hydraulic Analyses and Results of Flood Routings.</u> The design storms (25-year/24-hour and 25-year/6-hour) have been routed through the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins for both the pre and post conditions. The following tables present all pertinent data relative to the hydraulic analyses, for the referenced storm events including: (1) stage-discharge relationships; (2) flood routings through on-site conveyance and storage areas; (3) water surface profiles in the drainage system(s); and (4) peak runoff rates and volumes discharged from the stormwater managements systems.

<u>Table</u>	Title
G-12	Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition
G-13	Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition

-11-

- G-14 Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Post-Condition
- G-15 Mill Creek Drainage Basin 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Post-Condition
- G-16 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition
- G-17 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Pre-Condition
- G-18 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/6-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Post-Condition
- G-19 Cloud Branch Drainage Basin 25-Year/24-Hour Storm Event Analytical Results: Post-Condition
- 2. Engineering Design Methodology. In order to compute design storm hydrographs, the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins were subdivided into contributing subbasins as shown in Map Pockets A and B. Each basin was analyzed topographically for drainage patterns utilizing the U.S.G.S. Topographic Survey (Sanford Quadrangle, Photo Revised 1988) and digitally planimetered to determine sub-basin acreage. The Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins encompass approximately 1,392 and 664 acres, respectively (a total study area of 2056 acres).

Use of a sophisticated analytical model to determine basin hydrographs, overall system operation, water surface profiles (HGL) and conveyance for both the pre and post conditions is essential. The data and results must also be easily retrievable and understandable; therefore, the advanced interconnected pond routing (AdICPR) computer model was selected to evaluate the stornwater management systems.

A. <u>S.C.S. Unit Hydrograph Method</u>. The Soil Conservation Service Unit Hydrograph Method was selected to compute design storm runoff hydrographs from the various sub-basins within both the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins. It provides a widely accepted basis for converting rainfall excess from a watershed to a runoff hydrograph. A synthetic "unit hydrograph" produces one inch of rainfall excess distributed uniformly over a watershed and occurring at uniform rate during the specified time period. The runoff hydrograph is generated through evaluation of the following basin parameters:

- Design Storm Rainfall (inches)
- Design Storm Duration (hours)
- Design Storm Rainfall Distribution
- Basin Area (Acres)
- Basin Curve Number (SCS CN)
- Directly Connected Impervious Area (% DCIA)
- Time of Concentration (Tc)
- S.C.S. Unit Hydrograph Shape/Peaking Factor
- B. <u>Time of Concentration (Tc).</u> Travel time (Tt) is the time it takes water to travel from one location to another in a watershed. Travel time is a component of the Time of Concentration (Tc), which is the time for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant point of the watershed to a point of interest within the watershed. Time of concentration is computed by summing all the travel times for consecutive components of the drainage conveyance system. Factors affecting the time of concentration and travel time are:
  - Surface Roughness
  - Channel Shape and Flow Patterns
  - Slope

The methodology outlined in the USDA <u>Soil</u> <u>Conservation Service Technical Release No. 55</u> (Chapter 3) was utilized for the determination of sub-basin Travel Times (Tt) and Time of Concentration (Tc).

C. <u>Lag Time.</u> The lag time is merely a hydrograph delay or additional travel time (Tt) for the hydrograph. The hydrograph will be offset in time by the amount specified. It can be used to account for the time a hydrograph may take to flow through another drainage basin. This parameter should not be confused with the "Lag Method" that has been developed by the Soil Conversation Service (SCS). The peak rate of runoff will not be altered nor will any other flow rates. The lag time has been set to zero for analyses performed on the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins.

- D. <u>Directly Connected Impervious Area (% DCIA)</u>. The directly connected impervious area (DCIA) is the impervious area that is connected to the basin outlet point without flowing over pervious areas. The DCIA has been set to zero for analyses within the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins and is accounted for in a "weighted" composite SCS Curve Number (CN).
- Ε. SCS Unit Hydrograph Shape/Peaking Factor. The Unit Hydrograph shape/peaking factor is generally considered to be constant for a given watershed, with the standard SCS dimensionless Unit Hydrograph based on a shape factor of 484. In preliminary analyses within the drainage basins, the use of the 484 shape factor appeared to produce results "inconsistent" with previous work done in the Study area and actual conditions witnessed during various "large" storm events within the last two years; however, the Soil Conversation Service states that adjustments to the unit hydrograph shape may be warranted on a site specific basis. SCS recognizes that the shape factor can be expected to range from 600 in steep terrain to 256 in flat swampy areas; therefore, based on a review of similar studies made in the past for similar value land with similar physiographic conditions, and calibration of the model to existing flow data within the basins, a design shape factor of 342 was selected for purposes of computing stormwater runoff hydrographs.
- F. <u>SCS Curve Number Determination</u>. Soil Conservation Service Runoff Curve Numbers (SCS CN) were determined for each sub-basin based on Hydrologic Soil Group, antecedent moisture conditions, and land use cover present. The methodology outlined in the USDA <u>Soil Conversation Service Technical</u> <u>Release No. 55</u> (Chapter 2) was utilized for the determination of sub-basin curve numbers (CN).

In the determination of the SCS runoff curve number for each sub-basin, a "weighting" procedure based on the percentage of a particular land use cover (residential, commercial, multi-family, school, open space, and industrial) was used. This provided a "pre-condition" composite or weighted runoff curve number. In evaluating the drainage basins for future development (post-conditions), the SCS curve numbers were adjusted upward in order to produce a ten to fifteen percent increase in rainfall excess over existing developed conditions.

G. <u>Hydraulic Analyses of the Mill Creek and Cloud</u> <u>Branch Drainage Basins - Advanced Interconnected</u> <u>Pond Routing Model (AdICPR)</u>. The method selected to perform the hydraulic analyses on the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Systems is Streamline Technologies "Advanced Interconnected Pond Routing Model (AdICPR)".

AdICPR is a user friendly interactive software package designed to route flood hydrographs through single pond systems, as well as multiple interconnected ponds, lakes or reservoirs. Factors such as stochastic tailwater conditions, submergence, flow reversal and multiple boundary conditions are integrated into the algorithm. Connections between ponds can be modeled using sharp/broad crested weirs; circular, elliptical, arch and box culverts; gates and orifices; trapezoidal and parabolic channels; drop structures; bridges; and, rating curves.

AdICPR requires four basic data groups to describe a control section or node. These are as follows:

- Control and Initialization Data
- Stage/Area/Time Data
- Reach Data
- Inflow Hydrographs

Hydraulic input data for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch stormwater routing, pre and post condition (25-year/6-hour storm event) are presented in Appendices 5 and 6, respectively.

3. <u>Stormwater treatment methodology</u>. The quality of the runoff will be improved significantly for several reasons. R.O.W.'s will be obtained allowing the City to provide routine maintenance throughout the system. The primary source of pollution in the system is soil particle erosion. With the banks of the streams flattened and grassed and other erosion protection in place throughout the system, as described in B.2, and a regular preventive maintenance program in place, soil erosion will be significantly reduced.

Oil and trash skimmers will be provided on all basin outlets. Where systems are piped and junction structures

provided at cross streets. The structures will have dual compartments thereby providing a pollution abatement function. Also, in the residential areas, tributary to the main through drainage systems, depressed grassed swales will be utilized with raised inlets connecting to the Mill Creek/Cloud Branch main system. Also, wherever reasonably practical, sheet flow or direct overflow to the streams or ditches will be gathered together in grassed, depressed areas with raised inlets behind the banks of the stream prior to discharge to the streams. This will provide additional pollution abatement in connection with the lateral drainage facilities not directly included in this main system program. In addition, "non-structural" measures will be expanded, In such as increased street cleaning and trash and debris pick up and removal, thereby improving water quality by decreasing the pollutant load reaching the streams.

At the present time, much of the lateral drainage is directly connected, piped, or flows over land as sheet flow or by ditches to the system. In addition, pollution abatement facilities will be required to St. Johns River Water Management District standards on any new development facilities constructed anywhere in the basins.

Also, the stormwater management system detention facilities will provide some settling and pollution abatement. At selected locations, such as in the Coast Line Park and Pinehurst Park, the detention basins will be designed and constructed to wet detention standards and be unfenced, recreational, surface water amenities, as well as pollution abatement and peak attenuating facilities.

4. <u>Proposed construction schedule</u>. It is contemplated that this program will be implemented incrementally according to priorities over the next five to ten years as funding is arranged.

### H. Water Use

 <u>Use of surface water</u>. There will be minor recreational benefits by having the detention basins located in two parks be constructed to wet detention standards, unfenced and utilized to some degree as visual recreational amenities.

There may be some incidental water use for irrigation by residents, but it is certainly very small and completely insignificant.

2. <u>Groundwater withdrawals</u>. There are no known direct groundwater withdrawals that are discharged to surface waters. As previously described, there is a lateral seepage discharge to the streams and ditches of shallow groundwater because of the soil and high groundwater conditions. TABLE D-1

 $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ 

### MILL CREEK AND CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASINS MASTER STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ON-SITE WETLANDS

DRAINAGE BASIN	AETLAND TYPE	WETLAND Acreage (AC)	WETLANDS TO Remain (AC)	METLANDS TO BE FILLED (AC)	WETLANDS TO BE EXCAVATED (AC)	TOTAL WEILAND AREA TO BE INPACIED (AC)
MILL CREEK	Forested Wetlands (FW)	6.51	6.51	0.00	0,00	0.00
	Herbaceous Wetlands (HW)	7.80	5.38	0.00	2.42	2.42
	Aguatic Wetlands (AW)	1.94	1.94	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Disturbed Wetlands Within the Channel*	0.57	00-0	00.0	0.57	0.57
	Total Wetland Acreage (AC)	16.82	13,83	0'0	2.99	2.99
CLOUD BRANCH	Forested Wetlands (FW)	3.07	3.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Shrub Wetlande (SW)	5.64	5.64	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Disturbed Wetlands Within the Channel*	0.19	0,00	0,00	0.19	0.19
	Total Wetland Acreage (AC)	06.8	8.71	0.00	0.19	0.19

\* Disturbed wetlands within channel estimated based on aerial photography, limited field reconnaissance, and City documentation.

NOTE: Refer to Map No. 9 (Mill Creek) and Map No. 10 (Cloud Branch) which identify land uses and wetlands within the project boundaries.

-18-

**TABLE G-1** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

## MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY OF PERTINENT BASIN DATA: PRE-CONDITION

HYDROLOGIC BASIN No.	TO NODE NO.	BASIN Area (Ac)	BC6 WEIGHTED CN	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	UNIT HYDRO SHAFE FACTOR
100	200	30.5	62	65	342
105	200	24.5	67	55	342
200	220	53.1	71	46	342
210	200	46.9	70	46	342
220	200	92.4	69	64	342
300	201	21.9	75	17	342
400	301	97.2	71	20	342
410	400	35.0	65	32	342
420	400	31.0	65	25	342
500	400	18.3	67	24	342
610	550	23.5	67	27	342
620	600	55.8	71	40	342
625	550	11.7	67	25	342
640	550	78.9	78	54	342
650	550	38.3	65	45	342

-19-

TABLE G-1 (Cont'd)

 $\bigcirc$ 

## MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY OF PERTINENT BASIN DATA: PRE-CONDITION

HYDROLOGIC Babin No.	TO NODE NO.	BASIN Area (AC)	BCB WELGHTED CN	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	UNIT HYDRO SHAPE FACTOR
200	602	16.0	65	26	342
710	602	37.0	65	28	342
810	800	29.6	70	29	342
820	800		70	55	342
830	800	27.3	70	29	342
840	800	30.8	64	32	342
010	1000	31.6	70	33	342
920	1000	58.4	69	53	342
921	1000	24.4	82	18	342
930	603	10.3	68	8	342
940	1000		63	39	342
950	1000		62	45	342
960	1000	62.2	59	48	342
1000	1100	69.5	65	38	342
1100	1200	-	65	26	342
1200	1300	54.0	78	56	342
CLUBRNCH	1400	663.8	62	111	342

1108tab3.tlb

-20-

**TABLE G-2** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

## SUMMARY OF PERTINENT BASIN DATA: POST CONDITION MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

BAGIN NO.	TO NODE NO.	BASIN Area (Ac)	<u>8C8</u> Weighted CN	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	unit Hydro Shape Pactor
100	100	30.5	64	65	342
105	100	24.5	69	55	342
200	220	53.1	73	46	342
210	210	46.9	72	46	342
220	108	92.4	71	43	342
300	201	21.9	77	17	342
400	300	108.0	73	06	342
410	301		67	32	342
420	301	31.0	67	25	342
500	302	18.3	69	. 24	342
610	401	23.5	69	27	342
620	403	55.8	· E2	40	342
625	410	11.7	69	25	342
640	619		79	54	342
650	410	38.3	67	45	342
700	610		67	26	342

-21-

TABLE G-2 (Cont'd)

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

# MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY OF PERTINENT BASIN DATA: POST CONDITION

					TINU
HYDROLOGIC BABIN NO.	NODE NO.	BABIN Area (Ac)	ece Weighted Cn	CONCENTRATION (MIN)	RIALDAN SHAPE FACTOR
017	610	37.0	67	28	342
810	614	29.6	72	29	342
820	614	74.5	72	55	342
830	615	٠	72	29	342
840	616	30.8	66	32	342
010	622	31.6	72	33	342
920	624	58.4	71	53	342
921	622	24.4	84	18	342
930	620		06	¢	342
940	625	48.0	65	39	342
950	627	56.8	64	45	342
. 096	628	62.2	61	48	342
1000	700	69.5	67	38	342
1100	800	23.0	67	26	342
1200	006	54.0	80	56	342
CLDBRNCH	1400	663.8	62	111	342

1108tab2.tlb

**TABLE G-3** 

()

 $\bigcirc$ 

### SUMMARY OF PERTINENT BASIN DATA: PRE-CONDITION **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN**

NO.	TO NODE NO.	BASIN Area (Ac)	<u>BCB</u> Weighted CN	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	HYDRO BHAPE Factor
100	10	0.06	69	33	342
200	20	12.0	53	20	342
300	40	5.0	54	15	342
310	40	32.0	54	25	342
400	STORAGE 1	12.0	59	28	342
500		44.0	57	38	342
600	STORAGE 2	27.0	56	52	342
700		23.0	78	25	342
800	STORAGE 3	26.0	65	36	342
006	STORAGE 3	6.4	54	28	342
1000		25.0	65	4 I.	342
1100	100	13.3	83	24	342
1200	115	9.8	55	22	342
1300	1301	_	57	49	342
1400	1401	105.0	64	86	342
1500	130	26.3	56	21	342
1600	150	42.0	69	43	342
1700	170	47.0	65	27	342
1800	190		61	19	
MILLCRK	- 210	1392.0	66	104	342

1108tab9.t1b

-23-

**TABLE G-4** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

:

|

## SUMMARY OF PERTINENT BASIN DATA: POST CONDITION **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN**

HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	TO Node No.	BASIN Area (Ac)	<u>BCS</u> Weighted CN	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (MIN)	RYDRO BHAPE FACTOR
100	10	0.06	71	33	342
200	10	12.0	ចច	20	342
300	40	5.0	56	15	342
310	40	32.0	56	25	342.
400	STORAGE 1	12.0	61	28	342
500	STORAGE 1	44.0	59	38	342
600	STORAGE 2	27.0	58	52	342
700	STORAGE 3	23.0	79	25	342
800	STORAGE 3	26.0	67	36	342
006	STORAGE 3	6.4	56	28	342
1000	100	25.0	67	4.1	342
1100	100	13.3	84	24	342
1200	110	9.8	57	22	342
1300	1301		53	49	342
1400	1401	105.0	66	86	342
1500	120	26.3	58	21	342
1600	150	42.0	71	43	342
1700	170	47.0	67	27	342
1800	190	23.0	63	19	342
MILLCRK	210	1392.0	66	104	342

1108tab1.t1b

-24-

C-S
TABLE

## MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN ESTIMATED PHYSICAL SOIL PROPERTIES: S.C.S. SOIL SURVEY OF SEMINOLE COUNTY (1966)

MAP Symbol	SOIL	APPROX. % OF BASIN AREA	DESCRIPTION OF Soil	DEPTH TO SEASONAL RIGH WATER TABLE*	PERMEABLLITY (1N/HR)	AVAILABLE MOISTURE CAPACITY
Bt	Brighton, Istokpoga, and Okeechobee	ריז ריז	This undifferentiated soil dense a cover of vegetation	undifferentiated soil consists of organic soils that are too wat or have too a cover of vegetation to be studied separately.	is that are too we bly.	t or have too
JG	Delray fine sand, high	¢	Poorly drained or very poorly drained, slightly acid to neutral solls that have a thick surface layer that contains a large amount of organic matter.	0 to 15 inches 6 to 12 months	5 to 10	9.00-0.15
ш	Immokalee fine sand	L.	Poorly drained, strongly acid, nearly level fine sand and sand, a pan stained with organic matter is at a depth of 30 to 48 inches.	0 to 15 inches 1 to 2 months of the year	0.8 to 50	0.00-0.15
LfA	Leon fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes	ហ	Poorly dreined, strongly acid sand and fine sand underlain by sandy material; a pan stained with organic matter is at a depth of less than 30 inches,	0 to 15 inches 1 to 2 months of the year	0.8 to 50	0.00-0.15
Ка		4	Consists of clayey or sandy material, or both, that was either brought in by truck or was dredged in. Material is too variable to estimate soil properties.	r sandy material, or both, that was either brought in by Material is too variable to estimate soil properties.	was either brough timate soil prope	t in by truck rties.

\* Level expected during the normal wet season.

(Cont'd)
6-5
BLE
TAB

(

### S.C.S. SOIL SURVEY OF SEMINOLE COUNTY (1966) MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN ESTIMATED PHYSICAL SOIL PROPERTIES:

	AFFROX. & OF BASIN AREA	DESCRIPTION OF SOIL	DBPTH TO Seasonal HIGH Water Table*	PERMEABILITY (IN/HR)	AVAILABLE Molsture Capacity
	R	Thick beds of locse, moderately well-drained, strongly acid fine sand underlain by sandy material, a pan stained with organic matter is at a depth of 30 to 48 inches.	15 to 30 inches 1 to 2 months of the year	10 to 50	0.00-0.10
	1	Poorly drained or very poorly drained, slightly acid to neutral fine sand that is more than 30 inches thick.	0 to 15 inches 2 to 6 months of the year	2.5 to 10	0.00-0.15
	-	Very poorly dreined, strongly acid fine sand that is more than 42 inches thick.	0 to 15 inches 5 to 12 months of the year	5 to 10	0.00-0.15
4	15	Poorly drained, strongly acid fine sand that has a highly organic surface layer.	0 to 15 inches 2 to 6 months of the year	2.5 to 10	0.00-0.15
	-	Beds of loose, excessively drained sand, generally more than 72 inches thick.	30 to more than 60 inches for less than 1 month of the year	50+	0.00-0.05

\* Level expected during the normal wat season.

scs2. ttb
	S.C	CLOUD B ESTIMATED ] S. SOIL SURV	CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN ESTIMATED PHYSICAL SOIL PROPERTIES: S.C.S. SOIL SURVEY OF SEMINOLE COUNTY (1966)	RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN PHYSICAL SOIL PROPERTIES: 7EY OF SEMINOLE COUNTY (1	ES: ^ (1966)	
MAP SYMBOL	SOIL	APPROX. & Of Basin Area	DESCRIPTION OF SOIL	DEPTH TO Seasonal High Water Table+	PERMEABILITY (IN/HR)	AVAILABLE Moisture Capacity
BnB	Blanton Fine Sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes	30	42 inches or more of moderately well drained, loose fine sand over stratified fine sandy loam or fine sandy clay loam	15 to 30 inches for 1 to 2 monthe of the year	2.5 to 50	0.00 to 0.15
đ	Brighton peat	N	Strongly acid peat over acid sand. The thick- ness of the organic material ranges from 12 to 60 inches or more	0 to 15 inches continuouely	\$ to 50	0.00 to 0.20
LfA	Leon Fine Sand, O to 2 percent Blopes	с, 60	Poorly drained, strongly acid mand fine mand underlain by mandy material, a pen stained with organic material is at a depth of less than 30 inches	0 to 15 inches continuoualy	10 to 50	0.00 to 0.15
Ма		4	Consists of clayey or sandy material or both that was either brought in by truck or was dredged in.	This undifferentiated soil consists of oryanic soils that are too wet or have too dense a cover of vegetation to be studied or separated.	soil consists of o ave too dense a c ied or separated.	organic soils cover of
Birty	Pomello Fíne Sand, O to 5 percent slopes	4	Thick beds of loose, moderately well drained, strongly acid fine sand underlain by sandy material; a pan stained with organic material is at a depth of 30 to 48 inches	15 to 30 inches 1 to 2 months of the year	10 to 50	0.00 to 0.10

 $\bigcirc$ 

TABLE G-6

\*Level expected during the normal wet season

-27-

### TABLE G-7 LAKE MONROE SEASONAL WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS\*

CALENDAR		(E MONROE W) CE ELEVATIO	
YEAR	MAX	MEAN	MIN
1982	4.39	2.40	0.25
1983	4.32	2.33	1.26
1984	3.20	1.91	0.62
1985	4.79	1.86	0.26
1986	3.87	1.54	0.39
1987	3.76	1.83	0.31
1988	2.88	1.68	0.30
1989	2.52	1.16	-0.18
1990	1.73	1.02	0.25
2-1990 AVERAGE:	3.50	1.75	0.38

NOTE: Lake Monroe (receiving water) assumed to be at elevation 3.00 FMSL for design storm events (hydraulic analysis).

\*Data supplied by the U.S. Department of the Interior - Geologic Survey:

- Station Number 02234499
- Location: Lake Monroe, near Sanford, FL
- Latitude: 285013
- Longitude: 0811928
- State: 12
- County: 117

bmf.tlb

......

-28-

### SEMINOLE COUNTY 25-YEAR FREQUENCY / 6-HOUR DURATION RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION

TIME (MIN)	TIME (HR)	CUMUL PREC (IN)	DELTA Prec (IN)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.25	0.10	0.10
30	0.50	0.21	0.11
45	0.75	0.33	0.12
60	1.00	0.48	0.15
75	1.25	0.64	0.16
90	1.50	0.81	0.17
105	1.75	1.08	0.27
120	2.00	1.38	0.30
135	2.25	2.46	1.08
150	2.50	3.60	1.14
165	2.75	3.90	0.30
180	3.00	4.20	0.30
195	3.25	4.44	0.24
210	3.50	4.68	0.24
225	3.75	4.86	0.18
240	4.00	5.01	0.15
255	4.25	5.16	0.15
270	4.50	5.28	0.12
285	4.75	5.40	0.12
300	5.00	5.52	0.12
315	5.25	5.64	0.12
330	5.50	5.76	0.12
345	5.75	5.88	0.12
360	6.00	6.00	0.12

NOTE: Rainfall distribution taken from Seminole County Land Development Code.

bmftabl.tlb

### SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE (S.C.S.) 25-YEAR FREQUENCY/24-HOUR DURATION TYPE III RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION

TIME (MIN.)	TIME (HR.)	CUMUL. PREC. (IN.)	DELT PREC (IN.
			(111)
O	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	0.50	0.04	0.04
60	1.00	0.09	0.04
90	1.50	0.13	0.04
120	2.00	0.17	0.04
150	2.50	0.22	0.05
180	3.00	0.27	0.04
210	3.50	0.32	0.05
240	4.00	0.37	0.05
270	4.50	0.43	0.06
300	5.00	0.49	0.06
330	5.50	0.55	0.06
360	6.00	0.62	0.05
390	6.50	0.69	0.06
420	7.00	0.77	0.07
450	7.50	0.86	0.09
480	8.00	0.99	0.12
510	8.50	1.12	0.12
540	9.00	1.27	0.15
570	9.50	1.44	0.16
600	10.00	1.63	0.18
630	10.50	1.86	0.23
660	11.00	2,15	0.29
690	11.50	2.56	0.41
705	11.75	2,92	0.35
720	12.00	4.30	1.38
735	12.25	5.69	1.39
750	12.50	6.04	0.34
780	13.00	6.46	0.42
810	13.50	6.75	0.29
840	14.00	6.97	0.22
870	14.50	7.17	0.19
900	15.00	7.34	0.16

-30-

### TABLE G-9 (Cont'd)

### SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE (S.C.S.) 25-YEAR FREQUENCY/24-HOUR DURATION TYPE III RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION

TIME (MIN.)	TIME (HR.)	CUMUL. PREC. (IN.)	DELTA PREC. (IN.)
930	15.50	7.48	0.146
960	16.00	7.62	0.138
990	16.50	7.74	0.120
1020	17.00	7.83	0.095
1050	17.50	7.91	0.077
1080	18.00	7.99	0.077
1110	18.50	8.05	0.060
1140	19.00	8.12	0.069
1170	19.50	8.18	0.060
1200	20.00	8.23	0.052
1230	20.50	8.28	0.052
1260	21.00	8.33	0.052
1290	21.50	8.39	0.052
1320	22.00	8.44	0.052
1350	22.50	8.48	0.043
1380	23.00	8.52	0.043
1410	23.50	8.57	0.043
1440	24.00	8.60	0.034

1119tab4.tlb

### MILL CREEK AND CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASINS STAGE - AREA RELATIONSHIPS: PRE-CONDITION

DRAINAGE Basin	NODE NO.	STAGE (FMSL)	AREA (AC)
MILL CREEK		*	
	200	25.13	0.48
		31.75	2.05
		32.00	2.51
	300	24.17	0.32
		31.10	1.19
		31.50	1.50
	400	20.04	0.29
		30.00	0.91
		30.50	1.14
	800	18.32	. 0.75
		26.08	2.94
		26.50	3.28
	1000	12.00	1.01
		19.00	3.66
CLOUD BRANCH			
	STORAGE 1	23.97	0.18
		27.98	2.30
		30.00	2.75
	STORAGE 2	23.07	0.09
		27.08	1.20
		29.00	1.45
	STORAGE 3	21.95	0.07
		26.96	0.42
		28.70	0.47

1114TA81.TLB

\$0607.02

-

-32~

### MILL CREEK AND CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASINS STAGE - AREA RELATIONSHIPS: POST CONDITION

		STORAGE		
DRAINAGE BASIN	NODE NO.	AREA CLASS.	STAGE (FMSL)	AREA (AC)
MILL CREEK				
· .				
	100	NSA	32.00	0.5
			36.00	1.0
			40.00	1.5
	300	PDF	23.80	21.4
			35.00	26.6
	614	PDF	20.74	3.7
			28.00	5.9
	620	NSA	10.18 18.00	0.1
			10.00	1.00
	624B	PDF	22.00	1.9
			29.00	2.8
CLOUD BRANCH				
	10	PDF	27.65	2.7
			31.65	3.8
			32.65	4.19
	STORAGE 1	NSA	23.97	0.10
			27.98	2.3
			30.00	2.7
	STORAGE 2	NSA	23.07	0.09
	<b>- -</b>		27.08	1.20
			29.00	1.4
	STORAGE 3	PDF	21.95	0.63
			25.95	1.00
			26.95	1.2
	120	PDF	17 60	2.04
	120	FDF	17.50 23.50	2.00 3.10
				5.L
	150	PDF	15.67	0.2
			17.67	0.4
			21.67	0.90

NSA - Natural Storage Area PDF - Proposed Detention Facility

. .

. .

1114tab2.tlb

### **TABLE G-12**

### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\*** MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

BASIN NO.	CONTRIBUTING HXDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	peak Stage (Fmsl)	peak Storage (Ac—FT)	FLOW (CFS)	ICHARGE TIME (HR)
220	200	35.72	8.84	16	6,00
200	100,105	32.03	9.03	194	3.13
	210,220				
201	300	-	2.93	189	
300	1		8.24	185	
301	400	29.29	2.53	247	3.67
400	410,420		5.43	276	
	500				
401	1	24.04	0.68	276	
550	610,625	22.97	4.56	399	3.80
	640,650				
600	620	N	10.78	446	
601	111	17.46	0.33	-	3.13
800	810,820	2	8.60	139	3.47
	830,840				
801			0.64	139	
602	700,710	17.37	3.28	603	3.77
1000	910,920		13.86	186	
	921,940				
	950,960				

. .

# MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\*

BAGIN No.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	PEAK Stage (Fmsl)	Peak Btorage (ac-ft)	FLOW (CFS)	TIME (HR)
1001	1	17.14	1.25	181	3.63
603	930	17.05	9.54	788	3.83
1100	1000		10.00	830	3.83
1101	1	•	1.60	830	3.83
1200	1100	12.56	4.36	841	3.83
1201	1	•	2.10	. 840	3.87
1300	1200		7.22	068	3.90
1301	1		6.25	890	3.93
1400	CLUBRNCH	٠	8.73	1247	3.90
1401	1   1	٠	2.10	1247	3.87
LK MONROE		3.00	263.65	1	[ [ [

\* AdlCPR Filename: C:\MC\PRE256.\*

1108tab7.tlb

-35-

.

### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\*** MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

BASIN No.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	Peak Btage (Fmbl)	PEAK STORAGE (AC-FT)	FLOW (CFS)	ICHARGE TIME (HR)
220	200	39.29	12.93	22	14.57
200	100,105	32.61	10.35	390	12.77
	210,220				
201	300	32,56	3.41	420	12.73
300	!	32.17	9.94	444	12.80
301	400	32.14	3.73	580	12.67
400	410,420	27.16	6.65	754	12.53
	500				
401		26.82	1.09	753	-
550	610,625	25.73	6.54	1091	12.57
	640,650				
600	620	22.79	11.77	1221	12.57
601	1	21.62	0.52	1218	12.57
800	810,820	25,57	13.39	279	12.80
	830,840			I	
801		22.60	0.87	279	12.83
602	700,710	21.47		1556	12.60
1000	ò	23.81	27.65	372	13.30
	921,940				
	950,960				

C

### ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\* MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

1001 21.12 2.07 382 603 930 21.09 14.55 1788 1100 1000 17.14 14.28 1892 1101 17.06 2.32 1890 1200 15.97 3.06 1914 1200 15.97 3.06 1912 1300 14.93 9.44 2019 1301 14.93 9.44 2014 1400 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 2510 14.93 2.26 2510 14.93 2.26 2510	basın No.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	PEAK Btage (Fmsl)	Peak Storage (ac-ft)	PEAK DISCHARGE (CFS)	E TIME (HR)
 930 21.09 14.55 1000 17.14 14.55 17.06 14.28 14.28 14.28 15.97 14.28 15.97 3.06 15.97 10.00 15.17 10.00 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.00 618.07 3.00 618.07						
930       21.09       14.55         1000       17.14       14.28          17.14       14.28         1100       17.06       2.32         1100       16.05       6.25         1100       16.05       6.25          15.97       3.06         1200       15.17       10.00          14.93       9.44         CLDBRNCH       9.78       21.01          3.00       51.01          3.00       518.07	1001	-	21.12	2.07	382	13.30
1000 17.14 14.28 17.06 2.32 1100 16.05 6.25 15.97 3.06 1200 15.17 10.00 14.93 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 618.07	603	006	21.09	14.55	1788	12.77
17.06 2.32 1100 16.05 6.25 15.97 3.06 1200 15.17 10.00 14.93 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 21.01	1100	1000		14.28	1892	12.80
1100 16.05 6.25 15.97 5.06 1200 15.17 10.00 14.93 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 21.01	1101		17.06	2.32	1890	12.80
15.97 3.06 1200 15.17 10.00 14.93 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 2.26 3.00 618.07	1200	1100	16.05	6.25	1914	12.80
1200 15.17 10.00 14.93 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 2.26 3.00 618.07	1201		15.97	3.06	1912	12.83
14.93 9.44 CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 2.26 3.00 618.07	1300	1200	15.17	10.00	2019	12.83
CLDBRNCH 9.78 21.01 3.43 2.26 3.00 618.07	1301				2014	12.87
3.43 2.26 25 3.00 618.07 -	1400	CLDBRNCH	•	21.01	2510	13.00
3.00 618.07 -	1401		-	2.26		
	LK MONROÈ	1		618.07	;	

\* AdICPR Filename: C:\MC\PRE2524.\*

1108tab6.t1b

C	G-14
	TABLE

Ô

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS: POST CONDITION\* MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

	CONTRIPTING	PRAK	DEAR	ADAANUDIN NEBO	
<b>NISA</b>	HYDROLOGIC	STAGE	BTORAGE		TIME
NO.	BASIN NO.	(FMBL)	(AC-FT)	(CFS)	(HR)
		'			
100	100,105	32.43	0.74	46	4
105	1111	•	0.24	45	
220	200		4	7	2
106	1 1 1	•		45	•
210	210	31.05	3.20	41	•
107	***	•	1.14	36	•
108	220	•	2.06	170	
200	ŧ	•	1.20	169	
201	300	٠	0.92	187	
202	1	27.50	0.78	187	
300	400	•	58.42	81	
301	410,420	21.50	0.06	104	6.00
302	500	•	0.19	121	
400	:		0.33	119	
401	610		0.65	150	
410	625,650	•	0.52	54	
402			2.15	182	•
403	620		2.47	256	
600			1.05	255	
610	700,710	n,	0.07	570	
616	840	~	00*0	38	5
615	830	θ.	0.89	82	5
619	640	•	0.01	119	
618	1	ώ	1.54	117	۰.
617		ഹ്	•	2	σ,

-38-

	(Cont'd)
0	G-14
	ABLE
	TA

 $\bigcirc$ 

### ANALYTICAL RESULTS: POST CONDITION\* 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

EAGIN COI	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC	PEAK BTAGE	PEAK Storage	FLOW PEAK DISCHARGE	awit
.ON	BASIN NO.	(FMSL)	(AC-FT)	(CFB)	(HR)
614	810,820	ം പ	25.84	191	3.90
613		ŝ	1.34	190	3,97
612	1	22.52	1.11	189	٩,
611		6	0.76	189	4.03
620	930	5.0	4.71	483	4.23
620A	   	14.51	1.02	484	4.23
620B		4.1	1.14	484	4.30
628	960	0.5	0.12	46	3.10
627	950	0.2	0.72	57	٩
626	1	9.8	0.69	96	3.10
625	940	6.8		140	
624B		6.2	11.90	95	4.20
624 <b>A</b>	1   	4.3	4	95	4.23
624	920	4.	0.74	155	4.43
623		ς.	•	134	4.23
622	910,921	15.65	7	164	2.63
621		۰. م	٠	168	4.33
650	ŧ 1	~	•	484	4.37
700	1000	10.38	3.44	518	3.17
750			1.74	517	Ŷ
800	1100	٠	1.65	534	Ņ
850	1	4	1.62	533	3.27
006	1200		٠	608	ŝ
950			6.31	604	3.40
1000	CLDBRNCH	3.38	9.	994	3.47
1050	11	3.06	1.62	994	3.47
LK MONROE		3.00	232,58	444 Mai	{
* AdlCPR Filename:	C:\MC\REVISED2.*	*			118tab10.t1b
				•	

-39-

# **ANALYTICAL RESULTS: POST CONDITION\*** MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

BASIN NO.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC Basin No.	PEAK Stage (FMSL)	PEAK STORAGE (AC-FT)	FLOW PLOW (CFS)	PEAK DISCHARGE	TIME (HR)
100	100,105	33.73	1.56	8		
105		32.59		82		13.00
220	200	38.41	14.71	24		•
106	156	•		95		•
210	210	٠	6.41	74		•
107			1.98	166		•
108	220		3.29	300		•
200			1.85	300		
201	300		1.32	317		
202	111	<u>6</u>	1.26	316		•
300	400	2	84.05	138		•
301	410,420	é	0.13	146		•
302	500	÷	0.36	200		•
400		ė	0.97	188		
401	610	20.92	1.78	234		•
410	625,650	ò	1.62	06		~
402		0	5.47	251		3
403	620	ò	5.29	350		•
600		ó	2.10	316		Ň
610	700,710	•	0.16	316		LJ.13
616	840		0.00	76		•
615	830	ř	1.20	158		•
619	640	ດ້		190		•
618	ŧLt	ŵ	2.74	163		•
617			1.59	158		~
614	810,820		30.10	392		•
613			2.25	389		•

-40-

### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS: POST CONDITION\* 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT** MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

	CONTRIBUTING	PEAK	PEAK	PEAK DISC	DISCHARGE
BASIN	HYDROLOGIC BAGTN NO	STAGE (TWEL)	BTORAGE	MOTA	TIME TIME
	TON NTONG	(1045)	113-041	19821	
612	1	25.48	1.89	388	13.03
611		4	٠	388	٠
620	930	19.63	9.07	942	13.17
620 <b>A</b>	1	5	9	947	
620B		•		946	٠
628	960	31.25	٠	102	12.57
627	950	31.22	0.95	208	•
626	17 H 11	•		208	•
625	940	30.27	3.09	304	•
624B	1	ი.	•	188	13.57
624A	1	27.89	1,19	188	13.63
624	920	27.84	4	258	٠
623	1	21.72	3.34	258	٠
622	910,921		5.56	299	13.50
621		19.67	2.51	486	13.23
650	<b>5 2 2</b>	•	4	947	٠
700	1000	13.43		1022	13.10
750	1	٠	2.64	1022	13.10
800	1100	12.47	2.54	1041	•
850	1	11.79	2.47	1040	7
006	1200	11.21	2.73	1140	ę
950	1	5	8.86	1136	
1000	CLDBRNCH	2	8.77	1669	13.17
1050	1	3.16	1.68	1669	13.17
LK MONROE		3.00	611.30	-	ł

\* AdICPR Filename: C:\MC\2524256A.\*

### 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\* **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN**

BASIN No.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	PEAK Stage (Fhsl)	PEAK BTORAGE (AC-PT)	FLOW (CFS)	CHARGE TIME (HR)
10	100	33.32	0.71	N	2.77
20	200	~	1.08	130	2,80
40	300,310	31.84	0.54	154	2.77
50		~	0.39	154	2,80
STORAGE 1	400,500	S.	8.03	$\sim$	3.57
STORAGE 2	600	÷	5,19	5	3.67
STORAGE 3	700,800	÷	2.18	6	3.57
	900,1000				
06	1	30.47	0.40	194	3.57
100	1100	_	0.35	206	3.57
110	1	28.80	0.50	206	3.57
115		. *	0.97	257	3.60
1301**	1300	30.57	1.11	48	3.80
118		. •	0.51	312	3.70
1401**	1400		2	63	4.23
120		3	1.72	312	3.70
130	1500	26.45	1.70	358	•
140		26.38	1.03	323	3.70

\*\* Artificial Basin utilized to simulate upstream storage in various areas (roadway, parking area and lot storage).

-42-

### 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\* **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN**

BASIN No.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	PEAK Stage (Fmbl)	PEAK BTORAGE (AC-FT)	FLOW (CFS)	<u>ge</u> Time (hr)
150	1600	26.20	1.14	356 .	3.67
160		20.49	2.11	356	0.73
170	1700	16.48	1.61	384	3.70
180		13.24	0.86	384	3.70
190	1800	9.82	0.81	395	3.73
200		7.48	2.12	394	3.77
210	MILLCRK	6,57	4.73	1278	3,83
1000		3.65	1.83	1278	3.83
1050		3.11	1.91	1278	3.83
LK MONROE	÷ 4 W	3.00	294.22	1	ł

\* AdICPR Filename: C:\CB\256PRE3.\*

1108tab4.t1b

### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\*** CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

	CONTRIBUTING	PEAK	PEAK	PEAK DISCHARGE	BGE
BASIN No.	HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	STAGE (FMSL)	BTORAGE (AC-FT)	FLOW (CFS)	TIME (HR)
10	100	34.99		236	12.40
. 20	200	4.	1.40	255	•
40	300,310	32.95	0.66	336	12.60
50		ŝ	•	317	
STORAGE 1	400,500	~	•	228	
STORAGE 2	600	~	•	202	
STORAGE 3	700,800		3.01	176	
	900,1000				
06	1 1	32.76	0.51	549	
100	1100	ò	0.41	385	12.53
110	11	•	0.71	462	
115	1	<u>б</u>	٠	563	
1301**	1300	ò	٠	100	
118			0.55	718	
1401**	1400	0		107	
120		ω.	•	651	٠
130	1500	~		674	13.33

\*\* Artificial Basin utilized to simulate upstream storage in various areas (roadway, parking area and lot storage).

### 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT ANALYTICAL RESULTS: PRE-CONDITION\* **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN**

BASIN NO.	CONTRIBUTING HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	peak Btage (pmsl)	PEAK Storage (AC-FT)	FLOW FLOW (CFS)	TIME (AR)
140		27.49	1.16	672	13 87
150	1600	26.91	1.22	743	12.80
160		22.94	2.94	743	12.83
170	1700	•	1.78	812	12.73
180		16.04	1.27	811	12.77
190	1800		1.28	834	12.73
200	6 8 1	11.99	3.81	829	12.77
210	MILLCRK	11.66	8.43	2829	12.87
1000		10.65	4.73	2829	12.90
1050		3.54	2.10	2829	12.90
LK MONROE		3.00	765.75		

AdICPR Filename: C:\CB\2524PRE.\*

×

1108tab5.tlb

-45-

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS: POST CONDITION\* CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

	CONTRIBUTING	PEAK	PEAK	PEAK DIS	DISCHARGE
BASIN No.	HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	BTAGE (FKSL)	STORAGE (AC-FT)	FLOW (CPS)	TIME (HR)
10	100,200	32.03	14.68	46	4.47
15		29.72	0.90	42	4.70
40	300,310	ъ.	1.00	52	6.4
50		8	0.27	52	5.17
STORAGE 1	400,500	~	1.25	72	4.93
STORAGE 2	600	2	1.00	83	4.93
STORAGE 3	700,800,900	27.06	4.76	114	4.23
100	1000, 1100	5	0.09	141	3.33
110	1200	4	0.14	145	3.27
1301**	1300	2	0,39	61	3.27
1401**	1400		1.20	69	4.20
120	1500	22.92	14.02	273	3.93
150	1600	•	3.80	305	3.80
160	1	~	ς.	305	3.87
170	1		1.47	332	3.80
180	1	12.76	0.79	332	3.80
190	1	8.97	0.72	343	3.77
200	1	•	1.79	343	3.80
210	MILLCRK	5.89	4.24	1231	ŝ
1000		٠	1,80	1231	3.93
1050		3.09	1.90	1232	3.93
LK MONROE		3.00	288.87	L F	;
		- LE			
* AdlCPK Filename:	name: C:\CB\256POST5.*	F.C.J.			

AdICPR Filename: C:\CB\256POST5.\*

\*\* Artificial Basin utilized to simulate upstream storage in various areas (roadway, parking areas and lot storage).

-46-

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS: POST CONDITION\* CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

	CONTRIBUTING	PEAK	PEAK	PEAK DISCHARGE	
BASIN NO.	HYDROLOGIC BASIN NO.	STAGE (FMSL)	STORAGE (AC-FT)	FLOW (CFS)	TIME (HR)
10	100,200	•	16.57	163	12.70
15	1	32.07	1.90	162	æ.
40	300,310	٠		196	12.83
50		31.62	0.80	190	12.83
STORAGE 1	400,500	31.60	11.12	131	٠
STORAGE 2	600	31.59	6.66	132	•
STORAGE 3	700,800,900	31.57	9.98	192	15.13
100	1000, 1100	29.06	0.13	183	٠
110	1200	26.60	0.19	195	٠
1301**	1300	38.04	2.95	108	٠
1401**	1400	39.03	4.16	111	•
120	1500	25.10	19.63	389	•
150	1600	23.44	4.88	423	13.37
160	1	20.55	•	423	13.40
170	1 1	16.72	1.66	476	•
180	653	13.78	0.94	476	•
190	1	•	0.99	518	12.53
200	11	8.27	2.42	512	•
210	MILLCRK	7.89	5.69	1605	13.17
1000	6 1		3.24	1605	13.17
1050	1	3.15	1.93	1605	13.17
LK MONROE	227	٠	581.41		ł
[					
* AdICPR Filename:	sname: C:\CB\2524PST1.*	;r1.*			

AdICPR Filename: C:\CB\2524PST1.\*

\*\* Artificial Basin utilized to simulate upstream storage in various areas (roadway, parking areas and lot storage).

### MILL CREEK/CLOUD BRANCH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

### CONCEPTUAL PERMIT APPLICATION TO ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION BOOKLET

DECEMBER 1991

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Site Specific Description and History	1
II.	Statement of Intent	2
III.	Previous Studies	3
IV.	Proposed Criteria and Project Performance Standards	4
v.	Performance of 25 Year / 6 Hour Proposed Improvements Under a 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm and Other Performance Evaluations	5
VI.	Mill Creek Improvement Cost Comparison - 25 Year / 6 Hour Versus 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm	5
VII.	Accomplishment of SJRWMD Objectives and Standards Utilizing Proposed Criteria	24

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Preliminary Estimate of Costs - Mill Creek 25 Year / 6 Hour Storm	7
Table 2	Preliminary Estimate of Costs - Mill Creek 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm	14
Table 3	Summary Comparison of Costs - Table 2 and Table 1	22

**I**.

The north central and northwestern portions of the City of Sanford contain stormwater drainage systems generally tributary to two existing, natural drainage ways. These two streams are Mill Creek and Cloud Branch.

The Mill Creek system drains the northwestern portion of the City of Sanford roughly bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Airport Boulevard and Bevier Road on the west and Olive Avenue on the east. The Cloud Branch system is generally bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Park Avenue and Sanford Avenue on the east and Olive Avenue on the west.

Both of these basins drain from south to north and are natural, relic, drainage channels which have been substantially modified for agricultural use and later for development purposes by the inclusion of road crossing culverts, regrading, basin diversions, channel relocations, rechannelization, and other activities. In most cases, rights-of-way for the creeks and the associated structures do not presently exist, except through prescriptive rights or where the channels follow or cross street rights-of-way. There is no retention or detention provided in the system except that occurring in the channels themselves or in some smaller, lower floodplain areas through which channels pass.

Most of the buildings, streets and improvements in the Mill Creek basin came about without any appreciable governmental regulation. Because of the natural streams which ran through the area, it was ideal for agricultural purposes. The community of Goldsboro sprang up around the various railroad lines. It became populated and grew into the incorporated community of Goldsboro, and was incorporated as the Village of Goldsboro in 1891. It was not brought into the City of Sanford until 1911, and had approximately 5,000 people in the Village at the time it was incorporated in 1891.

The areas surrounding these two channels are currently thoroughly developed, economically depressed, and are mostly comprised of black and lower income housing, neighborhood commercial, railroad, and industrial land use. Repeated hazardous flooding problems exist in these areas because of the very inadequate drainage system. In many cases, homes and other structures are built right on the streams and ditches, and public health and safety has always been a major problem as well as the flooding damage.

On July 13, 1991, the Mill Creek/Cloud Branch area, as well as the rest of Sanford, was hit by an extreme rainfall event. At the Water Reclamation Facility located at the confluence of Cloud Branch and Mill Creek streams (at Lake Monroe), 0.2" of rainfall fell from midnight until 8:00 a.m.. From 8:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m., an additional 5.8" of rainfall was measured. The storm was

preceded by an extended wet period of regular, heavy rainfalls and the ground was completely saturated and the runoff very high. Flooding of streets, homes, and buildings was very widespread and a great amount of property damage, misery and hazard was experienced by the area population. The public has been highly disturbed by this occurrence and the newspaper and residents have been exerting great pressure to obtain some relief. Unfortunately, over the years, there have been a number of reported drownings in the streams which run through these two basins which are located so close to homes and areas where children play. Luckily, no drownings occurred in the recent flooding of July 13, 1991.

There has never been a revenue source sufficient to even partly remedy the existing drainage problems. For example, some of the streets in the areas surrounding the channels have not been paved because it would take a drainage solution immediately preceding or coincidental with any pavement improvements to take care of the major ditches in the area and the water courses that run in the street rights-of-way. As a result, streets that badly need paving have been left unpaved and the drainage has not been fixed as the costs involved are extremely high and there has been no revenue The City has recently adopted a Stormwater source available. Utility Ordinance and will be implementing the system in the near future. A portion of the funds from this new source will be directed to the upgrading of the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Stormwater Management Systems.

### <u>II.</u> <u>Statement of Intent:</u>

It is the intent of the Consultants, City Officials, and Residents to get the main drainage system in the Goldsboro and Cloud Branch areas upgraded; to eliminate major flooding and the attendant property damage and public health hazards; and to reasonably comply with environmental and regulatory concerns.

With every increased level of protection provided by an increasing scope of drainage facilities, there is an attendant increase in cost. It is absolutely imperative to examine the level of protection and criteria to be applied to make absolutely certain that the optimum level of protection in relation to the cost is being provided for the following reasons:

- The area is a an old, existing, minority, completely developed area with relatively little undeveloped or vacant land
- It has severe drainage problems and generally high water table and poor soils conditions

- Right-of-Ways and land required for most of the existing and proposed improvements are not in public ownership
- Any solution of the problem involves extremely high cost
- Very limited fund availability.

The intent is to accomplish the program to a satisfactory level consistent with the needs, intent of the regulatory requirements, and fund availability that may be generated through the City's Stormwater Utility and other, already strained, revenue sources.

The SJRWMD Regulations contain Objectives and Standards from which criteria are established which presume that if these criteria are met, the standards and objectives will be met. It is the position of the Consultants, City Officials, and residents that certain of the standard SJRWMD Detailed Design Criteria are not appropriate for the subject case in order to substantially meet the Objectives and Standards of the Rules. Furthermore, it is the City's opinion that applying the same design criteria to a "retrofit" situation as new development is neither necessary, appropriate, to nor financially feasible in this particular case. If the SJRWMD presumptive criteria are applied in an inflexible manner, it will simply mean that these kinds of problems and the attendant property damage, human misery, and threat to the public health and safety, will tend to continue without being addressed because no substantial progress will be attainable.

We believe that we will show in the attached material that the Objectives and Standards of the District can be substantially met without meeting all of the detailed design criteria included in the SJRWMD Rules and their implementation.

### <u>III. Previous Studies:</u>

### <u>1968 Study:</u>

The City's Consulting Engineer studied these basins in 1968 and prepared a Drainage Study which proposed solutions to the drainage problems which were never implemented because of cost and unavailability of funds. However, a considerable amount of base information is available from this Study as the basins have not changed very much since that time. The 1968 Study was prior to the existence of current regulatory requirements of the SJRWMD and others for retention/detention and other current criteria and regulations.

### 1988 Study:

A follow-up Study, including strategically located detention facilities utilizing computer modeling was performed in 1988 for a 25 year / 16 hour storm event (6-inch rainfall).

### <u>Current Study:</u>

The present Study is a Preliminary Engineering Study to refine designs, needs and costs and prepare Preliminary Engineering Plans for a staged implementation of drainage improvements including land and right-of-way acquisition and construction of needed improvements including some detention facilities.

### IV. Proposed Criteria and Performance Standards:

We propose to generally use a 25 Year / 6 Hour storm analysis for the main facilities in these basins where it is economically feasible to do so. The 25 Year / 6 Hour storm is 6" of rainfall in 6 hours, while the 25 year / 24 Hour SJRWMD storm is 8.6" of rainfall in 24 hours. We propose to provide some strategically located detention facilities in the system, sized basically to attenuate or reduce peak flows. The basins will have bleed down devices to reestablish the basin storage capacity in 14 days or less. It is also generally proposed that post development runoff to the lake from the 25 Year / 24 Hour storm will not exceed the predevelopment runoff for the 25 Year / 24 Hour storm at the point of discharge.

The soils in the study area are poor percolating soils with high groundwater table and retention is not a practical alternative. Filtration is not proposed to be provided as is allowable in the South Florida Water Management and Southwest Florida Water Management Districts, but pollution abatement by settling and oil and trash skimming, as well as peak flow mitigation, will be accomplished in the detention basins and system. The detention facilities in Coast Line Park and Pinehurst Park will be designed as wet detention facilities, providing pollution abatement, peak attenuation and recreational and aesthetic benefits as lakes or In addition for erosion control and pollution abatement, ponds. erosion protective measures including seeding and sodding will be extensively utilized. Also, junction structures at side streets will be dual compartment structures providing some pollution abatement function. Also, lateral drainage wherever feasible will be conveyed in swales on side streets. Direct discharge of lateral ditches, swales, sheet flow and pipes into the main system will be minimized. Raised inlets in grassed depressed areas or other similar measures will be utilized where practical to convey lateral drainage into the main system. The lateral drainage is not a part this project, but can be separately accomplished where practically feasible over a period of years.

Some strategically located channels in close proximity to people and buildings are proposed to be closed, and where possible in less congested areas, channels or streams are left open. Many road crossings and culverts would have to be increased in size and/or replaced with bigger pipes or box culverts provided or lengthened, etc. Fencing will be utilized in selected, strategic locations at detention basins and open channels to reduce any hazard to children or others who live in close proximity to the facility.

Right-of-Way acquisition by establishment of prescriptive rights and acquisition of additional right-of-way width by donation, is contemplated. Land acquisition by purchase or condemnation will be required for most detention facilities and at some conveyance locations. Having dedicated rights-of-way will drastically improve maintenance and will result in better flow capability and pollution abatement.

Analysis of the enclosed Nodal Diagrams (Map Pockets D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K), and the basin physical culture and topography shows the general hydraulic performance and effect of the proposed criteria. The proposed general project criteria when implemented will essentially eliminate the vast majority of building and street flooding with construction or improvement of suitable lateral drainage under separate projects.

### V. <u>Performance of 25 Year / 6 Hour Designed Facilities Under 25</u> Year / 24 Hour Storm and Other Performance Evaluation

Nodal diagrams for the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Drainage Basins, showing the results of applying the 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm to the system preliminarily designed for the 25 Year / 6 Hour storm are presented in Map Pockets J and K. Examination of the flows, and Water Surface elevations shows that, in general, the 25 Year / 6 Hour designed facilities handle the heavier 25 Year / 24 Hour design storm without severe flooding problems. There would be some temporary street and yard flooding and inconvenience. However, increases in property damage over the 25 Year / 6 Hour storm due to building flooding or in public health or safety hazards would be so small as to not be significant or measurable.

Comparison of the pre and post condition peak runoff at the point of discharge, indicates that the post development peak runoff for the 25 Year / 24 Hour storm does not exceed the pre development runoff. The detention facilities as now preliminarily sized, have significantly decreased the peak rate of flow. This has been done primarily to minimize the size of proposed new downstream drainage facilities and/or to minimize the increase in size needed to existing facilities. The final design may vary from that shown, but no increase in peak flow rate at this measuring point is proposed for the 25 Year / 24 Hour storm.

### VI. <u>Mill Creek Cost Comparison - 25 Year / 6 Hour Storm Versus 25</u> <u>Year / 24 Hour Storm</u>

An Opinion of Probable Construction Cost to upgrade the Mill Creek Stormwater Management System is presented in Table 1. Table 2 presents a similar estimate for upgrading the facilities for the 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm. Table 3 is a summary table showing the increase in costs involved. The construction program utilizing the 25 Year / 6 Hour Storm has a total preliminary cost estimated at \$7,619,846, while the 25 Year / 24 Hour Storm design, has a total preliminary cost estimated at \$10,088,874, and the difference in cost is \$2,469,028. There is a major difference in physical facilities and costs involved in providing the additional protection and there is no big difference in the protection provided. (See discussion in Section V). The analysis and cost comparison has been performed based on a hydraulic analysis only. Other SJRWMD pollution abatement criteria such as providing filtration and detention of the first one-inch of runoff throughout the basin have not been utilized. If applied, they simply would serve to dramatically increase the cost of the SJRWMD 25 Year / 24 Hour presumptive criteria alternative and greatly increase the cost difference with the proposed design criteria.

These opinions of probable construction cost include only the Mill Creek basin as representative and do not include the adjacent, similar Cloud Branch Basin or any other basins also experiencing serious drainage problems in the City. Obviously, the cost differential would increase very significantly if the Cloud Branch Basin were also included in the comparison.

### TABLE 1

### **CITY OF SANFORD**

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

### **25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT**

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITE COS
CHAN	NEL CLEANING AND REGRADING			
	ill Creek Channel & Tributaries	25,000 LF	\$ 4.00	\$ 100,00
	tripping and Sodding	15,000 SY	2.00	30,0
	eeding and Mulching	73,300 SY	0.35	25,6
	nannel Section Fencing	5,000 LF	10.00	50,0
5. Ci	nannel Section Sodding	1 LS	25,000	25,0
6. Ei	rosion Control (Fabriform, Etc.)	1 LS	100,000	100,0
			SUBTOTAL:	\$ 330,6
	NTION POND SYSTEMS			
	NTION POND SYSTEMS 3th Street Detention System			
1. <u>18</u> A.	<u>Sth Street Detention System</u> Land Cost	33 AC	\$ 26,136	\$ 862.4
1. <u>18</u> A.	3th Street Detention System	33 AC 479,160 CY	\$ 26,136 1.50	•
1. <u>18</u> A. B.	Sth Street Detention System Land Cost Excavation & Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut) Seeding and Mulching	33 AC 479,160 CY 50,000 SY	1.50	718,7
1. <u>18</u> A. B. C. D.	<ul> <li>Sth Street Detention System</li> <li>Land Cost</li> <li>Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>System Control Structures</li> </ul>	479,160 CY	1.50 0.35	718,7
1. <u>18</u> A. B. C. D.	<ul> <li><u>Sth Street Detention System</u></li> <li>Land Cost</li> <li>Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>System Control Structures</li> <li>Fencing of Site</li> </ul>	479,160 CY 50,000 SY	1.50	718,7 17,5 50,0
1. <u>18</u> A. B. C. D. E.	<pre>Sth Street Detention System Land Cost Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut) Seeding and Mulching System Control Structures Fencing of Site (5300 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)</pre>	479,160 CY 50,000 SY 1 LS	1.50 0.35 50,000	718,7 17,5 50,0
1. <u>18</u> A. B. C. E. F.	<pre>Sth Street Detention System Land Cost Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut) Seeding and Mulching System Control Structures Fencing of Site (5300 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates) Sodding of Side Slopes</pre>	479,160 CY 50,000 SY 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS	1.50 0.35 50,000	718,7 17,5 50,0 55,0
1. <u>18</u> A. B. C. D. F. G.	<pre>Sth Street Detention System Land Cost Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut) Seeding and Mulching System Control Structures Fencing of Site (5300 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates) Sodding of Side Slopes Erosion Control (Fabriform)</pre>	479,160 CY 50,000 SY 1 LS 1 LS	1.50 0.35 50,000 55,000	718,7 17,5 50,0 55,0 10,0
1. <u>18</u> A. B. C. D. F. G.	<pre>Sth Street Detention System Land Cost Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut) Seeding and Mulching System Control Structures Fencing of Site (5300 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates) Sodding of Side Slopes</pre>	479,160 CY 50,000 SY 1 LS 1 LS 1 LS	1.50 0.35 50,000 55,000 10,000	\$ 862,48 718,74 17,50 50,00 55,00 10,00 30,00 62,50

SUBTOTAL: \$1,806,228

### CITY OF SANFORD

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	FY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
2.	McCracken Road/RR Detention System	(South S	ide	<u>Channel)</u>	
	A. Land Cost	6.50	AC	\$ 30,000	\$195,000
	B. Excavation & Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)	94,380	СҮ	1.50	141,570
	C. Seeding and Mulching	9,800	SY	0.35	3,430
	D. System Control Structure		LS		40,000
	E. Fencing of Site		LS	24,000	24,000
	(2200 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)	-		24,000	24,000
	F. Sodding of Side Slopes	1	LS	10,000	10,000
	G. Erosion Control (Fabriform)		LS	25,000	25,000
	H. Construction of Maint. Roadway		LS	45,000	45,000
	and Pond Berm		20	40,000	40,000
	I. Dual 48" RCP	60	LF	200.00	12,000
	J. Demolition/Removal of Existing		LS	2,500	2,500
	Culvert	-		2,500	2,000
	K. Culvert System Headwalls	2	EA	10,000	20,000
	L. Asphalt Road Restoration		LS	5,000	5,000
	M. Erosion Protection		LS	3,500	3,500
<u></u>				SUBTOTAL:	\$527,000
2	North Side Channel Detention Syste	m			
.د					
٦.	A. Land Cost	3.30	AC	\$ 30,000	\$ 99.000
з.	B. Excavation & Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)	3.30		\$ 30,000 1.50	\$ 99,000 47,190
5.	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460	СҮ	1.50	47,190
5.	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>D. System Control Structure</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460 3,800	СҮ	1.50 0.35	47,190
	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>D. System Control Structure</li> <li>E. Fencing of Site</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460 3,800 1	CY SY	1.50 0.35 40,000	47,190 1,330 40,000
	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>D. System Control Structure</li> <li>E. Fencing of Site (1400 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460 3,800 1 1	CY SY LS LS	1.50 0.35	47,190
	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>D. System Control Structure</li> <li>E. Fencing of Site (1400 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)</li> <li>F. Sodding of Side Slopes</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460 3,800 1 1	CY SY LS LS LS	1.50 0.35 40,000	47,190 1,330 40,000
5.	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>D. System Control Structure</li> <li>E. Fencing of Site (1400 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)</li> <li>F. Sodding of Side Slopes</li> <li>G. Erosion Control (Fabriform)</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460 3,800 1 1 1	CY SY LS LS LS LS	1.50 0.35 40,000 16,000	47,190 1,330 40,000 16,000 10,000
	<ul> <li>B. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</li> <li>C. Seeding and Mulching</li> <li>D. System Control Structure</li> <li>E. Fencing of Site (1400 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)</li> <li>F. Sodding of Side Slopes</li> </ul>	3.30 31,460 3,800 1 1 1	CY SY LS LS LS	1.50 0.35 40,000 16,000 10,000	47,190 1,330 40,000 16,000

### CITY OF SANFORD

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

	Culvert 3. Culvert System Headwalls 4. Sodding	2 EA		
	4. Sodding		10,000	20,000
	5. Storm Inlets & Junction Boxes	1,000 SY	2.00	2,000
	6. Asphalt Road Restoration	4 EA 3 EA	5,000 5,000	20,000
	7. Erosion Protection	1 LS	3,500	3,500
)			SUBTOTAL:	\$143,000
D.	UPGRADING OF STORMWATER SYSTEM AT 18TH	STREET (MAIN	(CHANNEL)	
	1. Storm Inlets & Junction Boxes	2 EA	\$ 5,000	\$ 10,000
	2. Miscellaneous (Grading, Grouting)	1 LS	1,500	1,500
	3. Erosion Protection	1 LS	3,500	3,500
			SUBTOTAL:	\$ 15,000
			SUBTUTAL:	\$ 13,000
<b>e.</b> :	BACKFILLING OF DITCH FROM 20TH TO 18TH	ST. (MAIN CH		Ş 13,000
	BACKFILLING OF DITCH FROM 20TH TO 18TH 1. Backfill and Compaction	ST. (MAIN CH 1 LS	(ANNEL)	
				\$ 10,000 7,800

### CITY OF SANFORD

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

.

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ΓY	UNIT COST	ITEM Cost
CUL CHA	VERT CROSSING-EXISTING COUNTY DITCH NNEL)	I TO 18TH	5 <b>5.</b>	DETENTION	POND (MAIN
	4.0' x 9.0' Box Culvert	375	LF	\$ 275	\$103,125
	Culvert System Headwalls	2	EA	10,000	
	Sodding	500	SY	2.00	
	Storm Inlets & Junction Boxes		EA	5,000	10,00
	Road Restoration		LS	7,500	7,50
	Easement Acquisition		LS	20,000	20,00
7.	Erosion Protection	1	LS	3,500	3,50
			· .	SUBTOTAL	: \$165,12
PIP	ING OF MILL CREEK FROM 18TH ST. TO	NORTH OF	13TH		• •
1.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts	NORTH OF 2,700			• •
1. 2.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes	2,700		ST. (MAIN	CHANNEL)
1. 2. 3.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls	2,700 15	LF	<b>ST. (MAIN</b> \$ 200	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00
1. 2. 3. 4.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding	2,700 15 2 3,200	LF EA EA SY	<b>ST. (MAIN</b> \$ 200 5,000	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00	LF EA EA SY AC	<b>ST. (MAIN</b> \$ 200 5,000 10,000	<b>CHANNEL)</b> \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition Asphalt Road Restoration	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00	LF EA EA SY	<b>ST. (MAIN</b> \$ 200 5,000 10,000 2.00	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40 30,00
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition Asphalt Road Restoration Dirt Road Restoration	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00 4 2	LF EA EA SY AC EA EA	ST. (MAIN \$ 200 5,000 10,000 2.00 30,000	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40 30,00 20,00
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition Asphalt Road Restoration Dirt Road Restoration Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00 4 2 1	LF EA EA SY AC EA EA LS	ST. (MAIN \$ 200 5,000 10,000 2.00 30,000 5,000	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40 30,00 20,00 4,00
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition Asphalt Road Restoration Dirt Road Restoration Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control Realignment of Existing Water and Sewer Utilities	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00 4 2 1	LF EA EA SY AC EA EA	ST. (MAIN \$ 200 5,000 10,000 2.00 30,000 5,000 2,000	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40 30,00 20,00 4,00
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition Asphalt Road Restoration Dirt Road Restoration Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control Realignment of Existing Water and Sewer Utilities Flow Control Boxes	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00 4 2 1 1	LF EA EA SY AC EA EA LS	ST. (MAIN \$ 200 5,000 10,000 2.00 30,000 5,000 2,000 150,000 50,000	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40 30,00 20,00 4,00 150,00 50,00
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Easement Acquisition Asphalt Road Restoration Dirt Road Restoration Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control Realignment of Existing Water and Sewer Utilities	2,700 15 2 3,200 1.00 4 2 1 1 3	LF EA SY AC EA LS LS	<b>ST. (MAIN</b> \$ 200 5,000 10,000 2.00 30,000 5,000 2,000 150,000	CHANNEL) \$540,00 75,00 20,00 6,40 30,00 20,00 4,00 150,00 50,00 30,00

SUBTOTAL: \$947,400

### CITY OF SANFORD

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ry		UNIT COST		ITEM COST
. VI	GRADING OF CULVERT AT 8TH STREET (	MAIN CHANN	EL)				
1.	5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	Ş	300	s	18,00
2.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS	·	2,500	•	2,50
з.	Culvert System Headwalls	2	EA		10,000		20,00
4.	Sodding		SY		2.00		20
5.	Asphalt Road Restoration		EA		5,000		5,00
6.	Erosion Protection		LS		3,500		3,50
		··· .		SU	BTOTAL:	\$	49,20
. VI	GRADING OF CULVERT AT MEISCH ROAD	(NORTH SID	е сна	NNEL	.)		
1.		60	LF	\$	200	s	12,00
2.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS		2,500	·	2,50
3.	Culvert Headwalls	2	EA		5,000		10,00
4.	Sodding		SY		2.00		20
5.	Asphalt Road Restoration		EA		5,000		5,00
6.	Erosion Protection		LS		3,500		3,50
		it in a fi		SU	BTOTAL:	Ş	33,20
TTE	GRADING OF CULVERT OF BEVIER ROAD	(NORTH SID)	е сна	NNEL	•)		
, OI				Ş	200	~	12.00
	$1.5' \times 10.0'$ Box Culvert	60	T. 17		200	- P	12,00
			LF LS	Ŷ	2,500		2,50
1.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS	Ŷ	-		2,50
1. 2. 3.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert Culvert Headwalls	1	LS EA	Ŷ	5,000		10,00
1. 2.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert Culvert Headwalls Sodding	1 2 100	LS EA SY	Ŷ	5,000		10,00
1. 2. 3. 4.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert Culvert Headwalls Sodding Asphalt Road Restoration	1 2 100 1	LS EA	Ŷ	5,000		10,00

- 11 -

### CITY OF SANFORD

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ſY	•	UNIT COST		ITEM COST
۱	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT AIRPORT BLVD	. (South s	EDE C	HANN	EL)		
	1. Dual 42-inch RCP Culverts	50	LF	\$	150	Ś	7,50
	<ol> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert</li> </ol>		LS	T	2,500	•	2,50
	<ol><li>Culvert Headwalls</li></ol>	2	EA		5,000		10,00
	4. Sodding	100			2.00		20
!	5. Asphalt Road Restoration		ËA		5,000		5,00
	6. Erosion Protection	_	LS		3,500		3,50
-		<u></u>		SU	BTOTAL:	\$	28,70
	1. 5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	s	300	Ŝ	18.00
	<ol> <li>5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing</li> </ol>	- +	LF LS	\$	300 2,500	\$	18,00
	Culvert	_			-,		
	3. Culvert Headwalls	2	EA		7,500		15,00
4	4. Sodding		SY		2.00		20
- 5	5. Asphalt Road Restoration		EA		5,000		5,00
	6. Erosion Protection		LS		3,500		3,50
-							44,20
-				SU	BTOTAL:	\$	•
-	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 8TH ST. (SOU	TH SIDE CH	ANNEL		BTOTAL:	\$	-
( - 1	1. 5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert		ANNEL		BTOTAL:	·	22,50
( - 1		75		)		·	
()  	<ol> <li>5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing</li> </ol>	75 1	LF LS	)	300 2,500	·	2,50
( - - -	<ol> <li>5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert</li> </ol>	75 1 2	LF LS EA	)	300 2,500 7,500	·	22,50 2,50 15,00
	<ol> <li>5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert</li> <li>Culvert Headwalls</li> <li>Sodding</li> </ol>	75 1 2 100	LF LS EA SY	)	300 2,500 7,500 2.00	·	2,50 15,00 20
	<ol> <li>5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert</li> <li>Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert</li> <li>Culvert Headwalls</li> <li>Sodding</li> </ol>	75 1 2 100 1	LF LS EA	)	300 2,500 7,500	·	2,50

,

### CITY OF SANFORD

### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

		ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ry	UNIT COST	ITEN COST
. 1	REG	RADING/REDIRECTION/CULVERT CROSSI	ING OF CHAN	NEL (	RR/MCKEE	AREA
	1.	Ditch Filling/Grading/Sodding, Etc.	1	LS	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,00
	2.	Bore & Jack: Railroad	1	LS	7,500	7,50
	3.	Dual 48" RCP Culverts		LF	200	· · · · ·
4	4.	Culvert System Headwalls	2	EA	7,500	,
5	5.		250	SY		
e	6.	Erosion Protection	1	LS	3,500	
-					SUBTOTAL	\$ 46,50
	MIL) 1.	L CREEK OPINION OF PROBABLE CONST ITEMIZED COST BREAKDOWN (SEE ABC		5T	SUBTOTAL	
1	1.	ITEMIZED COST BREAKDOWN (SEE ABC	VE)	ST	SUBTOTAL	\$ 46,50 \$4,521,62
1			VE)	ST	SUBTOTAL	
1	1.	ITEMIZED COST BREAKDOWN (SEE ABC	VE)	51	SUBTOTAL	\$4,521,62

Table1.tlb
### TABLE 2

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

#### 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
А. СН	ANNEL CLEANING AND REGRADING			
1.	Mill Creek Channel & Tributaries	25,000 LF	\$ 4.00	\$ 100,000
2.	Stripping and Sodding	15,000 SY		30,000
3.	Seeding and Mulching	73,300 SY	0.35	25,65
	Channel Section Fencing	5,000 LF	10.00	50,000
	Channel Section Sodding	1 LS	40,000	40,000
6.	Erosion Control (Fabriform, Etc.)	1 LS	200,000	200,00
			SUBTOTAL:	\$ 445,65
	TENTION POND SYSTEMS			
	A. Land Cost	48 AC	\$ 26,136	\$1,254,52
	B. Excavation & Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)	696,960 CY		1,045,44
	C. Seeding and Mulching	78,000 SY	0.35	27,30
	D. System Control Structures	1 LS	75,000	75,00
	E. Fencing of Site (5300 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)	1 LS	72,000	72,00
	F. Sodding of Side Slopes	1 LS	20,000	20,00
	G. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	1 LS		50,00
	H. Construction of Maint. Roadway and Pond Berm	l LS	•	100,00

- 14 -

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

#### 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ry	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
2. <u>M</u>	cCracken Road/RR Detention System	(South S	ide (	<u>Channel)</u>	
A	. Land Cost	8.0	AC	\$ 30,000	\$240,000
В	. Excavation & Disposal (On-Site) (9.0' Avg. Cut)	116,160	СХ	1.50	174,24
с	. Seeding and Mulching	12,016	SY	0.35	4,20
	. System Control Structure		LS	50,000	50,00
	. Fencing of Site (2200 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)		LS	30,000	30,00
F	. Sodding of Side Slopes	1	LS	15,000	15,00
	. Erosion Control (Fabriform)	1	LS	30,000	30,00
	. Construction of Maint. Roadway and Pond Berm	1	LS	62,500	62,50
	. Dual 48" RCP	60	$\mathbf{LF}$	200.00	12,00
	. Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS	2,500	2,50
	. Culvert System Headwalls	2	EA	10,000	20,00
	. Asphalt Road Restoration	1	LS	5,000	5,00
М	. Erosion Protection	1	LS	3,500	3,50
<u></u>				SUBTOTAL:	\$648,94
3. <u>N</u>	orth Side Channel Detention System	Ī			
A	. Land Cost	4.00	AC	\$ 30,000	\$120,00
	<pre>. Excavation &amp; Disposal (On-Site) (6.5' Avg. Cut)</pre>			i.50	62,92
	. Seeding and Mulching	4,750	SY	0.35	1,66
	. System Control Structure		LS	50,000	50,00
E	. Fencing of Site (1400 LF @ \$10/LF + 2 Gates)	1	LS	22,000	22,00
F	. Sodding of Side Slopes	1	LS	15,000	15,00
	. Erosion Control (Fabriform)		LS	30,000	30,00
	. Construction of Maint. Roadway and Pond Berm		LS	62,500	62,50
				SUBTOTAL:	\$364,08

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

	Erosion Protection	<b>⊥</b>		UBTOTAL:	\$:	151,000
7.	GRADING OF STORMWATER SYSTEM AT 18TH		S	UBTOTAL:	\$:	·
	Erosion Protection	1				·
	Erosion Protection					ີ່ລຸສບ
	Asphalt Road Restoration	3	EALS	5,000 3,500		15,00
4.	Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Storm Inlets & Junction Boxes	1,000		10,000 2.00 5,000		20,00 2,00 20,00
	4.0' x 9.0' Box Culvert Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert		LF \$ LS	275 2,500	\$	88,00 2,50
	GRADING OF CULVERT AT 20TH STREET &					

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	ITEM COST
. CULVERT CROSSING-EXISTING COUNTY DIT( CHANNEL)	CH TO 18TH	ST. DETENTION	POND (MAIN
1. 4.0' x 9.0' Box Culvert	375 I	F \$ 275	\$103,125
2. Culvert System Headwalls	2 E	A 10,000	20,000
3. Sodding	500 S		
4. Storm Inlets & Junction Boxes	2 E	A 5,000	10,00
5. Road Restoration	1 I		
6. Easement Acquisition	1 L	•	
7. Erosion Protection	1 L	S 3,500	3,50
•		SUBTOTAL	: \$165,12
. PIPING OF MILL CREEK FROM 18TH ST. TO	NORTH OF 1	3TH ST. (MAIN	CHANNEL)
1. Dual 48-inch RCP Culverts	2,700 I		
2. Storm Inlets and Junction Boxes	15 E	A 5,000	75,00
3. Culvert System Headwalls	2 E		
4. Sodding	3,200 S		
5. Easement Acquisition	1.00 A	.C 30,000	30,00
6. Asphalt Road Restoration	4 E	•	20,00
7. Dirt Road Restoration	2 E		4,00
8. Sheeting/Shoring/Traffic Control			
9. Realignment of Existing Water and Sewer Utilities	1 1	S 50,000	50,00
10. Flow Control Boxes	3 E	A 10,000	30,00
11. Miscellaneous Restoration	1 L		
12. Erosion Protection	11		
		GUDBOWAZ	

SUBTOTAL: \$947,400

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

		ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ΓY		UNIT COST		ITEM COST
•	UPG	RADING OF CULVERT AT 8TH STREET	(MAIN CHANN	EL)				
	1.	5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	Ş	300	\$	18,00
	2.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS		2,500		2,50
	3.	Culvert System Headwalls	2	EA		10,000		20,00
	4.	Sodding		SY		2.00		20
	5.	Asphalt Road Restoration	1	EA		5,000		5,00
	6.	Erosion Protection		LS		3,500		3,50
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			SU	BTOTAL:	\$	49,20
•	UPG	RADING OF CULVERT AT MEISCH ROAD	(NORTH SID	е сна	NNEL	,)		
	1.	1.5' x 10.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	\$	200	s	12,00
	2.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS		2,500	•	2,50
	з.		2	EA		5,000		10,00
	4.	Sodding	100	SY		2.00		20
		Asphalt Road Restoration	1	EA		5,000		5,00
	6.	Erosion Protection	1	LS		3,500		3,50
	<u></u>				SU	BTOTAL:	\$	33,20
•	UPG	RADING OF CULVERT OF BEVIER ROAD	(NORTH SID	e cha	NNEL	,)		
	1.	1.5' x 10.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	\$	200	Ś	12,00
	-			LS	•	2,500	Ŧ	2,50
	2.	Culvert				5,000		10,00
	2.	Culvert Culvert Headwalls	2	EA		3,000		
				ea Sy		•		-
	3.	Culvert Headwalls Sodding Asphalt Road Restoration	100	SY		2.00		20
	3. 4.	Culvert Headwalls	100 1			•		-

- 18 -

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	TY		UNIT COST		ITEM COST
UPO	GRADING OF CULVERT AT AIRPORT BLVD.	(SOUTH S	IDE	CHANN	EL)		
1.		50	LF	\$	150	Ś	7,50
2.	Demolition/Removal of Existing Culvert	1	LS	•	2,500	•	2,50
з.	Culvert Headwalls	2	EA		5,000		10,00
4.	Sodding	100	SY		2.00		20
5.			EA		5,000		5,00
6.	Erosion Protection		LS		3,500		3,50
				SU	BTOTAL:	\$	28,70
UPO	GRADING OF CULVERT AT PERSIMMON AVE	NUE (SOUT	H SI	IDE CH	ANNEL)		
1.	5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	\$	300	Ś	18,00
2.		1	LS	•	2,500	'	2,50
-	Culvert						
3.			EA		7,500		15,00
4.			S¥		2.00		20
5.			EA		5,000		5,00
6.	Erosion Protection	1	LS		3,500		3,50
				SU	BTOTAL:	\$	44,20
UPO	FRADING OF CULVERT AT 8TH ST. (SOUT	H SIDE CH	ANNI	BL)			
1.	THE HOLD DON COLVELE	75	$\mathbf{LF}$	\$	300	ŝ	22,50
2.	Culvert		LS	·	2,500	т	2,50
3.		2	EA		7,500		15,00
4.			SY		2.00		20
			EA		5,000		5,00
5.							
5. 6.	Erosion Protection	1	LS		3,500		3,50

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

		ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTI	ry		UNIT COST		ITEM COST
N.	REG	RADING/REDIRECTION/CULVERT CROSSING	OF CHAN	NEL @	RR/	MCKEE AR	EA	
	1.	Ditch Filling/Grading/Sodding, Etc.	1	LS	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
	2.	Bore & Jack: Railroad	٦	LS		7,500		7,500
	3.			LF		200		10,00
		Culvert System Headwalls		EA		7,500		15,00
		Sodding		SY		2.00		50
		Erosion Protection	1	LS		3,500		3,50
					SU	BTOTAL:	\$	46,50
•	4. 5.	5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert Ditch Widening Culvert System Headwalls Sodding Road Elev. Change and Restoration Erosion Protection	1 2 400 1	LF LS EA SY EA LS	\$	300 5,000 10,000 2.00 12,500 3,500	\$	24,000 5,000 20,000 300 12,500 3,500
	<u> </u>				SU	BTOTAL:	\$	65,80
P.	UPG	RADING OF CULVERT AT 2ND STREET (MA	IN CHANN	EL)				
	1.	5.0' x 8.0' Box Culvert	60	LF	\$	300	\$	18,00
	2.	Ditch Widening		LS	•	5,000	•	5,00
	3.	Culvert System Headwalls		EA		10,000		20,00
	4.	Sodding	400	SY		2.00		<b>8</b> 0
	5.	Road Elev. Change and Restoration	1	EA		12,500		12,50
	6.	Erosion Protection	1	LS		3,500		3,50
	<u> </u>				SI	JBTOTAL:	\$	59,80

.

.

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN

25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

#### Q. MILL CREEK OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

	MILL CREEK OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST:	\$10,088,874
	LEGAL, CONSTRUCTION ADMIN., RPR, MAPPING, ETC.) (20%)	1,681,479
4.	PROJECT COSTS (SURVEYING, SOILS, ENGINEERING,	1,090,017
з.	CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY (15%)	1,096,617
2.	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION FOR MILL CREEK	1,500,000
1.	ITEMIZED COST BREAKDOWN (SEE ABOVE)	\$5,810,778

Table2.tlb

TABLE 3

# MILL CREEK HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY CITY OF SANFORD

1	ITEM DESCRIPTION	25YR/24HR COST	25YR/6HR Cost	COST DIFFERENTIAL
à	CHANNEL CLEANING AND REGRADING	\$ 445,655	\$ 330,655	\$115,000
в.	DETENTION POND SYSTEMS			
	1. 18th STREET DETENTION SYSTEM	2,644,268	1,806,228	838,040
	2. MCCRACKEN ROAD/RR DETENTION SYSTEM (SOUTH SIDE CHANNEL)	648,946	527,000	121,946
	3. NORTH SIDE CHANNEL DETENTION SYSTEM	364,084	283,520	80,564
ಲ	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 20th STREET & GOLDSBORO (MAIN CHANNEL)	151,000	143,000	8,000
	UPGRADING OF STORMWATER SYSTEM AT 18th STREET (MAIN CHANNEL)	15,000	15,000	0
E	BACKFILLING OF DITCH FROM 20th TO 18th STREET (MAIN CHANNEL)	20,000	20,000	o
• ¤•	CULVERT CROSSING-EXISTING CO DITCH TO 18th ST. DET. POND (MAIN CHANNEL)	165,125	165,125	0
ċ	PIPING OF MILL CREEK FROM 18th ST. TO NORTH OF 13TH ST. (Main Channel)	947,400	947,400	0
н.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 8th STREET (MAIN CHANNEL)	49,200	49,200	o
Ι.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 3rd STREET (MAIN CHANNEL)	65,800	o	65,800
ч. Ч	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 2nd STREET (MAIN CHANNEL)	59,800	o	59,800
к.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT MEISCH RD. (NORTH SIDE CHANNEL)	33,200	33,200	0
ų	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT BEVIER RD. (NORTH SIDE CHANNEL)	33,200	33,200	0
x.	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT AIRPORT BLVD. (SOUTH SIDE CHANNEL)	28,700	28,700	0
ż	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT PERSIMMON AVE. (SOUTH SIDE CHANNEL)	44,200	44,200	o

- 22 -

TABLE 3 (Continued)

CITY OF SANFORD MILL CREEK HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	25YR/24HR Cost	25YR/6HR Cost	COST DIFFERENTIAL
o	UPGRADING OF CULVERT AT 8th ST. (SOUTH SIDE CHANNEL)	48,700	48,700	0
Ъ.	REGRADING/REDIRECTION/CULVERT CROSSING OF CHANNEL AT RR/McKEE AREA	\$ 46,500	46, 500	0
ò	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION FOR MILL CREEK	1,500,000	1,000,000	500,000
ч.	CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY (15%)	1,096,617	828,244	268,373
ы. С	PROJECT COSTS (SURVEYING, SOILS, ENGINEERING, LEGAL Construction Admin., RPR, Mapping, ETC.) (205)	1,681,479	1,269,974	411,505
	MILL CREEK OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST	\$10,088,874	\$7,619,846	\$2,469,028

-

- 23 -

#### VII. <u>Accomplishment of SJRWMD Objectives and Standards Utilizing</u> <u>Proposed Criteria</u>

Following is a listing of St. Johns River Water Management District Objectives and Standards. The "Objectives" are listed first, and the "Standards", follow. We have answered each point directly for our proposed storm and criteria and then followed that response with comparison remarks with the existing conditions in the basin and 25 Year / 24 Hour SJRWMD criteria, etc., as may be appropriate.

**Objectives:** 

SJRWMD: To obtain a permit for operation, maintenance, removal or abandonment of a system, each applicant must give reasonable assurance that such activity will not:

No.0-1

- SJRWMD: Adversely affect navigability of rivers and harbors;
- CITY: No affect or difference in affect between the existing basin conditions or SJRWMD presumptive criteria.

No.0-2

SJRWMD: Adversely affect recreational development or public lands;

CITY: No adverse affect or difference in affect.

#### No.0-3

#### SJRWMD: Endanger life, health, or property;

CITY: There will remain some minimal risk to life, health and property. The proposed project would <u>vastly</u> reduce existing public health, safety and property damage and risks, but not all risks would be eliminated. In comparison with the SJRWMD 25 Year / 24 Hour presumptive criteria, there would be no significant, additional, adverse impact as there would only be some slight increase in street and yard flooding and inconvenience, but no additional risk to life, health, or property as no additional buildings would be flooded. Also see Map Pockets D through K for additional, detailed back-up data.

No.0-4

SJRWMD: Be inconsistent with the maintenance of minimum flows and levels established pursuant to Section 373.042, F.S.;

CITY: No adverse affect.

-24-

No.0-5

- SJRWMD: Adversely affect the availability of water for reasonable beneficial purposes;
- CITY: No adverse affect or difference in affect.

No.0-5

- SJRWMD: Be incapable of being effectively operated;
- CITY: No adverse affect. Proposed system would be greatly improved operationally over existing facilities and it would meet SJRWMD criteria and requirements on operability.

No.0-7

- SJRWMD: Adversely affect the operation of a Work of the District established pursuant to Section 373.086, F.S., and Chapter 40C-6,F.A.C.;
- CITY: No adverse affect.

No.0-8

- SJRWMD: Adversely affect existing agricultural, commercial, industrial, or residential developments;
- CITY: No adverse affect. The existing conditions would be greatly improved. In comparison with the SJRWMD standard storm and criteria, the proposed system would cause very minor additional inconvenience, but no measurable difference in impact. See detail data in Map Pockets A, B, and D through K.

No.0-9

#### SJRWMD Cause adverse impacts to the quality of receiving waters;

CITY: No adverse impacts. Existing water quality will be significantly improved by the proposed project criteria. The water quality might be very slightly better under implementation of the complete SJRWMD criteria, but it is believed that it would not be significantly better.

No.0-10

## SJRWMD: Adversely affect natural resources, fish or wildlife;

CITY: No difference in affect of the proposed design in comparison with SJRWMD criteria.

No.0-11

SJRWMD: Induce saltwater or pollution intrusion;

CITY: No adverse affect.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

-25-

No.0-12

- SJRWMD: Increase the potential for damages to off-site property or the public caused by:
  - floodplain development, encroachment or other alteration; or
  - retardance, acceleration, displacement, or diversion of surface water; or
  - 3. reduction of natural water storage areas; or
  - facility failure;

CITY: No adverse impact.

- 1. Will help reduce risk to existing development in the floodplain and encroachments See Map Pocket data.
- By providing detention areas downstream, runoff will be stored and flows mitigated. In areas proposed to be piped or closed, it will be accelerated, but the overall affect is not significantly changed.
- 3. Water currently is stored in the natural low areas, in the floodway and floodplain, in the streets, on the lots and private property and in the buildings. Under the proposed system, the street, lot and building water storage will be transferred to the planned detention areas and away from the people.
- Detention facilities are the only facility proposed different from the existing system having a potential for failure, and they will be conservatively designed and emergency flow paths examined.

Also see Map Pockets A, B, H, I, J, and K for additional, detailed information on Items 1-4 above, and response to Items 0-1, 0-2 and 0-3 above.

No.0-13

- SJRWMD: Increase the potential for flood damages to residences, public buildings, or proposed and existing streets and roadways;
- CITY: No adverse impact. The potential for flood damage to residences, buildings and streets will be <u>vastly reduced</u> under the proposed criteria. See response to Items No. 0-3, 0-12, 0-15, 0-16 and 0-17.

No.0-14

- SJRWMD: Otherwise be inconsistent with the overall objectives of the District.
- CITY: Consistent with overall objectives of the District.

-26-

No.0-15

SJRWMD: In evaluating the potential for flood damages to residences, public buildings, or public streets and roadways, the following <u>criteria</u> will be utilized:

Any proposed streets and roadways must be flood-free as required by local government criteria;

CITY: No adverse affect. Proposal will greatly help conditions. Please refer to Items No. 0-3 - 0-13, where subject was previously discussed and compared. City requires new development to be flood-free, etc., under development regulations. See also 0-16, below.

No.0-16

- SJRWMD: The first floor of any proposed building used for residence or as a public facility, must be set at or above an elevation adopted by local ordinance or where a local ordinance has not been adopted, at the 100 year flood elevation calculated by the District or approved by the District based upon the determination of the applicant.
- CITY: No adverse impact. The City has adopted FIRM maps and requires all new buildings and residences to be above 100 Year Flood Elevation. Some existing buildings in subject basin are below 100 Year Flood Elevation and relief will be provided by the proposed improvements. This item is further discussed and compared with SJRWMD criteria under Items No. 0-3, 0-12 and 0-13.

#### <u>Standards;</u>

SJRWMD: To obtain a permit for the construction, alternation, operation or maintenance of a system, each applicant must give reasonable assurance that such activity meets the following standards:

No.S-1

- SJRWMD: Adverse water quantity impacts will not be caused to receiving water and adjacent lands.
- CITY: No adverse impact. See Map Pockets H and I, and also previous responses to Item Nos. 0-3, 0-8, 0-12, 0-15 and 0-16.

No.8-2

- SJRWMD: Surface and ground water levels and surface water flows will not be adversely affected.
- CITY: No adverse impacts. See previous responses to Item Nos. 0-3 and 0-12.

-27-

No.S-3

- SJRWMD: Existing surface water storage and conveyance capabilities will not be adversely affected.
- CITY: No adverse impact. See also response to Item No. 0-12.

No.S-4

- SJRWMD: The system must be capable of being effectively operated.
- CITY: The system can be effectively operated. Please refer to previous response Item No. 0-6.

No.S-5

- SJRWMD: The activity must not result in adverse impacts to the operation of Works of the District established pursuant to Section 373.086, F.S.
- CITY: No adverse impacts.

No.S-6

- SJRWMD: Hydrologically related environmental functions will not be adversely affected.
- CITY: No adverse affect. Please refer to Items No. 0-10 and 0-11. Some open channels or conveyances through environmentally sensitive areas will have to be cleaned and increased in capacity. Also some detention sites may partially be in environmentally sensitive areas. This work will be done in conformance with SJRWMD and other applicable agency rules.

No.S-7

- SJRWMD: The activity is not otherwise harmful to the water resources of the District.
- CITY: No adverse impacts. See responses to Items No. 0-1, 0-4, 0-5, 0-7, 0-9, 0-11 and 0-14.

No.S-8

SJRWMD: It is presumed that a system meets the standards listed above if the system meets the following criteria:

> The post-development peak rate of discharge must not exceed the pre-development peak rate of discharge for the storm event as prescribed in Section 10.3.

CITY: The post-development peak rate of discharge will not exceed the pre-development peak rate of discharge to Lake Monroe for the 25 Year / 24 Hour design storm.

-28-

No.5-9

- SJRWMD The post-development volume of direct runoff must not exceed the pre-development volume of direct runoff for systems as prescribed in Subsections 10.4.2 and 10.4.3.
- CITY: Not applicable. The system is not discharging to a landlocked lake as described in Section 10.4.2, nor does Section 10.4.3 requiring special volume requirements for specific basins apply.

No.S-10

- SJRWMD: Floodways and floodplains, and levels of flood flows or velocities of adjacent streams, impoundments or other watercourses must not be altered so as to adversely impact the off-site storage and conveyance capabilities of the water resource.
- CITY: No adverse impact. Existing off-site storage and conveyance capabilities will be greatly enhanced by proposed project and is the real reason for the project improvements. Velocities and erosion will be adequately satisfied by normal criteria.

No.S-11

- SJRWMD: Flows of adjacent streams, impoundments or other watercourses must not be decreased so as to cause adverse impacts.
- CITY: There will be no decrease in adjacent streams, impoundments or other watercourses.

No. 5-12

- SJRWMD: Hydrologically related environmental functions and water quality must not be adversely impacted.
- CITY: See previous response to Items No. S-6 and O-9.

S060702.SUP

# APPENDICES

 $\bigcirc$ 

## APPENDIX 1

## MILL CREEK DRAIÑAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPHS

# MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

PRE-CONDITION

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

DCIA (%)

- -

BASIN NAME	100	105	200	210	220
NODE NAME	200	200	220	200	200
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hts)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (80)	30.50	24.50	53.10	46.90	92.40
CURVE NUMBER	62.00	67.00	71.00	70.00	69.00
DOIA (8)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

46.00 46.00 55.00 65.00 7C (mins) .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 LAG TIME (brs) ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (brs) VOL (in) NOTES 2.07 BASIN SOUTH OF 25TH, EAST OF DITCH 3.32 2.53 BASIN SOUTH OF 25TH, WEST OF DITCH 2.87 BASIN NORTH OF 25TH, WEST OF DITCH 20.65 100 3.06 23.01 105 2.66 2.78 BASIN NORTH OF 25TH, EAST OF DITCH 65.77 200

43.00

2,86 55.66 2.68 COUNTRY CLUB MANOR BASIN 210 2.87 109.38 220

BASIN NAME	300	400	410	420	500
Node name	201	301	400	400	400
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	6.00	6.00	5.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac)	21.90	97.20	35.00	31.00	18.30
CURVE NUMBER	75.00	71.00	65.00	65.00	67.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	17.00	20.00	32.00	25.00	24.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
SASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN OMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES 3.27 GOLDS	BORD SCHOO	)L AREA BAS	SIN

CROIN	BUY (CIP)		3.27 GOLDSBORD SCHOOL AREA BASIN
300	54.58	2.53	3.27 BOEDSBORD SCHOOL HELP POINT
	+ ·	2.58	2.90 BASIN ALONG 18TH ST, SOUTH SIDE
400	194.10	2.90	2100 DECEMBER 14TH AND 19TH STREETS
6 A A	40.93	2.70	2.34 BASIN BETWEEN 16TH AND 18TH STREETS
410	40.75		2.35 BASIN BETWEEN 26TH AND 14TH STREETS
420	42.04	2.61	2.35 BRSIN BEINCEN LOTTI ATT ATT
			2.52 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 13TH STREETS
500	28.01	2.61	2.02 CHOIN CONCERN T

7,412

\_...

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

				620	700
BASIN NAME	610	625	650		602
NODE NAME	550	550	550	600	802
		GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA		342.	342.	342.
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.			
			RATN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAINFALL FILE		RAIN25-6 6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
· RAIN AMOUNT (in)			6.00		
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	0.00	•	
	00.50	11.70	38.30	55.80	16.00
AREA (ac)	23.50	67.00	65.00	71.00	65.00
CURVE NUMBER	67.00	.00		.00	.00
DCIA (%)	.00		45.00	40.00	26.00
TC (mins)	27.00		.00	_00	.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	-00	.00	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	0001.4	01102.2	
		( : - ) NOTES			
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (	(HTS) VOL	(IN) NOTES	RETUREN 1	1TH AND 131	TH STREETS
A10 33.57	2.64	DAGIN	NORTH OF	CROOMS SCH	OF CHOICE
625 17.52		2.53 BASIN	CONTH OF	CROOMS SCH	OF CHOICE
450 36.61		2.35 BADIN	DETUEEN 1	1TH AND ST	STREETS
620 75.09		2.88 BASIN	BETWEEN 9	TH AND 7TH	STREETS
700 21.14	2.66	2.33 BASIN	BEINCEN >		
•					
	710	810	820	830	840
BASIN NAME			800	800	800
NODE NAME	602	000			
	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA		+
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	342.		342.	342.	342.
PEAKING FACTOR	344.				
	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6		
	6.00		6.00	6.00	6.00
RAIN AMOUNT (in)				6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION ( hrs	) 0.00	+			
	37.00	29.60	74.50	27.30	30.80
AREA (ac)	65.00			70.00	64.00
CURVE NUMBER	.00			.00	.00
DCIA (%)	28.00			29.00	32.00
TC (mins)	20,00			.00	.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	ONSITE	_			ONSITE
BASIN STATUS	UNSIL		• • • • • •		
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(brs) VOL	(in) NOTE	5		
	2.68	2 34 BAST	N BETWEEN	MCCRACKEN	AND 9TH ST
	2.64	2 90 RAST	N ON EAST	SIDE OF LOS	CKHART AREA
	3.05	2 80 WES	T SIDE OF	LOCKHART &	W OF AIRPR
•	2.64	2 80 BASI	N WEST OF	AIRPRT EOU	LEVARD
• + -	2.70	2.25 BASI	N JUST NOP	RTH OF SMIT	H CANAL
840 34.17	6 F / Y				

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME	640	930	910	<del>9</del> 21	920
NODE NAME	550	603	1000	1000	1000
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342 -
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00		RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	
AREA (ac)	78.90	10.30	31.60	24.40	58.40
CURVE NUMBER	78.00	89.00	70.00	82.00	69.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	54.00	8.00	33.00	19.00	53.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	0NSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 640 115.36 930 42.44 910 45.91 921 73.74 920 61.07	(hrs) VOL 3.00 2.49 2.71 2.52 3.06	4.73 BASIN 2.78 BASIN 3.99 BASIN	io:SWIROAD Io:97H ST Io:RRYARD Io:RRYARD	) ARËA, WES	MILL CREEK ST OF CREEK SIMMON AVE

BASIN NAME	940	950	960	1000	1100
NODE NAME	1000	1000	1000	1100	1200
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac)	48.00	56.80	62.20	69.50	23.00
CURVE NUMBER	63.00	62.00	59.00	65.00	65.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	39.00	45.00	48.00	38.00	26.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	:00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	CNSITE	ONSITE	00SITE
BASIN OMX (cfs) TMX 940 44.87	(hrs) VOL 2.86	(in) NOTES 2.17 BASIN	BETWEEN	AIRPORT BLV	D & BEVIER

2.09 BASIN BETWEEN MEISCH AND BEVIER RDS 940 44.8 45.36 3.00 3.09 950 1.83 BASIN WEST OF MEISCH ROAD 41.50 960 2.35 BASIN BETWEEN RR AND GRD STREET 2.79 1000. 73.25 2.33 BASIN BETWEEN 3RD AND 2ND STREETS 2.66 .30.39 1100

.

●14P17RAAAAAA やしとりが pasa- c





÷.,

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME	1200	CLDBRNCH
NODE NAME	1300	1400
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac)	54.00	306.50
CURVE NUMBER	78.00	84.00
DCIA (%)	100	.00
TC (mins)	56.00	100.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE
		( : + ) NOTES

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 1200 77.50 2.99 3.57 BASIN JUST SOUTH OF 1ST STREET CLDBRNCH 372.37 3.56 4.20 ARTIFICAL BASIN REPRES CLOUD BRANCH

# MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

POST-CONDITION

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

- - -

-

1.11

BASIN NAME NODE NAME		105 100			
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR					
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	30.50 64.00 .00 65.00 .00 ONSITE	24.50 69.00 .00 55.00 .00 ONSITE	53.10 73.00 .00 46.00 .00 ONSITE	46.90 72.00 .00 46.00 .00 ONSITE	92.40 71.00 .00 43.00 .00 ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX ( 100 22.77 105 25.13 200 71.35 210 60.54 220 119.08	(hrs) VOL 3.32 3.06 2.85 2.86	(in) NOTES	SOUTH OF SOUTH OF NORTH OF NORTH OF	257H, EAST 25TH, WEST 25TH, WEST 25TH, WEST	
EASIN NAME NODE NAME				420 301	
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR				GAMMA 342.	
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) Storm Duration (hrs)	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	RAIN25~6 6.00 6.00	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00
AREA (sc) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	21.90 77.00 .00 17.00 .00 ONSITE	73.00 .00 90.00 .00	67.00 .00	25.00 .00	69.00 .00 24.00 .00
	2.53 3.60 2.70	3.47 GOLDS 3.09 LARGE	RETENTION BETWEEN :	N TRACT SOU 16TH & 18TH	STREETS

1000 0000

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAMÉ	610	625			
NODE NAME	401	410	410	403	610
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA			GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)					6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	5.00	5.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac)	23.50	11.70	38.30	55.80	16.00
CURVE NUMBER	69.00	69.00	67.00	73.00	67.GO
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	27.00	25.00	.00 45.00	40.00	26.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00				
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE			ONSITE	ONSITE
	••••				
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
610 36,80	2.64	2.71 BASIN	SETWEEN :	1178 & 13TH	STREETS
625 19.20	2.61	2.71 BASIN	NORTH OF	CROOMS SCH	OF CHOICE
650 40.34	2.90	2.53 BASIN	SOUTH OF	CROOMS SCH	OF CHOICE
620 81.60	2.76	3.07 BASIN	BETWEEN	11TH & 8TH :	STREETS
610 36.80 625 19.20 650 40.34 620 81.60 700 23.28	2.66	2.51 BASIN	BETWEEN	9TH & 7TH S	TREETS
BASIN NAME	710	810	820	830	840
NODE NAME	610	614	614	615	616
UNIT RYDROGRAPH		GAMMA			GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342 -	342.
RAINFALL FILE					
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	5,00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6,00
AREA (ac)	37.00	29.60	74.50		30.80
CURVE NUMBER	67.00		72.00		66.00
DCIA (%)	.00				.00
TC (mins)	28,00				
· LAG TIME (hts)	.00				
BASIN STATUS	ONSITÉ	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
			'		
		<b>/ h h</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX					<b></b>
710 51.66	2.58	2.52 BASIN	BETWEEN	MCCRACKEN &	
710 51.66 810 50.56	<b>2.68</b> 2.64	2.52 BASIN 2.99 EAST :	BETWEEN SIDE OF L	OCKHART ARE	A
710 51.66 910 50.56 820 86.37	2.68 2.64 3.06	2.52 BASIN 2.99 EAST 2.99 WEST	BETWEEN SIDE OF L SIDE OF L	OCKHART ARE OCKHART & W	A OF AIRPRT
710 51.66 810 50.56 820 86.37 830 46.63	2.68 2.64 3.06 2.64	2.52 BASIN 2.99 EAST 2.99 WEST 2.99 BASIN	BETWEEN SIDE OF L SIDE OF L WEST OF	OCKHART ARE OCKHART & W AIRPORT BLV	A OF AIRPRT D
710 51.66 910 50.56 820 86.37	2.68 2.64 3.06	2.52 BASIN 2.99 EAST 2.99 WEST 2.99 BASIN	BETWEEN SIDE OF L SIDE OF L WEST OF	OCKHART ARE OCKHART & W	A OF AIRPRT D

. ---- ...

÷

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

	•				
BASIN NAME	640	930	910	921	920
NODE NAME		620			
	~1/	020	<u>vee</u>	ULL	02.0
			<b>6</b> 4 5 4 4 4	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>
UNIT HYDROGRAPH					
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25+6	RA1N25-6	RATN25-6	RAIN25-6	BAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)					
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	5.00	6.90	6.00	5,00
AREA (20) Curve Number	78.90	10.30	31.60	24.40	58.40
CHOVE NUMBER	79 00	90.00	72.00	84 00	71 00
DOTA (P)	, ,	20.00	/ 2.00	07.00	.00
		.00	.00	.00 18.00	.00
TC (mins)	54.00	8.00	33.00	18.00	53.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	-00	-00	.00
DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(Evel) Vot	(in) MOTES			
BHSIN UNA (CTS) INA					****
640 119.30	2.88	3.68 BASIN	e sa koad	AND 15:8	SIREEI
930 43.13	2.49	4.84 BASIN	@ 9TH ST	& RR NEAR	MILL CREEK
930 43.13 910 49.90	2.71	2.97 BASIN	@ RR YARD	AREA WEST	OF CREEK
921 77.88	2 52	4.20 BASTN	& RR YARD	W OF PER	STMMON AVE
921 77.88 920 66.47	2 94	2 24 EASTN	NODTH OF	MCCDACKEN	ROAD AREA
720 00.4/	2.79	2.00 DHain	NONTH OF	HUCKHUKEN	NUAD AREA
BASIN NAME Node name	940 625	950 627			
NODE NAME	625	<del>6</del> 27	628	700	800
NODE NAME	625 Gamma	627 Gamma	628 GAMMA	700 Gamma	800 Gamma
NODE NAME	625 Gamma	<del>6</del> 27	628 GAMMA	700 Gamma	800 Gamma
NODE NAME Unit hydrograph	625 Gamma	627 Gamma	628 GAMMA	700	800 Gamma
NODE NAME Unit Hydrograph Peaking Factor	625 GAMMA 342.	627 Gamma 342 -	628 GAMMA 342.	700 GAMMA 342.	800 Gamma 342.
NODE NAME Unit Hydrograph Peaking Factor Rainfall Eile	625 GAMMA 342. RATN25-6	627 Gamma 342. Rain25-6	528 GAMMA 342. BAIN25-6	700 GAMMA 342. BAIN25-6	800 GAMMA 342. Rain25-6
NODE NAME Unit Hydrograph Peaking Factor Rainfall Eile	625 GAMMA 342. RATN25-6	627 Gamma 342. Rain25-6	528 GAMMA 342. BAIN25-6	700 GAMMA 342. BAIN25-6	800 GAMMA 342. Rain25-6
NODE NAME Unit Hydrograph Peaking Factor	625 GAMMA 342. RATN25-6	627 Gamma 342. Rain25-6	528 GAMMA 342. BAIN25-6	700 GAMMA 342. BAIN25-6	800 GAMMA 342. Rain25-6
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	625 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	625 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	627 Gamma 342. Rain25-6	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	625 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 48.00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80	528 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hts) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%)	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins)	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs)	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 65.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins)	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (sc) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 ONSITE	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 NSITE	528 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 ONSITE	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	625 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 ONSITE	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (sc) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 ONSITE	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 ONSITE	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 940 49.71	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 2.86	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 ONSITE (in) NOTES 2.34 BASIN	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN A	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 ONSITE	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 940 49.71 950 51.59	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 2.86 2.90	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES 2.34 BASIN 2.26 BASIN	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN A BETWEEN A BETWEEN M	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 0NSITE IRPORT BLV EISCH AND	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 23.00 67.00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 DNSITE
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 940 49.71 950 51.59 960 46.51	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 2.86 2.90 2.99	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 ONSITE (in) NOTES 2.34 BASIN 2.26 BASIN 2.00 SASIN	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 62.20 61.00 62.20 61.00 00 48.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN A BETWEEN A BETWEEN M WEST OF M	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 0NSITE IRPORT BLV EISCH AND EISCH ROAD	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 DNSITE
NODE NAME UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 940 49.71 950 51.59	525 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 5.00 6.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 2.86 2.90	627 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES 2.34 BASIN 2.26 BASIN	628 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 62.20 61.00 62.20 61.00 00 48.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN A BETWEEN A BETWEEN M WEST OF M BETWEEN R	700 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 0NSITE IRPORT BLV EISCH AND EISCH ROAD R AND 3RD	800 GAMMA 342. RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00 23.00 67.00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 DNSITE D & BEVIER BEVIER RDS STREET

·I

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME	1200	CLDBRNCH
NODE NAME	900	1000
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (brs)	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00	
AREA (ac)	54.00	306.50
CURVE NUMBER	80.00	36.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00
TC (mins)	56.00	100.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASTN ONY (SEE) THY (	hee) Voi	(in) NOTES

۰.

and the second

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 1200 82.80 2.99 3.77 BASIN JUST SOUTH OF 1ST STREET CLOBRNCH 393.38 3.56 4.41 ARTIFICIAL BASIN REP. CLOUD BRANCH

41.21

## **APPENDIX 2**

MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPHS

# MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

Ŀ |

PRE-CONDITION

MILL CREEK - 25YR/24HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE2524) 10/24/1991

-

The second stop of the second st

\_\_\_\_\_

BASIN NAME	100	105	200	210	220
NODE NAME	200	200	220	200	200
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA				
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
		_			
RAINFALL FILE	\$CSIII				
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60		8.60	
STORM DURATION (hrs	) 24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
		04 F0	53.10	46.90	92.40
AREA (ac)	30.50		71.00		
CURVE NUMBER	62.00 .00			.00	
DCIA (%)	63.00		46 00	46,00	
TC (mins)	.00			.00	
LAG TIME (hrs) Basin status	ONSITE				
BASIN STRICS	OVETHE	UNUTIE	0.002.14	UNUL	0.002.14
BASIN OMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
	12.71		SOUTH OF	25TH, EAST	OF DITCH
105 44 33	12.59	4.62 BASIN	SOUTH OF	25TH, WEST	OF DITCH
200 119 63	12.47	5.10 BASIN	NORTH OF	25TH, WEST	OF DITCH
210 103.09	12.47	4.98 BASIN	NORTH OF	25TH, EAST	OF DITCH
220 206.94	12.52	4.86 COUNT	RY CLUB MA	ANOR BASIN	
BASIN NAME	300	400	410	420	500
NODE NAME	201		400	400	400
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA		GAMMA		
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342,
RAINFALL FILE		SCSIII		SCSIII	
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60		8.60		8.60 24.00
STORM DURATION (brs	) 24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
	21.90	97.20	35.00	31.00	18.30
AREA (ac)	75.00		65.00		67.00
CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%)	.00				
TC (mins)	17.00				
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00		.00	.00	
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE			ONSITE	
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
300 90.70	12.24	5.59 GOLDS	BORG SCHO	OL AREA BAS	IN

12.24 12.27 90.70 300 5.59 GOLDSBURD SUBUUL AREA 5.11 BASIN ALONG 18TH ST, SOUTH SIDE 348.30 400 12.37 4.38 BASIN BETWEEN 15TH AND 18TH STREETS 84.65 410 4.39 BASIN BETWEEN 16TH AND 14TH STREETS 12.33 420 85.94 4.62 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 13TH STREETS 12.32 500 54.71

MILL CREEK - 25YR/24HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE2524) 10/24/1991

....

. . . . .

\_\_ . .

. · · ·

म् २२ - २२ - २२ - २२ - २४ - २४ - १४ म् २

BASIN NAME NODE NAME	610 550		650 550	620 600	700 602
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in)		8.60		SCSIII 8.60	
STORM DURATION (hrs) AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER	23.30	11.70	38.30	55.80	15.00
DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs)	- 00	.00. 25.00	.00	71.00 .00 40.00 .00	.00 25.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE		ONSITE	ONSITE	.00 ONSITE
625 .34.24 650 74.76 620 137.61	12.35 12.33 12.50	(in) NOTES 4.62 BASIN 4.62 BASIN 4.39 BASIN 5.11 BASIN 4.38 BASIN	BETWEEN 11 NORTH OF C SOUTH OF C BETWEEN 11	ROOMS SCH ROOMS SCH TH AND STH	OF CHDICE OF CHDICE STREETS

BASIN NAME	710	810	820		840
NODE NAME	602	800	800	800	800
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
AREA (sc)	37.00	29.60	74.50	27.30	30.80
CURVE NUMBER	65.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	54.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	28.00	29.00	55.00	29.00	32.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (F	rs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
	2.32			MCCRACKEN AN	TS HTC C

76.3/ 12.32 4.38 BASIN BETWEEN MCCRACKEN AND 9TH ST 810 86.21 12.37 4.98 BASIN ON EAST SIDE OF LOCKHART AREA 820 145.86 12.59 4.98 WEST SIDE OF LOCKHART & W OF AIRPR 830 79.51 12.37 4.98 BASIN WEST OF AIRPRT BOULEVARD 840 4.26 BASIN JUST NORTH OF SMITH CANAL 72.35 12.37

.

MILL CREEK - 25YR/24HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE2524) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME Y NODE NAME	640 550		910 1000	921 1000	920 1000
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	GAMMA 342.		GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	\$C\$111 8.60		
STORM DURATION (hrs				24.00	24.00
AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins)	.00 54.00	89.00 00. 8.00	00. 00.88		58.40 69.00 .00 53.00
LAG TIME (hrs) Basin Status	.00. ONSITE			.00 ONSITE	.00 ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 640 186.31 930 57.42 910 85.68 921 111.51 920 114.37	12.50 12.21 12.39 12.24	5.95 BASIN 7.28 BASIN 4.98 EASIN 6.43 BASIN	@ SW ROAD @ 9th st & @ RR yard	RR NEAR M AREA, WEST W OF PERS	ILL CREEK OF CREEK IMMON AVE
BASIN NAME Nodé name	940 1000		960 1000	1000 1100	1100 1200
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	GAMMA 342.		GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342 .
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)		\$.60	8.60	SCSIII 3.60 24.00	SCSIII 8.60 24.00
AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	48.00 63.00 .00 39.00 .00 ONSITE	62.00 .00 45.00 .00	48.00 .00	.00	.00
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 940 96.60 950 101.04 960 95.66 1000 151.32 1100 62.46	12.48 12.50 12.59	4.14 BASIN 4.03 BASIN 3.67 BASIN 4.38 BASIN	BETWEEN AI BETWEEN ME WEST OF ME BETWEEN RR BETWEEN BR	ISCH AND B ISCH ROAD AND 3RD S	EVIER RDS

TTTE COMPANY STATES AND A

.....

1997 - 1997 - 19

1.11

MILL CREEK - 25YR/24HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE2524) 10/24/1991

. . .

BASIN NAME	1200	CLDBRNCH
NODE NAME	1300	1400
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	54.00	306.50
CURVE NUMBER	78.00	84.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00
TC (mins)	56.00	100.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE

۰.

Edited a second stands

------

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 1200 124.02 12.57 5.94 BASIN JUST SOUTH OF 1ST STREET CLOBRNCH 524.33 13.11 6.67 ARTIFICAL BASIN REPRES CLOUD BRANCH

# MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

POST-CONDIT

MILL CREEK-25YR/24HR ON 25/6 IMPROVS-POST-MED POND-(2524256A) 10/24/1991

----

BASIN NAME	100	105	200	210	220
NODE NAME	100	100	220	210	108
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (în)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	241.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	30.50	24.50	53.10	46.90	92.40
CURVE NUMBER	64.00	69.00	73.00	72.00	71.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	65.00	55.00	46.00	46.00	43.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 4.26 BASIN SOUTH OF 25TH, EAST OF DITCH 100 45.14 12.71 105 46.77 12.59 4.86 BASIN SOUTH OF 25TH, WEST OF DITCH 200 5.34 BASIN NORTH OF 25TH, WEST OF DITCH 125.35 12.47 5.22 BASIN NORTH OF 25TH, WEST OF DITCH 210 108.20 12.47 217.19 5.10 COUNTRY CLUB MANOR 220 12.52

BASIN NAME	300	400	410	420	500
NODE NAME	201	300	301	301	302
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	21.90	108.00	35,00	31.00	18.30
CURVE NUMBER	77.00	73.00	67.00	67.00	69.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	17.00	90.00	32.00	25.00	24.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	-00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	CNSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE

BASIN	QMX (cfs) T	MX (hrs)	VOL (in) NOTES
300	94.13	12.24	5.83 GOLDSBORD SCHOOL AREA
400	161.49	13.00	5.35 LARGE RETENTION TRACT SOUTH OF 18TH
410	89.51	12.37	4.62 BASIN BETWEEN 16TH & 18TH STREETS
420	90.71	12.33	4.62 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH & 16TH STREETS
500	57.53	12.32	4.96 BASIN BETWEEN 13TH & 14TH STREETS

(A)
MILL CREEK-25YR/24HR ON 25/6 IMPROVS-POST-MED POND-(2524256A) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME	610	625	650	620	700
NODE NAME	401		410	403	610
NOVE INSIDE					
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.		342.	342.	342.
PERKING CHOICE	÷.=.				
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCŠIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60				8,60
STORM DURATION (hrs			24.00		24.00
STORT DORTION (III)					
AREA (ac)	23.50	12.70	38.30	55.80	16.00
CURVE NUMBER	69.00		67.00		67.00
DCIA (%)	.00				.00
TC (mins)	27.00		45.00		26.00
LAG TIME (brs)	.00				
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE				ONSITE
BROIN STRICS					
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(bre) VO:	(in) NOTES			
610 69.53	12,30	4 S6 BASTN	BETWEEN	117H & 13TH	STREETS
625 36.00	12 33			CROOMS SCH	
650 .79.11	12.50	4 62 BASIN	SOUTH OF	CROOMS SCH	OF CHOICE
620 144.05	12.00	5.35 BASIN	BETWEEN	117H & 8TH 9	STREETS
700 45.92	12.74	A AZ BASIN	RETWEEN	9TH & 7TH S	TREETS
,00 401/2				•	
	710	810	820	830	840
BASIN NAME	610		614		616
NODE NAME	010	014	014		
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.		342.		342.
PERKING FACTOR	542.		• •		
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60		8.60		8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs			24.00	24.00	24.00
			-		
AREA (ac)	37.00	29.50	74.50	27.30	30.80
CURVE NUMBER	67.00		72.00	72.00	56.00
DCIA (%)	.00		.00	00.	
TC (mins)	28.00				32.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00			00.	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE			ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
710 101 91	12 32	4.62 BASIN	BETWEEN	MCCRACKEN &	9TH ST

12.32 4.62 BASIN BETWEEN MCCRACKEN & 9TH ST 710 101.91 12.37 5.22 EAST SIDE OF LOCKHART AREA 90.23 810 5.22 WEST SIDE OF LOCKHART & W OF AIRPRT 153.05 12.59 820 5.22 BASIN WEST OF AIRPORT BLVD 12.37 830 83.22 4.50 BASIN JUST NORTH OF SMITH CANAL 12.37 840 76.64



- standi - Standar (\* Standardska -

\_\_\_\_ / / / / · · · ·

MILL CREEK-25YR/24HR ON 25/6 IMPROVS-POST-MED POND-(2524256A) 10/24/1991

DACTN NAME	640	930	910	921	920
BASIN NAME NODE NAME	619			622	624
	017				
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hts	) 24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
ADEN (AA)	72 90	10 20	21 40	24 40	58 40
AREA (20) Curve number	75.00	30,00	72.00	84 00	71 00
TC (mins)	54.00	8.00	.00 33.00	18.00	53.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX					
640 189.75					
930 57.87					
910 89.75					
921 114.68					
920 120.13	12.60	5.10 BASIN	NORTH OF M	CCRACKEN R	OAD AREA
BASIN NAMÉ		950	960	1000	1100
NODE NAME					1100
NUDE NAME	625	627	628		
				700	600
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	700 GAMMA	600 Gamma
	GAMMA	GAMMA		700 GAMMA	600 Gamma
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	700 Gamma 342.	600 Gamma 342.
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE	Gamma 342. SCSIII	GAMMA 342. SCSIII	Gamma 342. SCSIII	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE	Gamma 342. SCSIII	GAMMA 342. SCSIII	Gamma 342. SCSIII	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	Gamma 342. SCSIII	GAMMA 342. SCSIII	Gamma 342. SCSIII	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac)	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 ) 24.00 48.00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%)	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 ) 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILË RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins)	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00	700 GAMMA 342, SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs)	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00	700 GAMMA 342, SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILË RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins)	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00	700 GAMMA 342, SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 ONSITE	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 ONSITE	700 GAMMA 342, SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE	700 GAMMA 342, SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 0NSITE	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00 0NSITE
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 940 102.50	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 12.48	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES 4.38 BASIN	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN AI	700 GAMMA 342, SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 ONSITE	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 NSITE
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN OMX (cfs) TMX 940 102.50 950 107.62	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 ) 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 12.48 12.50	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES 4.38 BASIN 4.27 BASIN	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN AI BETWEEN ME	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 0NSITE RPORT BLVD ISCH AND B	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 NSITE
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR RAINFALL FILË RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS BASIN OMX (cfs) TMX 940 102.50 950 107.62 960 102.53	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 48.00 65.00 .00 39.00 .00 39.00 .00 0NSITE (hrs) VOL 12.48 12.50 12.59	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 56.80 64.00 .00 45.00 .00 45.00 .00 0NSITE (in) NOTES 4.38 BASIN 4.27 BASIN 3.91 BASIN	GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 62.20 61.00 .00 48.00 .00 0NSITE BETWEEN AI BETWEEN ME	700 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 69.50 67.00 .00 38.00 .00 38.00 .00 0NSITE RPORT BLVD ISCH AND B ISCH ROAD	600 GAMMA 342. SCSIII 8.60 24.00 23.00 67.00 .00 26.00 .00 26.00 .00 0NSITE & BEVIER EVIER RDS

66.02 12.31 4.62 BASIN BETWEEN 3RD AND 2ND STREETS

.....

1100

1.1

..

MILL CREEK-25YR/24HR ON 25/6 IMPROVS-POST-MED POND-(2524256A) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME	1200	CLDBRNCH
NODE NAME	900	1000
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342 -	342 -
RAINFALL FILE	\$C\$111	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	54.00	306.50
CURVE NUMBER	\$0.00	86.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00
TC (mins)	56.00	100.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 1200 128.65 12.57 6.18 BASIN JUST SOUTH OF 1ST STREET CLDBRNCH 539.78 13.11 6.92 ARTIFICIAL BASIN REP. CLOUD BRANCH

#### **APPENDIX 3**

CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPHS

## CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

PRE-CONDITION



-----

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) - 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME NODE NAME	100 10	200 20	300 40	310 40	400 STORAGE1
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	5.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6:00	6.00	6.00	5.00	5.00
AREA (ac)	90.00	12.00	5.00	32.00	12.00
CURVE NUMBER	69.00	53.00	54.00	54.00	59.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	33.00	20.00	15.00	25.00	28.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE

SASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES - 100 125.16 2.71 2.69 BASIN BTWN 18TH & 20TH, ELM & MAPLE 200 8.41 1.36 BASIN BETWEEN 24TH ST & 22ND STREET 2.62 2.57 1.44 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND ST AND 20TH ST 300 4.49 21.38 1.44 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND & 24TH ST'S-WEST 310 2.67 10.76 1.83 BASIN BETWEEN 20TH AND 19TH STREETS 400 2.68

BASIN NAME 500 600 700 800 900 NODE NAME STORAGE1 STORAGE2 STORAGE3 STORAGE3 STORAGE3 UNIT HYDROGRAPH GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA PEAKING FACTOR 342. 342. 342. 342. 342. RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAINFALL FILE RAIN25-6 RAIN AMOUNT (in) 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 STORM DURATION (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 AREA (ac) 27.00 44.00 23.00 26.00 6.40 CURVE NUMBER 57.00 56.00 78.00 65.00 54.00 DCIA (%) .00 .00 .00 .00 -00 ĩC (mins) 38.00 52.00 25.00 36.00 28.00 LAG TIME (hrs) .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 ONSITE BASIN STATUS ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 500 29.08 2.87 1.67 BASIN BETWEEN 19TH AND 16TH STREETS 600 14.43 3.24 1.57 BASIN BETWEEN 16TH AND 14TH STREETS 700 53.17 2.61 3.58 BASIN AROUND SANFORD MIDDL SCH AREA 800 28.27 2.80 2.35 BASIN WEST OF SANF MIDDLE SCH AREA 2.741.43 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 15TH STREETS 900 4.01

. .....

• • ··· · • <del>·</del>

-

. . . .

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME Node NAME	1000 STORAGE3	1100 100	1200 115	1300 1301	1400 1401
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR		GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342 -
RAINFALL FILE Rain amount (in) Storm Duration (brs)	6.00 6.00	6.00 6.00	6.00 6.00	6.00 6.00	6.00
AREA (sc) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TÇ (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	25.00 65.00 .00 41.00 .00 ONSITE	.00 24.00 .00	.00	49.00 49.00	001 00,36 00.
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX ( 1000 25.18 1100 36.64 1200 7.66 1300 55.39 1400 68.01	(hrs) VOL 2.82 2.56 2.64 3.16 3.63	(In) NCTES 2.32 BASIN 4.08 BASIN 1.51 BASIN 1.67 BASIN 2.24 BASIN	BETWEEN 1 BETWEEN 1 BETWEEN 1 E OF FREN E OF FREN	4TH AND 13 3TH AND 12 2TH AND 11 CH, S OF R CH, N OF R	TH STREETS TH STREETS TH STREETS R-TO PARK R, TO PARK R, TO PARK
BASIN NAME NODE NAME	1500 130	1600 150	1700 170	1800 190	
UNIT HYDROGRAPH Peaking Factor	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	5.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hts) BASIN STATUS	26.30 56.00 .00 21.00 .00 ONSITE	69.00 .00 43.00 .00	27.00 00,	.00 19.00	66.00 .00 104.00 .00
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX 1500 22,75 1600 49,72 1700 60,82 1800 29,21 MILLCRK 889,79	(hrs) VOL 2.61 2.87 2.64 2.58 3.93	1.59 BASIN 2.68 BASIN 2.35 BASIN 2.00 BASIN	I BETWEEN 1 I BETWEEN 1 I BETWEEN 1 I BETWEEN 1	BRD AND 1ST	SCL RR BRD STREET

\_\_\_\_\_ ... .**...**.

-

۰.

#### **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN:**

#### **25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT**

#### **RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH**

POST-CONDITION

Advancedoppteisconnestedoperiose an Pond Bouting (adicpr ver 1.31)

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

BASIN NAME	100	200	300	310	
NODE NAME	10	10	40	40	STORAGE1
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)		6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)			6.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (ac)	90.00	12.00	5.00	32.00	12.00
CURVE NUMBER	71.00	55.00	56.00	56.00	£1.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	33.00	20.00	15.00	25.00	28.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	_00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
100 136.41	2.71	2.58 BASIN	BTWN 18TH	& 20TH, E	LM & MAPLE

36.41 2./l 100 1.52 BASIN BETWEEN 24TH & 22ND STREET 9,90 2.62 200 1.60 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND & 20TH STREET 2.57 5.22 300 1.60 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND & 24TH ST'S-WEST 2.67 25.02 310 2.00 BASIN BETWEEN 20TH & 19TH STREETS 12.20 2.68 400

700 800 900 500 600 BASIN NAME STORAGE1 STORAGE2 STORAGE3 STORAGE3 STORAGE3 NODE NAME GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA UNIT HYDROGRAPH 342. 342. 342. 342. 342. PEAKING FACTOR -RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAIN25-6 RAINFALL FILE 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 RAIN AMOUNT (in) 6.00 STORM DURATION (hrs) 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 44.00 27.00 23.00 26.00 6.40 AREA (ac) 79.00 67.00 56.00 58.00 CURVE NUMBER 59.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 DCIA (%) 52.00 .00 38.00 .00 25.00 36.00 28.00 TC (mins) .00 .00 .00 LAG TIME (hrs) ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE BASIN STATUS BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 33.12 2.87 1.84 BASIN BETWEEN 19TH AND 16TH STREETS 500

 600
 16.32
 3.12
 1.73
 BASIN BETWEEN 16TH AND 14TH STREETS

 700
 54.91
 2.61
 3.68
 BASIN AROUND SANFORD MIDDL SCH AREA

 800
 31.14
 2.72
 2.53
 BASIN WEST OF SANF MIDDLE SCH AREA

 900
 4.67
 2.74
 1.59
 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 15TH STREETS

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

-----

-

BASIN NAME NODE NAME	1000 100	1100 100	1200 110	1300 1301	1400 1401
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	RAIN25~6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6	RAIN25-6
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	6.00	6,00	6.00	6.00	6.00
STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
AREA (sc)	25.00	13.30	9.80	95.00	105.00
CURVE NUMBER	67.00	84.00	57.00	59.00	66.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	41.00	24.00	22.00	49.00	86.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	-00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 2.50 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 13TH STREETS 27.79 2.82 1000 2.56 4.19 BASIN BETWEEN 13TH AND 12TH STREETS 37.69 1100 1.67 BASIN BETWEEN 12TH AND 11TH STREETS .1200 8.87 2.64 1.84 BASIN E OF FRENCH, S OF RR-TO PARK 2.41 BASIN E OF FRENCH, N OF RR, TO PARK 1300 62.62 3.05 1400 74.27 3.63

BASIN NAME NODE NAME	1500 120	1600 150	1700 170	1800 190	MILLCRK 210
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	6.00	6.00	RAIN25-6 6.00 6.00		
AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	26.30 58.00 .00 21.00 .00 ONSITE	42.00 71.00 .00 43.00 .00 0NSITE	47.00 67.00 .00 27.00 .00 0NSITE	63.00 00.	66.00 .00 104.00 .00
BASIN DMX (cfs) TMX ( 1500 26.20 1600 54.13 1700 67.15 1800 32.65 MILLCRK 889.79	2.61 2.87 2.64	1.75 8ASIN	BETWEEN 1 BETWEEN 8 BETWEEN 8 BETWEEN 3	TH ST AND CL RR AND RD AND 1ST	SCL RR 3RD STREET STREETS

#### APPENDIX 4

### CLOUD BRÄNCH DRÄINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPHS

# CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

PRE-CONDITION

CLOUD BRANCH- 25YR/24HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (2524PRE) 10/24/1991

BASIN NAME	100	200	300	310	400
NODE NAME	10	20	40	40	STORAGE1
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8,60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	90.00	12.00	5.00	32.00	12.00
CURVE NUMBER	69.00	53.00	54.00	54.00	59.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	33.00	20.00	15.00	25.00	28.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 12.39 4.86 BASIN BTWN 18TH & 20TH, ELM & MAPLE 238.12 100 2.97 BASIN BETWEEN 24TH ST & 22ND STREET 200 24.33 12.31 12.27 3.09 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND ST AND 20TH ST 300 11.97 310 3.09 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND & 24TH ST'S-WEST 60.45 12.33 3.67 BASIN BETWEEN 20TH AND 19TH STREETS 400 25.81 12.38

BASIN NAME NODE NAME	500 STORAGE1	600 STORAGE2		800 STORAGEB	900 STORAGE3
UNIT HYDROGRAPH PEAKING FACTOR	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.	GAMMA 342.
RAINFALL FILE RAIN AMOUNT (in) STORM DURATION (hrs)	8.60	8.60	8.50	8.60	8.60
AREA (ac) CURVE NUMBER DCIA (%) TC (mins) LAG TIME (hrs) BASIN STATUS	44.00 57.00 .00 38.00 .00 ONSITE	56.00	78.00 .00	65.00 .00	.00 28.00 .00
600 35.09 1 700 85.27 1	2.50	(in) NOTES 3.44 BASIN 3.32 BASIN 5.95 BASIN 4.39 BASIN	BETWEEN 1 BETWEEN 1 AROUND SA	6TH AND 14 NFORD MIDD	TH STREETS L SCH AREA

3.08 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 15TH STREETS

900

11.33

:

12.38

CLOUD BRANCH- 25YR/24HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (2524PRE) 10/24/1991

			1000	1000	1400
BASIN NAME	1000 STORAGE3	1100 100	1200 115		1400
NODE NAME	STURAGES	100	115	1301	1401
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.		342.		342.
PEAKING FACTOR	944.	944	V-2.	0461	
RAINFALL FILE	SCSTT	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60			
STORM DURATION ( hrs	) 24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
STORM DORRITOR (III S	, 24.00			_ / / / /	
ARFA (ac)	25.00	13.30	9.80	95.00	105.00
AREA (ac) Curve number	65.00	83.00	55.00	57.00	64.00
DCIA (%)	.00		.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	41.00		22.00	49.00	86.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00		.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE		ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX	(hrs) VOL	(in) NOTES			
1000 51.85	12.48	4.38 BASIN	BETWEEN 14	4TH AND 1ST	
1100 54.49	12.32	6.55 BASIN	BETWEEN 1	3TH AND 12T	H STREETS
1200 20.66	12.32	3.20 BASIN			
1300 133.18	12.63	3.43 BASIN	E OF FREN	CH, S OF RR	-TO PARK
1400 127.52	13.00	4.26 BASIN	E OF FREN	CH, N OF RE	R, TO PARK
			•		
	1 5 0 0	1600	1700	1800	MILLCRK
BASIN NAME	1500 130		170	190	210
NODE NAME	130	150	170	190	210
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
FERRING	042.	0421			
RAINFALL FILE	SCŠIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8,60 24,00	8.60	
STORM DURATION ( hrs	) 24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	
•••••					
AREA (ac)	26.30	42.00	47.00	23.00	1392.00
CURVE NUMBER	56.00	69,00	65.00	61.00	68.50
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	21.00	43.00	27.00	19.00	80.50
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE
BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX					
1500 58.98				OTH AND STH	
1600 94.07				TH ST AND S	
1700 125.24					
1800 .64.70				RD AND 1ST	
MILLCRK 2017.22	12.88	4.80 ARTIF.	ICAL HYD R	EPRESENTING	S MILL CRK



. غليات منه

#### **CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN:**

#### 25-YEAR/24-HOUR STORM EVENT

#### RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH

POST-CONDITION

CLOUD BRANCH-25YR/24HR STORM ON 25/6 IMPROVS-POST-2524PST1 11/15/1991

BASIN NAME	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400
NODE NAME	100	100	110	1301	1401
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342 -	342 .
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	25.00	13.30	9.80	95.00	105.00
CURVE NUMBER	67.00	84.00	57.00	59.00	66.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
TC (mins)	41.00	24.00	22.00	49.00	86.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	0NSITE

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 4.62 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 13TH STREETS 54.83 12.48 1000 6.67 BASIN BETWEEN 13TH AND 12TH STREETS 12.27 1100 55.25 3.43 BASIN BETWEEN 12TH AND 11TH STREETS 1200 22.34 12.32 3.67 BASIN E OF FRENCH, S OF RR-TO PARK 12.52 143.65 1300 4.50 BASIN E OF FRENCH, N OF RR, TO PARK 135.27 13.00 1400

BASIN NAMÉ	1500	1600	1700	1800	MILLCRK	
NODE NAME	120	150	170	190	210	
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.	
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	
STORM DURATION (hrs)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	
AREA (ac)	26.30	42.00	47.00	23.00	1392.00	
CURVE NUMBER	58.00	71.00	67.00	63.00	56.00	
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
TC (mins)	21.00	43.00	27.00	19.00	100.00	
LAG TIME (hrs)	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	
1600 98.72 1700 132.19	(hrs) VOL 12.32 12.52 12.36 12.29	(in) NOTES 3.55 BASIN 5.10 BASIN 4.62 BASIN 4.15 BASIN	BETWEEN B BETWEEN S	TH ST AND CL RR AND	SCL RR 3RD STREET	

3.32 ARTIFICAL HYD REPRESENTING MILL CRK



MILLCRK 1139.19

.

13.11

----

CLOUD BRANCH-25YR/24HR STORM ON 25/6 IMPROVS-POST-2524PST1 11/15/1991

BASIN NAME	100	200	300	310	400
NODE NAME	10	10	40	40	STORAGE1
UNIT HYDROGRAPH	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA	GAMMA
PEAKING FACTOR	342.	342.	342.	342.	342.
RAINFALL FILE	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII	SCSIII
RAIN AMOUNT (in)	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60	8.60
STORM DURÀTION (hrs)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
AREA (ac)	90.00	12.00	5.00	32.00	12.00
CURVE NUMBER	71.00	55.00	56.00	56.00	61.00
DCIA (%)	.00	.00	.00	.00	· .00
TC (mins)	33.00	20.00	15.00	25.00	28.00
LAG TIME (hrs)	-00	.00	.00	-00	.00
BASIN STATUS	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE	ONSITE

BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (hrs) VOL (in) NOTES 100 249.85 12.39 3.10 BASIN BTWN 18TH & 20TH, ELM & MAPLE 3.20 BASIN BETWEEN 24TH & 22ND STREET 200 12.31 26.47 12.95 300 12.27 3.32 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND & 20TH STREET 3.32 BASIN BETWEEN 22ND & 24TH ST'S-WEST 310 65.71 12.33 400 27.61 12.38 3,91 BASIN BETWEEN 20TH & 19TH STREETS

700 BASIN NAME 500 600 800 900 NODE NAME STORAGE1 STORAGE2 STORAGE3 STORAGE3 STORAGE3 UNIT HYDROGRAPH GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA GAMMA PEAKING FACTOR 342. 342. 342. 342. 342. RAINFALL FILE SCSIII SCSIII SCSIII SCSIII SCSIII RAIN AMOUNT (in) 8.60 8.60 8.60 8.60 8.60 STORM DURATION (hrs) 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 AREA (ac) 27.00 44.00 23.00 26.00 6.40 CURVE NUMBER 79.00 59.00 58.00 67.00 56.00 DCIA (%) .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 TC (mins) 38.00 52.00 25.00 36.00 28.00 LAG TIME (hrs) .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 BASIN STATUS ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE ONSITE BASIN QMX (cfs) TMX (brs) VOL (in) NOTES 3.67 BASIN BETWEEN 19TH AND 16TH STREETS 500 78.67 12.41 600 37.99 12.60 3.55 BASIN BETWEEN 16TH AND 14TH STREETS 700 12.28 86.81 6.07 BASIN AROUND SANFORD MIDDL SCH AREA 800 62.08 12,40 4.62 BASIN WEST OF SANF MIDDLE SCH AREA 900 12.31 12.38 3.32 BASIN BETWEEN 14TH AND 15TH STREETS



2

#### **APPENDIX 5**

### HYDRAULIC INPUT DATA

MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

### MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT HYDRAULIC INPUT DATA

PRE-CONDITION

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

CONTROL PARAMETERS

START TIME: .00 END TIME: 6.00

TO TIME (hours)	SIMULATION INC (secs)	PRINT INC (mins)
6.00	.50	2.00

RUNDFF HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT OFFSITE HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT BOUNDARY DATABASE FILE: NONE

NOTE: MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR ROUTING (PRE256)

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

NODE NAME	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
200	AREA	25.130	.000	000.	000.	25.130 31.750 32.000	.480 2.050 2.510
201	AREA	24.690	.000	.000	.000	24.690 33.000	.000. 000.
300	AREA	24.170	.000	.000	.000	24.170 31.100 31.500	.320 1.190 1.500
301	AREA	23.720	.000	.000	.000	23,720 33,000	.000 .000
400	AREA	20.040	-000	.000	.000	20.040 30.000 30.500	.290 .910 1.140
401	AREA	19.760	.000	.000	.000	19.760 28.000	.000 .000
550	AREA	17.000	.000	.000	.000	17.000 27.000	.070 .440
600	AREA	10,990	.000	.000	.000	10.990 21.950 22.500	.290 1.100 1.530
601	AREA	10.300	.000	.000	.000	10.300 22.000	.000 .000
602	AREA	10.000	.000	.000	.000	10.000 20.000	.050 .200
603	AREA	9.630	.000	.000	.000	9.650 19.650	.160 .720
800	AREA	18.320	.000	.000	.000	18.320 26.080 26.500	.750 2.940 3.280

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

33

HTTP: Contraction and Contract

NODE	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
801	AREA	18.290	.000	.000	.000	18.290 27.000	000. 000.
1000	AREA	12.000	.000	.000	.000	12.000 19.000	1.010 3.660
1001	AREA	11.130	.000	.000	.000	11.130 26.000	.000 .000
1100	AREA	4.980	.000	.000	.000	4.980 12.960	.500 1.170
1101	AREA	4.520	.000	.000	.000	4.520 14.000	.000 .000
1200	AREA	4,500	.000	.000	.000	4.500 11.970	.230 .510
1201	AREA	4.500	.000	.000	.000	4.500 13.000	.000. .000
1300	AREA	3.020	.000	.000	.000	3.020 13.800	.290 .810
1301	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	2.990 14.000	.000. 000.
1400	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	750 9.250	.800 2.000
1401	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	-1.000 15.000	.000 .000
LKMONROE	TIME	3.000	.000	.000	.000	3.000 3.000 3.000	.000 3.000 6.000
220	AREA	28.000	.000	.000	.000	28.000 32.000	1.040 1.250

Advanced Interconnected Shanpel & Pond Bouting (adICPR Ver 1.31)

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 >>REACH NAME : 8 : 200 FROM NODE : 201 TO NODE : CULVERT, ELLIPTICAL w/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 43.000 RISE (in): 24.000 LENGTH (ft): 320.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 25.130 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.690 MANNING N: .015 ENTRNC LOSS: .750 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 31.750 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:####### REGERVED:\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:######## NOTE: EXISTING CULVERT @ 20TH ST-MAIN CH >>REACH NAME : D FROM NODE : 300 TO NODE : 301 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR w/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 LENGTH (ft): 600.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 24.170 D/S INVERT (ft): 23.720 MANNING N: .015 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 ENTRNC LOSS: POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 31.100 CREST LN. (ft): 250.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT ALONG 18TH ST-GOLDS TO MULB



RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

-	>>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	400 401 CULVERT, ARCH ( POSITIVE AND N	W/ ROADWAY Egative flows	ALLOWED	
	U/S INVERT (ft):	60.000	VERT (ft): 19	.000 LENGTH .760 MANNI .000	(ft): 100.000 NG N: .015
	POSITION A : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECJANGULAR RO 26.470 CREST *******	LN. (ft): 200	.000 WEIR C	0EF.: 2.800 RVED:*******
	POSITION B : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:		LN. (ft):****	**** WEIR C	0EF.:******** RVED:********
	NOTE:	CULVERTS @ 13T	H STREET - MAI	N CH	
	>>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	600 601 CULVERT, CIRCU POSITIVE AND N	LAR W/ ROADWAY Egative Flows	ALLOWED	·
	U/S INVERT (ft):	60.000	VERT (ft): 10	.000 LENGTH .300 MANNI .000	
	POSITION A : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:		LN. (ft): 250	.000 WEIR C	OEF.: 2.800 RVED:*******
	POSITION B : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:		LN. (ft):****	**** WEIR C	OEF .: ******** RVED : *******

NOTE: CULVERT @ 8TH STREET - MAIN CH



and the spectrum state of

Advancedopyightingstedobengelegning fection (adicpa ver 1.31)

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 : P >>REACH NAME : 800 FROM NODE : 801 TO NODE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR w/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA . SPAN (in): 78.000 RISE (in): 78.000 LENGTH (ft): 60.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 18.320 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.290 MANNING N: .015 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 POSITION A RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 26.080 CREST LN. (ft): 150.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERT @ PERSIMMON - SOUTH CHAN >>REACH NAME ÷ T FROM NODE : 1000 TO NODE : 1001 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA . SPAN (in): 56.000 RISE (in): 57.500 LENGTH (ft): 100.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 12.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 11.130 MANNING N: .015 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000 POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 26.600 CREST LN. (ft): 150.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT @ PERSIMMON - NORTH CHAN

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 : W >>REACH NAME : 1100 FROM NODE : 1101 TO NODE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR w/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 300.000 RISE (in): 54.000 LENGTH (ft): 80.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 4.980 D/S INVERT (ft): 4.520 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 POSITION A RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 12.960 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION E : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: sxxxxxxxx RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERT @ 3RD STREET - MAIN CHAN >>REACH NAME : Y FROM NODE : 1200 TO NODE : 1201 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 300,000 RISE (in): 54.000 LENGTH (ft): 60.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 4.500 D/S INVERT (ft): 4.500 MANNING N: .015 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION A CREST EL. (ft): 11.970 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION E : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERT @ 2ND STREET - MAIN CH

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 >>REACH NAME : 66 : 1300 FROM NODE TO NODE : 1301 CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 84.000 RISE (in): 84.000 LENGTH (ft): 100.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 3.020 D/S INVERT (ft): 2.990. MANNING N: .015 ENTRNC LOSS: .600 # OF CULVERTS: 3.000 POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 13.800 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERTS @ 1ST STREET - MAIN CHAN >>REACH NAME : AC : 1400 FROM NODE TO NODE : 1401 REACH TYPE CULVERT, RECTANGULAR w/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURED SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 360.000 RISE (in): 108.000 LENGTH (ft): 45.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -.750 D/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 MANNING N: .015 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 POSITION A RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 16.500 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF, :\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT UNDER HWY 17-92 - MAIN CH

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION :	BEN 220 200 DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED ON
U/S INVERT (ft):	24.000 RISE (in): 24.000 LENGTH (ft): 500.000 29.520 D/S INVERT (ft): 28.320 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000
	RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT 29.000 CREST LN. (ft): 10.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000 3.000 GATE COEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 1.000

POSITION 2 : RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT CREST EL. (ft): 30.000 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000 WEIR COEF.: 3.000 GATE COEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 1.000

NOTE: S/W INLET IN ALLEYWAY S OF C CLUB

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 >>REACH NAME ; C : 201 FROM NODE : 300 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft):1375.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 24.690 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.170 MANNING N: .030 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN BETW 20TH & 18TH ST - MAIN CH ; E >>REACH NAME FROM NODE : 301 : 400 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT, WEDTH (ft): 6,000 LEFT SS (b/v): 1,000 RGHT SS (b/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft):2100.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 23.720 D/S INVERT (ft): 20.040 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .030 NOTE: CHAN BIWN 18TH & 13TH ST + MAIN CH : G ))REACH NAME : 401 FROM NODE : 550 TO NODE REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 6.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 500.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 19.760 D/S INVERT (ft): 17.000 .030 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 ENTRNC COEF.: MANNING N: NOTE: CHAN BTWN 13TH & 11TH ST - MAIN CH : J >>REACH NAME FROM NODE : 550 TO NODE : 600 : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10,000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft):1250.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 17.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 10.990 MANNING N: .030 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN BIWN 11TH & STH ST - MAIN CH

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 : L >>REACH NAME : 601 FROM NODE : 602 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT, WIDTH (ft): 15.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 100.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 10.300 D/S INVERT (ft): 10.000 MANNING N: .030 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN BIWN BIH ST & S CHAN-MAIN CH >>REACH NAME : M FROM NODE : 602 TO NODE : 603 : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT, WIDTH (ft): 15,000 (LEFT SS (h/v): 2,000 (RGHT SS (h/v): ) 2.000 LENGTH (fl): 450.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 10.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 9.650 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .030 NOTE: CHAN BTWN RR MAIN & RR SPUR-MAIN CH >REACH NAME : 0 : 301 FROM NODE TO NODE : 602 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 8.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft): 650.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 18.290 D/S INVERT (ft): 10.000 MANNING N: .035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN BIWN PERSIM & MC -SOUTH CHAN >>REACH NAME : U : 1001 FROM NODE TO NODE : 603 REACH TYPE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF 80T. WIDTH (ft): 8.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2,000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 450.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 11.130 D/S INVERT (ft): 9.650 MANNING N: .035 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHAN STWN PERSIM & MC - NORTH CHAN

i.

Advancedopyfightingstedogeneteamling fecting (adicPE Ver 1.31)

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991 >>REACH NAME : V : 603 FROM NODE : 1100 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY ÉQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 12.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft): 1750.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 9.650 D/S INVERT (ft): 4.980 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .030 NOTE: CHAN BIWN RR MAIN & 3RD SI-MAINCH ->>REACH NAME ; X FROM NODE : 1101 TO NODE : 1200 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 18.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft): 550.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 4.520 D/S INVERT (ft): 4.500 MANNING N: .030 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN BIWN 3RD & 2ND ST - MAIN CHAN >>REACH NAME ; Z FROM NODE : 1201 : 1300 TO NODE REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 18.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.500 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.500 LENGTH (ft): 690.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 4.500 D/S INVERT (ft): 3.020 MANNING N: .030 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN BTWN 2ND & 1ST ST - MAIN CHAN : AB >>REACH NAME FROM NODE : 1301 TO NODE : 1400 : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 15,000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1,000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft):2313.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 2.990 D/S INVERT (ft): -.750 MANNING N: .030 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHAN STWN 1ST ST & 17-92 -MAINCH

(k)

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

>>REACH NAME :	AD
FROM NODE :	1401
TO NODE :	LKMONROE
REACH TYPE :	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	OFF
BOT. WIDTH (ft):	125.000 LEFT \$\$ (h/v): 4.000 RGHT \$\$ (h/v): 4.000
LENGTH (ft):	220.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 D/S INVERT (ft): -2.000
MANNING N:	.030 ENTRNE COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: OUTFALL TO LAKE MONROE

MILL CREEK - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE COND (PRE256) 10/24/1991

#### REACH SUMMARY

۰.

Reflection 1 1 1 1

IND	EX			TONODE	REACH TYPE
	1	 8		201	CULVERT, ELLIPTICAL W/ ROADWAY
	2	Ď	300		CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	3	F			CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	4	ĸ			CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	5	P			CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	6	Т			CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	7	ы	1100		CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	8	Y			CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
		AA	1300		CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
					CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
					DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT
	12		201	300	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	13	E	301	400	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY ED.
	14				TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	15	3			TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	16	L			TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	17	M	602	603	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	18	e	801	602	TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
<b></b> -	19	υ	1001	603	TRAPEIDIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
à -	20	v	603	1100	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	21	х	1101		TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	22	Z	1201	1300	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	23	AB	1301	1400	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	24	AD	1401	LKMONROE	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.

## MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT HYDRAULIC INPUT DATA

POST-CONDITION

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

#### CONTROL PARAMETERS

START TIME: .00 END TIME: 6.00

TO TIME (hours)	SIMULATION INC (secs)	PRINT INC (mins)
	***********	
6.00	.50	2.00

RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT OFFSITE HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT BOUNDARY DATABASE FILE: NONE

NOTE: 25YR/6HR STORM REVISED-FILE:MCNREVISED2

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

NODE NAME	NODE TYPË	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
610	AREA	10.300	.000	.000	.000	10.300 22.000	000. 000.
600	AREA	10.990	.000	.000	.000	10,990 22,000	.000 .000
403	AREA	11.940	.000	.000	000	11.940 23.000	.000 .000
402	AREA	13.620	.000	.000	.000	13.620 24.000	.000. 000.
410	AREA	15.000	.000	.000	-000	15.000 26.000	.000 .000
401	AREA	14.140	.000	.000	.000	14.140 27.000	.000 .000
400	AREA	14.670	.000	.000	.000	14 .670 27.000	.000 .000
302	AREA	16.890	.000	.000	.000	16.890 27.000	.000 .000
301	AREA	18.230	.000	000	.000	18.230 30.000	.000 .000
300	AREA	23.800	.000	.000	.000	23.800 35.000	21.430 26.670
202	AREA	24.000	.000	.000	.000	24.000 32.000	.000 .000
201	AREA	24.000	.000	.000	.000	24.000 32.000	.000. 000.
200	AREA	24.500	.000	.000	.000	24.500 32.000	.000 .000
108	AREA	26.110	.000	.000	.000	26.110 34.000	000. 000.

-1
MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

NODE NAME	NODE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
107	ARËA	27.810	.000	.000	.000	27.810 34.000	.000. 000.
210	AREA	28.410	-000	.000	.000	28.410 30.000 32.000 34.000 36.000	2.000
106	AREA	28.320	.000	.000	.000	28.320 37.000	.000 .000
220	AREA	28.000	.000	-000	.000	28.000 29.000 30.000 32.000 34.000	2.000 1.500
105	AREA	29.000	.000	.000	.000	29.000 38.000	.000. 000.
100	AREA	30.150	.000	.000	.000	30.150 32.000 34.000 36.000 38.000 40.000	.750
611	AREA	18.290	.000	.000	.000	18,290 26,000	.000 .000
512	AREA	18,320	.000	.000	.000	18.320 26.000	.000 .000
613	AREA	20.740	.000	.000	.000	20.740 32.000	.000 .000
614	AREA	20.740	.000	.000	.000	20.740 28.000	3.750 5.900
615	AREA	24.880	.000	.000	.000	24.880 32,000	.000 .000

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

NODE		INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
616	AREA	25.320	.000	.000	.000	25.320 32.000	000. 000.
617	AREA	21.000	.000	.000	.000	21.000 26.000	.000
618	AREA	22.600	.000	.000	.000	22.600 32.000	.000 .000
619	AREA	22,800	.000	.000	.000	22.800 32.000	.000 .000
620	AREA	10.180	.000	.000	.000	10.180 18.000	.100 1.000
620A	AREA	9.650	.000	.000	.000	9.650 18.000	.000. 000.
6208	AREA	8.510	.000	.000	.000	8.510 18.000	.000 .000
621	AREA	11.130	.000	.000	.000	11.130 22.000	.000 .000
622	AREA	12,000	.000	.000	.000	12.000 24.000	.000. .000
623	AREA	18.140	.000	.000	.000	18.140 28.000	.000 .000
624	AREA	20.350	.000	.000	.000	20.350 30.000	.000 .000
624A	AREA	22.000	.000	.000	.000	22.000 31.000	.000 .000
6248	AREA	22.000	.000	.000	.000	22.000 29.000	1.900 2.800
625	ARÉA	26.250	.000	.000	.000	26.250 34.000	.000 .000

.

 $\cap$ 

-

**.**.....

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

NODE	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	x-coor (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
626	AREA	26.970	.000	.000	.000	26.970 38.000	-000 -000
627	AREA	27.270	<b>_000</b>	.000	.000	27.270 38.000	.000 .000
628	AREA	27.360	.000	.000	.000	27.360 30.000 32.000 38.000	.000 .050 .100 .400
650	AREA	8.490	.000	.000	.000	8.490 18.000	.000 .000
700	AREA	4.980	.000	.000	.000	4.980 14.000	.000. .000
750	AREA	4.520	.000	.000	.000	4.520 14.000	.000. .000
800	AREA	4.500	.000	.000	.000	4.500 14.000	.000 .000
850	AREA	4.500	.000	.000	.000	4.500 14.000	.000 .000
900	AREA	3.020	.000	.000	.000	3.020 14.000	.000 .000
950	AREA	3.090	.000	.000	.000	3.090 14.000	.000 .000
1000	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	3.000 17.000	.000 .000
1050	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	3.000 17.000	.000 .000
LKMONROE	TIME	3.000	.000	.000	.000	3.000 3.000 3.000	.000 3.000 6.000

Ć

Ξ.

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

÷.,

NAME	TYPE	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft) (ac)/(hr)
NODE	NODE	INI STAGE	X-COOR	Y-COOR	LENGTH	STAGE AREA/TIME

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

!

>>REACH NAME :	X
FROM NODE :	614
TO NODE :	
	RECTANGULAR WEIR/GATE/ORIFICE, VILLEMONTE EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	25.000 CREST LN. (ft): 75.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000
WEIR COEF.:	3.000 GATE COEF .: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM .: 1.000
NOTE:	WEIR @ RR POND-MCCRACK RD (S CHAN)
>>REACH NAME :	AI-2
FROM NODE :	624B
TO NODE :	
REACH TYPE :	RECTANGULAR WEIR/GATE/ORIFICE, VILLEMONTE EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
CREST EL. (ft):	25.800 CREST LN. (ft): 75.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000
WEIR COEF.:	3.000 GATE COEF .: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM .: 1.000
NOTE :	N SIDE CHAN POND BTWN RR & ARPT BLV

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

~						
>	>REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH	: 100 : 105 : CULVERT, CIRCU : POSITIVE AND N	LAR.W/ ROADWA EGATIVE FLOWS	Y ALLOWED		
	U/S INVERT (ft)	: 36.000 30.150 D/S IN 5500 # OF	VERT (ft): 3	30.000	NGTH (fl): Manning N:	75.000 .013
	POSITION A CREST EL. (ft) RESERVED	: RECTANGULAR RO : 34.000 CREST :*******			VEIR COEF.: RESERVED:**	2.800
	POSITION B CREST EL. (ft) RESERVED	: RECTANGULAR RO :9999.000 CREST :*******	LN. (ft):	.000 1	EIR COEF.: RESERVED:**	2.800
	NOTE	: CULVERT UNDER	25TH STREET			
) O	REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH	: H : 200 : 201 : CULVERT, RECTA : POSITIVE AND N : ON	: NGULAR W/ ROA Egative flows	DWAY ALLOWED		
	CULVERT DATA SPAN (in) U/S INVERT (ft) ENTRNC LOSS	: 96.000 : 24.500 D/\$ IN : .500 # OF	RISE (in): 4 VERT (ft): 2 CULVERTS:	4.000 LE 1.000	NGTH (fl): : Manning n:	320.000 .013
	POSITION A CREST EL. (ft) RESERVED	: RECTANGULAR RO : 31.750 CREST :*******	LN. (ft): 20	0.000 i	JEIR COEF.: RESERVED:**	2.800
	POSITION 3 CREST EL. (ft) RESERVED	: RECTANGULAR RO :9999.000 CREST :*******	ADWAY/BERM WE LN. (ft): RESERVED:***	IR .000 1 :*****	VEIR COEF.: RESERVED:**	2.800

NOTE: CULVERT @ COUNTRY CLUB RD (20TH ST)

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

. .

$\sim$	
>>REACH NAME : J	
FROM NODE : 202	
TO NODE : 300 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY	
REACH (TPE ; COLVERT, RECTANGOLAR W/ ROADWAY	
FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA :	
SPAN (in): 108.000 RISE (in): 48.000	LENGTH (ft): 375.000
U/S INVERT (ft): 24.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 23.000	MANNING N: .013
ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	
ENTRIC LUSS 500 \$ OF CULVERTS: 1.000	
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
CREST EL. (ft): 30.000 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800
RESERVED:****** RESERVED:*****	RESERVED:******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
CREST EL. (ft):9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000	WEIR COEF.: 2.800
RESERVED: ######## RESERVED: ########	RESERVED:******
NOTE: CULVERT UNDER SCL RR @ PROP POND	
>>REACH NAME : L	
FROM NODE : 301	
TO NODE : 302	· · ·
🛩 REACH TYPE 🔹 : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY	
FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA :	
SPAN (in): 48.000 RISE (in): 48.000	1 ENGTH ( ft ): 600 000
U/S INVERT (ft): 18.230 D/S INVERT (ft): 16.890	MANNING N: .013
ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000	TRANSLING INC
ENTRAC LUSS:	
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
CONTINUE - RECTANDED ADDED ADDED ADDED ADDED	
CREST EL. (ft): 30.250 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF .: 2.800
RESERVED: ****** RESERVED: ******	RESERVED:*****
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******** CREST LN. (ft):********	
CRESI EL. (tt):******* CREST LN. (ft):*******	WEIR COEF.:********
RESERVED: ****** RESERVED: *******	RESERVED:******

NOTE: PIPED SECTION BTWN 16TH & 14THST'S

۰.

 $\bigcirc$ 

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

-	
>>REACH NAME : M FROM NODE : 302 TO NODE : 400 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (In): 48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 16.890 D/S INVERT (ft): 14.670 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (ft):1000.000 MANNING N: .013
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 26.470 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION E : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******* CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********
NOTE: PIPED SECTION STWN 14TH & 13TH ST'S	
>>REACH NAME : S FROM NODE : 600 TO NODE : 610 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 96.000 RISE (in): 60.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 10.990 D/S INVERT (ft): 10.300 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000 MANNING N: .013
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 21.950 CREST LN. (ft): 250.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):######## CREST LN. (ft):######## RESERVED:######## RESERVED:#########	WEIR COÉF.:******** RESERVED:********

NOTE: CULVERT @ STH STREET

2

. .....

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990. Streamline Technologies, Inc. MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 : AM-1 >>REACH NAME FROM NODE : 620 : 620A TO NODE : CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA I SPAN (in): 180.000 LENGTH (ft): 50.000 RISE (in): 108.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 10.180 D/S INVERT (ft): 9.650 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 ENTRNC LOSS: POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 24.510 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* REFERVEDI\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERT UNDER RR SPUR - MAIN CHAN >>REACH NAME ; AM-2 : 6205 FROM NODE TO NODE : 650 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, ARCH w/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 180.000 RISE (in): 108.000 LENGTH (ft): 75.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 8.510 D/S INVERT (ft): 8.510 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 26.360 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): \*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft): \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COFF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT UNDER RR MAINLINE - MAIN CH

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

<u> </u>		
>>REACH NAME	: ប	
FROM NODE	: 612 : 611 : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
TO NODE	: 611	
REACH TYPE	: CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY	
FLOW DIRECTION	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
TURBO SWITCH	: ON	
CULVERT DATA	1	
	: 96.000 RISE (in): 60.000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000
HIS INVERT (ft)	: 18.320 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.290	MANNING N: .013
ENTRNC 1 DSS		1010110
POSITION A	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
CREST EL. (ft)	: 26.080 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000	WEIR COEF .: 2.800
RESERVED	RESERVED: ******	RESERVED: *******
POSITION B	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
CREST EL. (ft):	******** CREST LN. (ft):********	WEIR COEF ::********
RESERVED	RESERVED: ******	RESERVED:******
NOTE:	CULVERT UNDER PERSIMMON, SOUTH CHAN	
>>REACH. NAME	: X-1	
FROM NODE		
TO NODE	: 613	
REACH TYPE	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY	
FLOW DIRECTION	: 613 : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
TURED SWITCH	: ON	
CULVERT DATA		
	: 12.000 RISE (in): 12.000	LENGTH (ft): 5.000
U/S INVERT (ft):	20.740 D/S INVERT (ft): 20.740	MANNING N: .013
ENTRNC LOSS:	.500 # OF CULVERTS: 3.000	
	•	
POSITION A :	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
CRESI EL. (ft):	9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000	WEIR COEF.: 2,800
RESERVED	********* RESERVED:*******	RESERVED:*******
POSITION D		
CDECT EL (FL)	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR	
DICEDUEN	******** CREST LN. (ft):******** ********* RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF .: *******
NESCRVES.	CLOCKVCU:#########	RESERVED:******
NOTE		

NOTE: CIRC ORIF'S @ RR POND FOR BLEEDDOWN

2.5

.

.

where the second s

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990. Streamline Technologies, Inc. MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 ; Z >>REACH NAME : 616 FROM NODE : 615 TO NODE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR w/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 42.000 RISE (in): 42.000 LENGTH (ft): 50.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 25.320 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.880 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2,000 POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 31.370 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION B CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* SESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:######## RÉSERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERT @ AIRPORT BLVD-S SIDE CHAN >>REACH NAME : 88 : 617 FROM NODE TO NODE : 514 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR w/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 48.000 RISE (in): 48,000 LENGTH (ft): 60.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 21.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 20.700 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000 POSITION A RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 30.500 CREST LN. (ft): 75.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COFF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT NEAR SCHOOL BOARD PROPERTY

...-

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

CONTROL PARAMETERS

START TIME: .00 END TIME: 6.00

•T ··· I

TO TIME (hours)	SIMULATION INC (secs)	PRINT INC (mins)
6.00	.50	2.00

RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT OFFSITE HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT BOUNDARY DATABASE FILE: NONE

NOTE: CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR - PRE COND

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

<b>-</b>	
>>REACH NAME : AC FROM NODE : 619 TO NODE : 618 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 48,000 RISE (in): 48,000 U/S INVERT (ft): 22,800 D/S INVERT (ft): 22,600 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2,000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000 MANNING N: .013
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 30.500 CREST LN. (ft): 75.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2,800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):######## CREST LN. (ft):######## RESERVED:######## RESERVED:#########	WEIR COEF ::******** RESERVED:*******
NOTE: CULVERT UNDER RR NEAR MCKEE PROP	
>>REACH NAME : AF FROM NODE : 622 TO NODE : 621 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 57.500 RISE (in): 56.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 12.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 11.130 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (fl): 80.000 Manning N: .013
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 26.600 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******* CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********

NOTE: CULVERT @ PERSIMMON ON N SIDE CHAN

- e: · · · · · ·

.....

i r

11112/00/00

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

$\sim$		·	
>:	REACH NAME : AH FROM NODE : 624 TO NODE : 623 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE TURBO SWITCH : ON	CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	RISE (in): 48.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.140 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (ft): 525.000 MANNING N: .013
	POSITION A : RECTANGU CREST EL. (ft): 27.800 RESERVED:********	LAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:********
	POSITION E : RECTANGU CREST EL. (ft):******** RESERVED:********	LAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST LN. (ft):******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF .: ******** RESERVED: ********
	NOTE: CULVERT	UNDER RR - N SIDE CHAN	
, O	>REACH NAME: A1-3FROM NODE: 624BTO NODE: 624AREACH TYPE: CULVERT,FLOW DIRECTION: POSITIVETURBO SWITCH: ON	CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
	1/5 INVERT (ft): 22.020	RISE (in): 12.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 22.000 # OF CULVERTS: 3.000	LENGTH (ft): 5.000 MANNING N: .013
	POSITION A : RECTANG CREST EL. (ft):9999.000 RESERVED:********	JLAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST LN. (ft): .000 RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
	POSITION B : RECTANG CREST EL. (ft):******** RESERVED:********	JLAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********

NOTE: CONTROL STRUCT ORIFICES - POND 6248

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

· · ·	TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH	626 625 CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED ON	
	SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft): ENTRNC LOSS:	120.000 RISE (in): 18.000 26.970 D/S INVERT (ft): 26.250 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000 MANNING N: .013
	POSITION A : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 29.790 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
		RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******** ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF .: ******** RESERVED: *******
	NOTE	CULVERT UNDER BEVIER - N SIDE CHAN	
°.,	REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH	627 CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	D
	CULVERT DATA SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft): ENTRNC LOSS:	120.000 RISE (in): 18.000 27.360 D/S INVERT (ft): 27.270 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000 MANNING N: .013
	POSITION A : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 30.680 CREST LN. (ft): 125.000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:********
	POSITION B CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******* ******** RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********

NOTE: CULVERT UNDER MEISCH - N SIDE CHAN

-----

: :

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

( )

FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH CULVERT DATA SPAN (in U/S INVERT (ft	: 700 : 750 : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR w/ ROADWAY : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWE : ON : : : 300.000 RISE (in): 60.000 ): 4.980 D/S INVERT (ft): 4.520	LENGTH (ft): 80.000
ENTRNC LOS	5: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 : RECIANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ): 12.960 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 D:######## RESERVED:#########	
CREST EL. (ft Reserve	: RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ):******** CREST LN. (ft):******** D:******* RESERVED:******* E: CULVERT UNDER 3RD ST - MAIN CHAN	WEIR COEF.:******* RESERVED:*******
	: AQ : 800 : 850 : Culvert, Rectangular w/ Roadway : Positive and Negative Flows Allowe	ED
CULVERT DATA SPAN (in U/S INVERT (ft ENTRNC LOS	: ): 300.000 RISE (in): 60.000 ): 4.500 D/S INVERT (ft): 4.500 5: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000 Manning N: .013
CREST EL. (ft	: RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ): 11.970 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 D:******** RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:********
CREST EL. (ft	: RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ):******** CREST LN. (ft):******** D:******** RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF .:******** RESERVED:********

NOTE: CULVERT UNDER 2ND ST - MAIN CHAN

. . .

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 : AS >>REACH NAME : 900 FROM NODE : 950 TO NODE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA - 51 RISE (in): 96.000 LENGTH (ft): 100.000 SPAN (in): 84.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 3.020 D/S INVERT (ft): 2.990 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 3.000 ENTRNC LOSS: : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION A CREST EL: (ft): 13.800 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 2.800 WEIR COEF.: RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION B CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERTS @ 1ST STREET - MAIN CHAN : AU >>REACH NAME : 1000 FROM NODE : 1050 TO NODE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR w/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : ON TURED SWITCH CULVERT DATA : LENGTH (ft): 45.000 RISE (in): 108.000 SPAN (in): 360.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -.750 D/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 .013 MANNING N: 1.000 .500 # OF CULVERTS: ENTRNC LOSS: : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION A WEIR COEF.: 2.800 CREST EL. (ft): 16.500 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT UNDER U.S. HWY 17-92

 $\bigcirc$ 

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

>	REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	C 220 106 DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED ON
	CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft):	
	CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT 37.000 CREST LN. (ft): 16.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000 3.000 GATE CDEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 1.000
	POSITION B :	NOT USED
	NOTE:	NEW CULV IN SOUTH PORTION OF C CLUE
	>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	
	CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft): ENTRNC LOSS:	48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 LENGTH (ft):1100.000 20.670 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.230 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000
	CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT 25.750 CREST LN. (ft): 75.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000 3.000 GATE COEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 1.000
	INVERT EL. (ft):	CIRCULAR RISER SLOT 24.000 SPAN (in): 8.000 RISE (in): 8.000 3.000 GATE COEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 3.000
	NOTES	CIEV FORM ACTU OT ORNE TO ACTU OT

NOTE: CULV FROM 18TH ST POND TO 16TH ST

٠.

1.7

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 : B >>REACH NAME : 105 FROM NODE : 106 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED FLOW DIRECTION : OFF TURBO SWITCH 2.000 RGHT \$\$ (h/v): 2.000 BOT. WIDTH (ft): LEFT \$\$ (h/v): 8.000 29.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 28.320 LENGTH (ft): 400.000 U/S INVERT (ft): .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 ENTRNC COEF .: MANNING N: .025 NOTE: CHAN NORTH OF 25TH ST-TO W SIDE CH : D MREACH NAME : 106 FROM NODE TO NODE : 107 : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH BOT. WIDTH (ft): 8.000 LEFT 55 (h/v): RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 2.000 28.320 D/S INVERT (ft): LENETH (ft): 300.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 27.810 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 ENTRNC COEF .: .025 MANNING N: NOTE: CHAN BETWEEN W SIDE CHAN & 24TH ST > REACH NAME : E : 210 FROM NODE : 107 TO NODE : TRAPEZDIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 BOT. WIDTH (ft): 2.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 28.410 D/S INVERT (ft): 27.810 LENGTH (ft): 600,000 U/S INVERT (ft): 99.000 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): .025 ENTRNC COEF.: MANNING N: NOTE: SIDE CHANNEL - SOUTH OF 24TH STREET : F >>REACH NAME : 107 FROM NODE TO NODE : 108 : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH RGHT SS (h/v): 2,000 2.000 BOT. WIDTH (ft): LEFT SS (h/v): 8.000 27.810 D/S INVERT (ft): 26.110 LENGTH (ft):1000.000 U/S INVERT (ft): .100 MAX, DEPTH (ft): 99.000 ENTRNC COEF .: MANNING N: .025

NOTE: CHAN BIWN 24TH & 23RD ST - MAIN CH

:

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO \$WITCH : BOT, WIDTH (ft):	108 200 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	2.000
MANNING N:	.025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):	99.000
NOTE:	CHAN BTWN 23RD & 20TH ST - MAIN CH	
FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	201 202 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	2.000
LENGTH (ft):	675.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 24.000 D/S INVERT (ft): .030 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):	24.000
	CHAN ALONG 20TH - WEST OF GOLDSBORD	99.000
FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBD SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	N 400 401 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EC. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED OFF 20.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 250.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 14.670 D/S INVERT (ft): .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):	14.140
NOTE :	CHAN BTWN 13TH & 12TH ST'S-MAIN CH	
FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. Positive and negative flows allowed	13.620
NOTE:	CHAN ETWN 12TH & 11TH ST - MAIN CH	

.

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

TURBO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	410 402 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
NOTE:	SIDE CHANNEL FROM CROOMS AREA
TURBO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	402 403 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
C))REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	403 600 TRAPEZGIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	CHAN BTWN 9TH & ETH ST - MAIN CH
<pre>&gt;&gt;REACH NAME :    FROM NODE :    TO NODE :    REACH TYPE :    FLOW DIRECTION :    TURBO SWITCH :    BOT. WIDTH (ft):    LENGTH (ft):</pre>	T 611 620 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY ED. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED OFF 20.000 LEFT \$\$ (h/v): 2.000 RGHT \$\$ (h/v): 2.000 450.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 18.320 D/S INVERT (ft): 10.180
CHARTING N:	.025 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHAN STWN PERSIM & STH ST-S SIDE CH

. . .

.

÷.,

-----

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 : AM >>REACH NAME : 620A FROM NODE : 620B TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 450.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 9.650 D/S INVERT (ft): 8.510 MANNING N: 025 ENTRNC COEF : 100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF .: NOTE: CHAN BIWN RR SPUR & RR MAIN-MAIN CH - : V >>PEACH NAME : 613 FROM NODE : 612 TO NODE REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT, WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENSTH (ft): 1000.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 20,580 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.290 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 ENTRNC COEF.: MANNING N: .025 NOTE: CHAN BIWN RR & PERSIM - S SIDE CHAN >>REACH NAME : Y FROM NODE : 615 : 514 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.500 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.500 LENGTH (ft):1300.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 24.880 D/S INVERT (ft): 20.780 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF.: NOTE: CHAN BIWN AIRPT BL & R POND-S SICH >>REACH NAME : AB FROM NODE : 618 TO NODE : 617

REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft):1300.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 22.600 D/S INVERT (ft): 22.000 MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: SOUTH SIDE CHANNEL - SOUTHERN TRIB

B:...:

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 >>REACH NAME : AD : 610 FROM NODE : 620 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20.000 LEFT \$\$ (h/v): 10.300 D/S INVERT (ft): 10.180 LENGTH (ft): 25,000 U/S INVERT (ft): .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF .: NOTE: CHAN BIWN STH ST & RR SPUR-MAIN CH . : AF >>REACH NAME : 621 FROM NODE : 620 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH 2,000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20,000 LEFT 55 (h/v): 11.130 D/S INVERT (ft): 8.510 LENGTH (ft): 550,000 U/S INVERT (ft): .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 ENTRNC COEF .: MANNING N: .025 NOTE: CHAN BIWN PERS & POND 620-N SIDE CH >REACH NAME : AG : 623 FROM NODE : 622 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 REHT SS (h/v): 2.000 BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20.000 LENGTH (ft):1400.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 18.140 D/S INVERT (ft): 12.000

NOTE: CHAN BIWN RR & PERSIM - N SIDE CHAN

.025

MANNING N:

5

.....

ENTRNC COEF.:

>>REACH NAME :	AI-1
FROM NODE :	624A
TO NODE :	624
	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	OFF
	10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000
LENGTH (ft):	580.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 22.000 D/S INVERT (ft): 20.350
MANNING N:	.025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

.100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):

99.000

NOTE: CHAN BIWN N SIDE CHAN POND & RR

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

<pre>&gt;&gt;REACH NAME : AI FROM NODE : 625 TO NODE : 624B REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURED SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): LENGTH (ft):1995.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 26.250 D/S INVERT (ft): MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):</pre>	22,000
NOTE: CHAN BIWN BEVIER & N SIDE CHANPOND	
<pre>&gt;&gt;REACH NAME : AK FROM NODE : 627 TO NODE : 626 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): LENGTH (ft): 655.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 27.270 D/S INVERT (ft):</pre>	2.000
MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):	99.000
NOTE: CHAN BIWN MEISCH & BEVIER-N SIDE CH	
<pre>&gt;REACH NAME : AN FROM NODE : 650 TO NODE : 700 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): LENGTH (ft):1650.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 8.490 D/S INVERT (ft): MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):</pre>	2.000 4.980 99.000
NOTE: CHAN BTWN RR & 3RD ST - MAIN CHAN	
<pre>&gt;&gt;REACH NAME : AP FROM NODE : 750 TO NODE : 800 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 30.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): LENGTH (ft): 550.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 4.520 D/S INVERT (ft): MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft):</pre>	4.500

NOTE: CHAN BIWN GRD & 2ND ST - MAIN CHAN

. -

**'**.

SHEET: FRANK BOOK

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991 : AR >>REACH NAME : 850 FROM NODE : 900 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH 2.000 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 4.500 D/S INVERT (ft): LENGTH (ft): 690.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 3.020 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 .025 ENTRNC COEF .: MANNING N: NOTE: CHAN STWN 2ND & 1ST ST - MAIN CHAN

: AT >>REACH NAME : 950 FROM NODE : 1000 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 20.000 LEFT SS (H/V): 2.000 RGHT 55 (h/v): 2.000 -.750 LENGTH (ft):2313.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 2.990 D/S INVERT (ft): .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 .025 ENTRNC COEF .: MANNING N:

NOTE: CHAN BIWN 1ST ST & 17-92 - MAIN CH

$\mathbf{O}$	REACH NAME : FROM NODE :	AV	
V	FROM NODE :	1050	
	TO NODE :	LKMONROE	
	REACH TYPE :	TRAPEZDIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.	
	FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
	TURBO SWITCH :	OFF	
	BOT. WIDTH (ft):	125.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 4.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 4.000	
		220.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 D/S INVERT (ft): -2.000	
		.025 ENTRNE COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000	

NOTE: OUTFALL TO LAKE MONROE

ŧ,

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

# REACH SUMMARY

••• · · · · · · ·

( )

INDEX		RCHNAME	FRMNODE		REACH TYPE
			614	613	RECTANGULAR WEIR/GATE/ORIFICE, VILLEMONTE ED
	1 2	X 01-2	6243	624A	RECTANGULAR WEIR/GATE/ORIFICE, VILLEMONTE EQ
	3	A	100	105	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	4	14 14	200	201	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	5	3	202	300	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	6	ī	301	302	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	ž	M	302	400	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	<b>a</b>	5	500	610	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	•	AM-1	620	620A	CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	70	AM-2	6208	650	CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	11	U	612	511	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	12	x-1	614	613	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	13	Z	616	615	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	14	- 	617	614	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	15	AC	519	618	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	16	AF	<del>6</del> 22	621	CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	27	AH	624	623	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	18	AI-3	624B	524A	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	19	ΕA	626	623	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
0	20	AL	628	627	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
$\smile$	21	AÖ	700	750	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	22				CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	23	AS	900	950	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	24	AU	1000	1050	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	25	С	220	106	DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT
		ĸ	300	203	DROR STRUCTURE WZ CIRC, CULVERI
		в.	205	106	TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	28	D	106	107	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	29	E	210	107	TRAPEZDIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	30	F	107	108 200	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	31	G	108	200	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	32	I	201	202	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGI EV.
	33	N	400	401	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
		0	401	402	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	35	P	410	402	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY ED.
	36	9	402	403	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY ED.
		Ř	403	600	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	38	T		620	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	39			6208	
	40	V		612	
	41	Y	615		
	42	AB	<del>6</del> 18		
	43		610		
	44	AE	621		
~	45	-	623		
	46		624A		
-	47		625		
	48	AK	627		
	49	AN	650		TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	50	AP	750	800	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENÉRGY EQ.

• ...

.

MILL CREEK-25YR/6HR STORM EVENT-POST COND-MED POND-(REVISED2) 10/24/1991

REACH SUMMARY

.

INDEX	RCHNAME	FRMNODE	TONODE	REACH TYPE				
51 52 53	AT	850 950 1050	900 1000 lkmonroe	TRAPEZOIDAL ( TRAPEZOIDAL ) TRAPEZOIDAL (	CHANNEL ,	ENERGY	EQ.	

## **APPENDIX 6**

### HYDRAULIC INPUT DATA

#### CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN:

#### 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT

# CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT HYDRAULIC INPUT DATA

PRE-CONDITION

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

NODE NAME	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X+COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
10	AREA	28.220	.000	.000	.000	28.220 35.220	.000 .000
20	AREA	27.640	.000	.000	.000	27.640 33.640	
40	AREA	26.880	.000	.000	.000	26.880 35.000	.000 .000
50	AREA	26,650	.000	.000	.000	26.650 35.000	
STORAGE1	AREA	24.230	.000	.000	.000	23.970 25.970 27.970 27.980 30.000	.180 .310 .430 2.300 2.750
STORAGE2	AREA	24 .230	.000	.000	.000	23.070 25.070 27.070 27.080 29.000	.220 1.200
STORAGE3	AREA	24.230	.000	.000	.000	21.950 23.950 26.950 26.960 28.700	.150 .420
90	AREA	24.230	.000	.000	.000	22.450 32.000	
100	AREA	24.230	.000	.000	.000	24.230 35.000	.000. .000
110	ARÉA	24.080	.000	.000	.000	24.080 35.000	.000. 000.
115	AREA	21.940	.000	000	.000	21.940 32.000	.000 .000

T1122101

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

NODE NAME	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
1301	AREA	22.940	.000	.000	.000	22.940 26.940 27.940	.010 .090 .400
118	AREA	19.810	.000	,000-	.000	19. <b>810</b> 28.000	.000 .000
1401	AREA	20.810	.000	.000	.000	20.810 24.810 25.810	.010 .100 .450
120	AREA	19.000	.000	.000	-000	19.000 28.000	.000 .000
130	AREA	17.560	.000	.000	.000	17.560 26.000	.000 .000
140	AREA	17.360	.000	.000	.000	17.360 26.000	.000 .000
150	AREA	15.670	.000	.000	.000	15.670 25.000	.000 .000
160	AREA	15.160	.000	.000	.000	15.160 25.000	
170	AREA	8.250	.000	.000	.000	8.250 17.000	
180	AREA	8.100	.000	.000	.000	8.100 17.000	
190	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	2.260 12.000	
200	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	2.000 12.000	
210	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	140_ 10.000	

 $\bigcirc$ 

:

Note: 1 - 1 - 4

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

NODE	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)	-	AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
1000	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	750 10.000	.000 .000
1050 .	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	-1.000 10.000	.000. .000
LKMONROE	TIME	3.000	.000	000	.000	3.000 3.000 3.000	.000. 3.000 6.000

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

>	>REACH NAMEFROM NODETO NODEREACH TYPEFLOW DIRECTIONTURBO SWITCH	C 40 50 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED ON	
	U/S INVERT (ft):	36.000 RISE (in): 36.000 26.880 D/S INVERT (ft): 26.650 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (ft): 60.000 MANNING N: .013
	CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 31.600 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
	POSITION B : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******* CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********
	NOTE:	CULVERT SYSTEM AT 20TH ST	
) <sup>°</sup>	>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	STORAGE1 STORAGE2 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
	U/S INVERT (ft):	36.000 RISE (in): 36.000	LENGTH (ft): 80.000 MANNING N: .013
	POSITION A : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 28.700 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:########
	POSITION B : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******** ******** RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF.:******* RESERVED:*******

NOTE: CULVERT AT 16TH ST BTWN LOW AREAS

....

A group of the second second second

Ο

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

	•	
>>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	STORAGE2 STORAGE3 CULVERT, CIRCULAR w/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
U/S INVERT (ft):	30.000 RISE (in): 30.000	LENGTH (ft): 100.000 MANNING N: .013
CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 28.000 CREST LN. (ft): 150.000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:********
CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******* CREST LN. (ft):******** ******** RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF.:******* RESERVED:********
NOTE:	CULVERT SYSTEM AT 14TH ST	
>>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	STORAGE3 90 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
U/S INVERT (ft):	48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 21,950 D/S INVERT (ft): 22.450 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 150.000. MANNING N: .013

POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 29.300 CREST LN. (ft): 150.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B: RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIRCREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

7.76.000

. . . . . . . . . . . .

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 13TH STREET

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

>	>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	: 100 : 110 : Culvert, circular w/ Roadway : Positive and negative Flows Allowed	
	U/S INVERT (ft):	: 42.000 RISE (in): 42.000 LENGTH (ft): 50.00 24.230 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.080 MANNING N: 10 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	
	CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 29.600 CREST LN. (ft); 100.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.80 ******** RESERVED:******** RESERVED:******	00 **
	POSITION B : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******** WEIR COEF.:******* ******** RESERVED:******* RESERVED:******	** **
	NOTE:	CULVERT SYSTEM AT 12TH STREET	
) )	REACH NAME : FROM NODE :	; J-1 : 1301	
	TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	DIT 1301 115 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED ON	
	CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft):		
	CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft):	: : 36.000 RISE (in): 36.000 LENGTH (ft): 300.00 : 22.940 D/S INVERT (ft): 21.940 MANNING N: .00 : .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM FROM NODE 1300 TO CB

Manager in the second states and the



FR:4:41:5 F

	CLOUD BRANC 10/15/1991	H - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3)
	REACH TYPE :	1401 118 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	U/S INVERT (ft):	36.000 RISE (in): 36.000 LENGTH (ft): 300.000 20.810 D/S INVERT (ft): 19.810 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000
	POSITION A :	NOT USED
	POSITION B :	NOT USED
	NOTE:	CULVERT SYSTEM FROM NODE 1400 TO CB
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE :	120 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	U/S INVERT (ft):	48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 LENGTH (ft): 200.000 19.810 D/S INVERT (ft): 19.000 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000
	CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 27.100 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.600 ******* RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******
	CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******* WEIR COEF.:******* ******* RESERVED:******* RÉSERVED:*******

NOTE: CULV SYST @ S END OF COASTLINE PARK
CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

FROM NODE	N 130 140 CULVERT, CIRCULA POSITIVE AND NEG ON	R W/ ROADWAY ATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED		
U/S INVERT (ft):	54.000 RI	SE (in): 54.000 RT (ft): 17.360 ULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft); 6 MANNING N:	
CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROAD 25.230 CREST L ********	WAY/BERM WEIR N. (ft): 100.000 E\$ERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: RESERVED:***	2.800
CREST EL. (ft):		WAY/BERM WEIR N. (ft):******* ESERVED:*******		
NOTE	CULVERT SYSTEM A	T STH STREET		
>>REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH	: 150 : 160 : Culvert, Circula : Positive and Neg	R W/ ROADWAY ATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED		
U/S INVERT (ft):	48.000 RI	SE (in): 48.000 RT (ft): 15.160 ULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (ft): 20 MANNING N:	
CREST EL. (ft):		WAY/BERM WEIR N. (ft): 100.000 ESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: RESERVED:***	
CREST EL. (ft):		WAY/BERM WEIR N. (ft):******** ESERVED:*******		

. .

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM UNDER SCL RR

Sector 213 Compared



CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

>>REACH NAME : R FROM NODE : 170 TO NODE : 180 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO \$WITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 100.000 RISE (in): 56.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 8.250 D/S INVERT (ft): 8.100 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 16.310 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******* CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:*******
NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 3RD STREET	
>>REACH NAME : T FROM NODE : 190 TO NODE : 200 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR w/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 84.000 RISE (in): 84.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 2.260 D/S INVERT (ft): 2.000 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 13.500 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******** CREST LN. (ft):******* DESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF .: ********

RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

------

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 1ST STREET

manalite i i i

 $\bigcirc$ 

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

>>REACH NAME	:	W
FROM NODE	:	1000
TO NODE	:	1050
REACH TYPE		CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
FLOW DIRECTION	:	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
TURBO SWITCH	:	ON

POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 16.500 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT UNDER U.S. HWY 17-92

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991 >>REACH NAME : A

FROM NODE :	10				
TO NODE :	20				
REACH TYPE :	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.				
FLOW DIRECTION :	TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. Positive and negative flows allowed				
TURBO SWITCH :					
BOT, WIDTH (ft):	6.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000				
LENGTH (ft):	900.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 28.220 D/S INVERT (ft): 27.640				
	.035 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000				
NOTE:	CHANNEL BETWEEN 24TH ST & 22ND ST				
	-				
>>REACH NAME :	8				
FROM NODE : TO NODE :	20				
TO NODE :	40				
REACH TYPE	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. Positive and negative flows allowed				
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED				
TURBO SWITCH :					
	6.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000				
	690.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 27.640 D/S INVERT (ft): 26.880				
MANNING N:	.035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000				
NOTE:	CHANNEL BETWEEN 22ND ST & 20TH ST				
)					
>>>REACH NAME :	D				
FROM NODE :	50				
TO NODE :	STORAGE1				
REACH TYPE :	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.				
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED				
TURBO SWITCH :					
BOT. WIDTH (ft):	6.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000				
LENGTH (ft):	500.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 26.650 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.570				
MANNING N:	.035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000				

NOTE: CHAN BIWN 20TH AND 16TH ST - PART 1

>>REACH NAME :	H
FROM NODE :	90
TO NODE :	100
REACH TYPE :	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	OFF
BOT. WIDTH (ft):	4.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000
LENGTH (ft):	330.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 22.450 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.230
MANNING N:	.035 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHANNEL BETWEEN 13TH AND 12TH ST

.

\_

·. 4 part. 2

NP 72

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	110 115 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
NOTE:	CHANNEL BETWEEN 12TH AND 11TH ST
BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	115 118 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
NOTE:	CHAN BTWN 11TH AND COASTLINE PARK
FLOW DIRECTION : TUREO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	120 130 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
NOTE :	CHAN BTWN 10TH & 8TH-COASTLINE PARK
REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH : BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	140 150 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
NOTE :	CHAN BIWN STH ST AND THE SCL RR

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6MR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

>>REACH NAME	:	0
FROM NODE		160
TO NODE	:	170
REACH TYPE	:	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION	:	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
TURBO SWITCH	:	OFF
		8.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000
LENGTH (ft	):	1360.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 15.160 D/S INVERT (ft): 8.250
MANNING	N٢	.035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHANNEL BETWEEN SCL RR AND 3RD ST

>>REACH NAME :	S
FROM NODE :	180
TO NODE :	190
REACH TYPE :	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION :	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
TURBO SWITCH :	OFF
BOT, WIDTH (ft):	8.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000
LENGIH (ft):	630.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 8.100 D/S INVERT (ft): 2.260
MANNING N:	.035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHANNEL BETWEEN GRD ST AND 1ST ST

. 1. se

>>REACH NAME	: ប
FROM NODE	: 200
TO NODE	: 210
REACH TYPE	: TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION	: POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
TURBO SWITCH	: OFF
	: 12.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000
LENGTH (ft)	: 950.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 2.000 D/S INVERT (ft): .140
MANNING N	: .035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHAN BIWN 1ST ST AND MC CONFLUENCE

FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE :	V 210 1000 TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
BOT. WIDTH (ft): LENGTH (ft):	30.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 700.000 U/S INVERT (ft): .140 D/S INVERT (ft):750 .035 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: CHAN BIWN MC/CB CONFL & HWY 17-92

.

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

>>REACH NAME	<ul> <li>X</li> </ul>
FROM NODE	: 1050
TO NODE	LKMONROE
	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
	OFF
BOT. WIDTH (ft)	: 125.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 4.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 4.000
LENGTH (ft)	: 220.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 D/S INVERT (ft): -2.000
MANNING N	.030 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000

NOTE: OUTFALL TO LAKE MONROE

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - PRE CONDITION (256PRE3) 10/15/1991

REACH SUMMARY

र से रहे रहे जिसके

IND	ΈX	RCHNAME	FRMNODE		REACH TYPE
	1	c			CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	2	Ε	STORAGE1	STORAGE2	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
					CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	4				CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	5		100	110	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	5	_ J⊷1	1301	115	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	7	K-1	1401	118	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
		L -	118	120	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	9	N	130	140	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ RGADWAY
	10	P	150	160	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	11	8	170	180	CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	12	Т	190	200	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	13	W	1000	1050	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	14	A	10	20	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
		В	20	40	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	16	D	50	STORAGE1	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	17	н	90	100	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY ED.
•	18	J	110	115	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
4	19	к	115	118	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	20	M	120	130	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	21	0	140	150	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	22	0	160	170	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	23		180	190	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	24	U	200	210	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	25				TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	26	х	1050	LKMONROE	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.

## CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN: 25-YEAR/6-HOUR STORM EVENT HYDRAULIC INPUT DATA

**POST-CONDITION** 

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

> CONTROL PARAMETERS -----

> > .00

START TIME: END TIME: 6.00

TO TIME (hours)	SIMULATION INC (secs)	PRINT INC (mins)
6.00	. 50	2.00

RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT OFFSITE HYDROGRAPH FILE: DEFAULT BOUNDARY DATABASE FILE: NONE

NOTE: CLOUD BRANCH-25YR/6HR STRM-POST-256POST5

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

NODE NAME	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LENGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
10	AREA	27.650	.000	.000	.000	27.650 29.650 31.650 32.650	2.700 3.280 3.870 4.190
15	AREA	27.640	.000	.000	1000	27.640 36.640	.000 .000
40	AREA	26.880	.000	.000	.000	26.880 35.000	
50	AREA	26.650	.000	.000	.000	26.650 35.000	
STORAGED	AREA	23.970	.000	.000	.000	23.970 25.970 27.970 27.980 30.000	.310 .430 2.300
STORAGE2	ARÉA	23.070	.000		.000	23.070 25.070 27.070 27.080 29.000	.160 .220 1.200
STORAGES	AREA	21.950	.000	.000	.000	21.950 23.950 25.950 26.950	.850 1.060
100	AREA	19.920	.000	.000	.000	19.920 26.000	
110	AREA	18.530	.000	.000	.000	18.530 25.000	
1301	AREA	22.940	.000	.000	.000	22.940 26.940 27.940	.090

 $\bigcirc$ 

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

.

. . .

NODE	NODE TYPE	INI STAGE (ft)	X-COOR (ft)	Y-COOR (ft)	LËNGTH (ft)		AREA/TIME (ac)/(hr)
1401	AREA	20.810	.000	.000	.000	20.810 24.810 25.810	.100
120	AREA	17.500	.000	.000	.000	17.500 23.500	
150	AREA	17.500	.000	.000	.000	15.670 17.670 19.670 21.670	.450 .670
160	AREA	15.160	.000	.000	.000	15.160 25.000	
170	AREA	8.250	.000	.000	.000	8.250 17.000	
180	AREA	8.100	.000	.000	.000	8.100 17.000	
190	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	2.260 12.000	.000. 000.
200	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	2.000 12.000	.000. 000.
210	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	.140 10.000	
1000	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	750 10.000	
1050	AREA	3.000	.000	.000	.000	-1.000 10.000	
LKMONROE	TIME	3.000	.000	.000	.000	3.000 3.000 3.000	3.000

-

-

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POSTS 11/07/1991

>>REACH NAME	:	A
FROM NODE	:	10
TO NODE	:	15
REACH TYPE	:	RECTANGULAR WEIR/GATE/ORIFICE, VILLEMONTE EQ.
FLOW DIRECTION	:	POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
CREST EL. (ft):	:	31.750 CREST LN. (ft): 90.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000
WEIR COEF.	:	3.100 GATE COEF .: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM .: 1.000
NOTE	٤.	CONTROL STRUCTURE @ PINEHURST PARK

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

>>REACH NAME : C ; 40 FROM NODE : 50 TO NODE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON

2

CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 30.000 RISE (in): 30.000 LENGTH (ft): 60.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 26.880 D/S INVERT (ft): 26.650 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000

POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 31.600 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B ; RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 20TH STREET

>>REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURED SWITCH	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	POSITIVE	RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED
TURBO SWITCH	:	ON	

CULVERT DATA - - -SPAN (in): 48.000 RISE (in): 36.000 LENGTH (ft): 80.000 U/S INVERT ( 7t ): 23,970 D/S INVERT ( ft ): 23,070 MANNING N: ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2,000

POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 28.700 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 16TH STREET

.013

RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

>>REACH NAME	: F	
FROM NODE	: STORAGE2	
TO NODE	: STORAGE3	
REACH TYPE	: CULVERT, RECTANGULAR w/ ROADWAY	
FLOW DIRECTION	: POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
TURBO SWITCH	: ON	

CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 48.000 RISE (in): 36.000 LENGTH (ft): 100.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 23.070 D/S INVERT (ft): 21.950 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERIS: 2.000

: RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION A CREST EL. (ft): 28.000 CREST LN. (ft): 150.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000 WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 14TH ST & RNDTREE

DWED

CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 19.920 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.530 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000

POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 29.600 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM BTWN 12TH & 11TH ST

LENGTH (ft): 300.000 MANNING N: .013

WEIR COEF .: 2,800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

>>REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH	110 120 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): U/S INVERT (ft): ENTRNC LOSS:	48.000 RISE (in): 48.000 18.530 D/S INVERT (ft): 16.500 .500 ≠ OF CULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (ft): 210.000 MANNING N: .013
CREST EL. (ft):	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 26.500 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:********
CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******* ******** RESERVED:********	
>>REACH NAME = FROM NODE = TO NODE = REACH TYPE =	CULV SYST BTWN 11TH & COASTLINE PK N 120 150 CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY	

FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON

CULVERT DATA LENGTH (ft): 120.000 SPAN (in): 120,000 RISE (in): 60,000 U/S INVERT (ft): 16.500 D/S INVERT (ft): 16.500 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000

: RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION A CREST EL. (ft): 25.230 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF .:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT EQUAL SYST AT COASTLINE PK

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991 : R >>REACH NAME : 170 FROM NODE : 180 TO NODE : CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : ON TURBO SWITCH CULVERT DATA SPAN (in): 100.000 RISE (in): 56.000 LENGTH (ft): 50.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 8.250 D/S INVERT (ft): 8.100 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000 ENTRNC LOSS: : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR POSITION A CREST EL. (ft): 16.310 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\* RESERVED: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF :: \*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 3RD STREET : 7 DREACH NAME FROM NODE : 190 TO NODE : 200 REACH TYPE CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : ON TURBO SWITCH CULVERT DATA SPAN (in): 84.000 RISE (in): 84.000 LENGTH (ft): 90.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 2.260 D/S INVERT (ft): 2.000 MANNING N: .013 .500 # OF CULVERTS: ENTRNC LOSS: 1.000 POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 13.500 CREST LN. (ft): 100.000 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF.:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT 1ST STREET

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

>>REACH NAME : W FROM NODE : 1000 TO NODE : 1050 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 360.000 RISE (in): 108.000 U/S INVERT (ft):750 D/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 45.000 MANNING N: .013
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft): 16.500 CREST LN. (ft): 200.000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:********	WEIR COEF.: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******** CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF ::******** RESERVED:********
NOTE: CULVERT SYSTEM AT U.S. HWY 17-92	•
FROM NODE : A-1 FROM NODE : 10 TO NODE : 15 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON	
CULVERT DATA : SPAN (in): 5.000 RISE (in): 5.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 27.650 D/S INVERT (ft): 27.640 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000	LENGTH (ft): 2.000 MANNING N: .013
POSITION A : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000 RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	
POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):******* CREST LN. (ft):******* RESERVED:******* RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********

NOTE: BLEEDDOWN CTRL STRUCT'S @ PINEHURST

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

>>REACH NAME FROM NODE TO NODE REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION TURBO SWITCH	1301 120 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED	
U/S INVERT (ft):	36.000 RISE (in): 36.000 22.940 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.670 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 300.000 MANNING N: .013
POSITION A : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR 9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000 ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF .: 2.800 RESERVED:*******
POSITION B : CREST EL. (ft): RESERVED:	RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR ******** CREST LN. (ft):******** ******** RESERVED:*******	WEIR COEF.:******** RESERVED:********
NOTE:	CULVERT SYST FROM NODE 1300 TO 120	
>>REACH NAME : FROM NODE : TO NODE : REACH TYPE : FLOW DIRECTION : TURBO SWITCH :	K-1 1401 120 CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ RDADWAY POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED ON	
U/S INVERT (ft):	36.000 RISE (in): 36.000 20.810 D/S INVERT (ft): 18.670 .500 # OF CULVERTS: 1.000	LENGTH (ft): 200.000 MANNING N: 2013
POSITION A :	NOT USED	
POSITION B :	NOT USED	

NOTE: CULVERT SYST FROM NODE 1400 TO 120

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adICPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POSTS 11/07/1991 >>REACH NAME : H-1 : STORAGE3 FROM NODE : 100 TO NODE REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA SPAN (in): 6.000 RISE (in): 6.000 LENGTH (ft): 2.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 21.950 D/S INVERT (ft): 21.940 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS; 3.000 POSITION A : NOT USED POSITION 3 : NOT USED NOTE: BLEEDDOWN CONTROL STRUCT'S AT 14TH DREACH NAME : P-1 FROM NODE : 150 TO NODE : 160 REACH TYPE : CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON CULVERT DATA SPAN (in): 6.000 RISE (in): 6.000 LENGTH (ft): 2.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 17.500 D/S INVERT (ft): 17.490 MANNING N: .013 ENTRNC LOSS: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 3.000 POSITION A RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000 WEIR COEF .: 2,800 RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* POSITION B : RECTANGULAR ROADWAY/BERM WEIR CREST EL. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CREST LN. (ft):\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WEIR COEF ::\*\*\*\*\*\*\* RESERVED:\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: BLEEDDOWN CNTRL STRUCTS @ SCL RR

C

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

FLOW DIRECTION	STORAGE3
CULVERT DATA	
	: 48,000 RISE (in): 48,000 LENGTH (ft): 440,000
U/S INVERT (ft)	: 21.950 D/S INVERT (ft): 19.920 MANNING N: .013
	: .500 # OF CULVERTS: 2.000
CREST EL. (ft)	: RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT : 25.000 CREST LN. (ft): 75.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000 : 3.000 GATE COEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 1.000
POSITION B	RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT
CREST EL. (ft)	9999.000 CREST LN. (ft): .000 OPENING (ft): 999.000
	3.000 GATE COEF .:
NOTE	WEIR./CULV SYST BTWN 13TH & 12TH ST
CREACH NAME	: Þ
FROM NODE	
TO NODE	

REACH TYPE : DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : ON

POSITION A : RECTANGULAR RISER SLOT CREST EL. (ft): 21.000 CREST LN. (ft): 85.000 OPENING (ft): 999.000 WEIR COEF.: 3.100 GATE CDEF.: .600 NUMBER OF ELEM.: 1.000

t province i pro-

POSITION E : NOT USED

۶.

NOTE: WEIR/CULV SYSTEM AT SCL RR MAINLINE

 $\cap$ 

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adJCPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POSTS 11/07/1991 : B >>REACH NAME FROM NODE : 15 : 40 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH IYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 10.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 4.000 RSHT SS (h/v): 4.000 LENGTH (ft): 780.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 27.640 D/S INVERT (ft): 26.880 .025 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: NOTE: CHANNEL BETWEEN 22ND & 20TH STREET ÷Þ >>REACH NAME FROM NODE : 50 TO NODE : STORAGE1 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED : OFF TURBO SWITCH BOT, WIDTH (ft): BLODD LEFT SS (h/v): 2.000 REHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 500.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 26.650 D/S INVERT (ft): 24.570 ENTRNC COEF .: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: .025 NOTE: CHAN BIWN 20TH & 16TH STREET >>REACH NAME : Q FROM NODE : 160 TO NODE : 170 REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURED SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 8,000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1,000 REHT SS (h/v): -1.000LENGTH (ft): 1360.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 15.160 D/S INVERT (ft): 3.250 MANNING N: .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX, DEPTH (ft): 99.000 NOTE: CHANNEL BIWN SCL RR AND 3RD STREET >>REACH NAME : S FROM NODE : 180 TO NODE : 190 : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH IYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 8.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 1.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 1.000 LENGTH (ft): 630.000 U/S INVERT (ft): 8.100 D/S INVERT (ft): 2.260 MANNING N: .025 .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): ENTRNC COEF.: 99.000

NOTE: CHANNEL STWN GRD AND 1ST STREET

880.U

Advanced Interconnected Channel & Pond Routing (adlCPR Ver 1.31) Copyright 1989-1990, Streamline Technologies, Inc. CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6MR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991 >>REACH NAME : U : 200 FROM NODE ; 210 TO NODE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. REACH TYPE FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 12.000 LEFT \$\$ (h/v): 2.000 RGHT \$\$ (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 950.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -2.000 D/S INVERT (ft): .140 .025 ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 MANNING N: NOTE: CHANNEL BTWN 1ST ST & CHAN CONFLUEN : V 20 REACH NAME : 210 FROM NODE : 1000 TO NODE REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWIYCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 30.000 LEFT SS ( h/v ): 2.000 RGHT SS (h/v): 2.000 LENGTH (ft): 700.000 U/S INVERT (ft): -.140 D/S INVERT (ft): -.750 MANNING N: ENTRNC COEF.: .100 MAX. DEPTH (ft): 99.000 .025 NOTE: CHAN STWN CONFLUENCE & US HWY 17-92 >>REACH NAME · · · × FROM NODE : 1050 TO NODE : LKMONROE REACH TYPE : TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ. FLOW DIRECTION : POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FLOWS ALLOWED TURBO SWITCH : OFF BOT. WIDTH (ft): 125.000 LEFT SS (h/v): 4.000 RGHT SS ( h/v ): 4.000 LENGTH (ft): 220,000 U/S INVERT (ft): -1.000 D/S INVERT (ft): -2.000

NOTE: DUTFALL CHANNEL TO LAKE MONROE

ENTRNC COEF .:

.100 MAX, DEPTH (ft): 99.000

.025

MANNING N:

CLOUD BRANCH - 25YR/6HR STORM EVENT - POST COND - 256POST5 11/07/1991

## REACH SUMMARY

:\_

11.11.1224

e la segura de

IN	IDEX	RCHNAME	FRMNODE		REACH TYPE
	1	<u> </u>	10	15	RECTANGULAR WEIR/GATE/ORIFICE, VILLEMONTE EQ
	ź	C	40	50	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	3	ε	STORAGE1	STORAGE2	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	4	F	STORAGE2	STORAGE3	CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	5				CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	ŝ				CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	5 7				CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
		8	170	180	CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY
	9	T	190	200	CULVERT, ARCH W/ ROADWAY CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
					CULVERT, RECTANGULAR W/ ROADWAY
	13	A-1	10	15	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	12	J-1	1301	120	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	13	K-1	1401	120	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ RDADWAY
	14	8-1	STORAGES	100	CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
					CULVERT, CIRCULAR W/ ROADWAY
	16	н	STORAGES	100	DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT
	17	P	150	160	DROP STRUCTURE W/ CIRC. CULVERT
					TRAPEIOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EC.
~	19		50	STORAGE1	TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	20				TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
		s			TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
	22				TRAPEZCIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
		v			TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.
		x			TRAPEZUIDAL CHANNEL, ENERGY EQ.









\_\_\_\_\_





A CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPANTE A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF









. ...

\_\_\_\_\_

Henry Oean, Executive Circutor

John R. Wehle, Assistant Executive Director

ST TORNS NIVER " WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

PALATKA, FLORIDA 32178-1429 POST OFFICE BOX 1429 TELEPHONE 904/329-4500

7775 Savmendows Way

Suite 102

904/730-6270

SUNCOM 904/850-4500 (ADMMOSTRATION/FINANCE) 329-4505

(PERMITTING) 328-4315 FAX (EXECUTIVE/LEGAL) 329-4125

PERMITTING:

305 East Drive

407/964-4940

Jacksonville, Florida 32256 - Melbourne, Florida 32904

FIELD STATIONS

OPERATIONS: 2133 N. Wickheith Road Melbourne, Paprice 32935-8109 407/254-1762

CERTIFIED MAIL 647 234

FEBRUARY 21/ 1992

CITY OF SANFORD POST OFFICE 20X 1738 SANFORD, FL 32/72-3738

NOTICE OF BOARD CONSIDERATION OF PERMIT APPLICATION R£ : NUMBER 4-117-0326ACG IN SEMINULE COUNTY

959

618 E. Sourn Sweet

407/894-5423

Criando, Pionos 32801

THE STAFF OF THE ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT DAG COMPLETED ITS REVIEW OF THE ABOVE-REFERENCED APPLICATION. ENCLOSED IS A COPY OF THE TECHNICAL STAFF REPORT (TSR) WHICH STATES THAT STAFF WILL RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION THIS ISR WITH THOSE CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN THE TSR. CONSTITUTES A NOTICE OF DISTRICT INTENT TO GRANT THE PERMIT IF YOU DISAGREE WITH ANY PART OF THE TSR/ YOU APPLICATION. SHOULD IMPEDIATELY CONTACT THE DISTRICT STAFF TO DISCUSS YOUR PLEASE REFER TO THE ENCLOSED NOTICE OF RIGHTS WHICH ECNCERNS\_ DESCRIBES ANY RIGHTS YOU MAY HAVE AND IMPORTANT TIME FRAMES REGARDING THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION.

OU ARE ENFITLED TO ADDRESS THE GOVERNING BOARD CONCERNING THE HOREVER, WHETHER YOU DO SO OR NOT IS SOLELY YOUR APPLICATION. UBJECTIONS WRICH THE DISTRICT HAS RECEIVED DECISION. CONCERNING THE ABOVE-REFERENCED APPLICATION ARE PROVIDED TO ASSIST YOU IN PREPARING ANY PRESENTATION TO THE GOVERNING BOARD. THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION, ANY PRESENTATION BY YOU OR OTHERS, AND ANY DEJECTIONS WILL BE CONSIDERED IN THE BOARD'S FINAL PERMIT DECISION.

THE GOVERNING BOARD WILL CONSIDER YOUR APPLICATION AT 3:00 P.M. MARCH 10, 1992, OR AS SCON THEREAFTER AS IT MAY COME UNTO BE HEARD AT: ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER NANAGEMENT DISTRICT READOUARTERS, HIGHWAY 100 WEST, PALATKA, FLORIDA 32173.

AINCEPELY, Nucan L VL VL ( $\sim$   $\rightarrow$ SHANNON BARICAN, SRL RECORDS TECHNICIAN

DIVISION OF RECORDS

CC: DISTRICT FILE VICKI CUPTIS, DATA MANAGEMENT SUPERVISOR **AGENT-**CONKLIN, PORTER AND HOLMES-ENGINEERS, INC.

Saundra H. Grzy, Churtawa DE BARY

JOE E. HIR, YES CHARMON LEESING

Raiph E. Simmons

FERMANDINA BEACH

Joseph D. Collins TREASURER JACKSONMLE.

Merrin C. Fore SECRETARY OCALA

Jesse J. Parrish, IR TTUSYLLE

Patricia T. Harden SANFORD

Lenore N. McCullach **ORANGE PARK** 

James H. Williams CC42A

## NOTICE OF BIGHTS ...

1. A party whose substantial interests are determined has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District) within 14 days of receipt of notice of the District's intent to grant or deny a permit application as provided in Section 40C-1.511, Florida Administrative Code, at the office of the District Clerk located at District headquarters, Highway 100 West,

2. A party whose substantial interests are determined has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition in the office of the District Clerk within 14 days of receipt of notice of final District action on a permit application, as provided in Section 40C-1.511, <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>, if the Governing Board look action which substantially differs from the notice of intent to grant or deny the permit application, application, application, application, application, and the substantially differs from the notice of the District's intent to grant or deny the permit application, a

3. A substantially interested party has the right to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), <u>Florida Statutes</u>, where there is a dispute between the District and the party regarding an issue of material fact. A petition for a formal hearing must comply with the requirements set forth in Section 40C-1.521(2), <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>.

4. A substantially interested party has the right to an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), <u>Florida Statutes</u>, where no material facts are in dispute. A petition for an informal hearing must comply with the requirements set forth in Section 40C-1.521(2), <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>.

5. Filing of a petition for an administrative hearing occurs upon delivery to the District Clerk at the District headquarters in Palatka, Florida,

6. Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite time frame shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative hearing.

7. The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, and Chapter 40C-1, <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>.

8. Any substantially affected person who claims that final action of the District constitutes an unconstitutional taking of property without just compensation may seek review of the action in circuit court pursuant to Section 373.617. <u>Florida Statutes</u>, and the Florida Rules of Civil Procedures, by filing an action within 90 days of the rendering of the final District action.

 Pursuant to Section 120.68, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, a party who is adversely affected by final District action may seek review of the action in the district court of appeal by filing a notice of appeal pursuant to <u>Fla.R.App.P.</u>
 10 within 30 days of the rendering of the final District action.

10. A party to the proceeding who/claims that a District order is inconsistent with the provisions and Durposes of Chapter 373, Florida S Statutes, by the Land and Water / Commission and serving a coprorder within 20 days of the r by the Commission within / cance, the Commission

11. A Distric' Board on behalf /

12. Fr

paragraph right to
Management And Storage of Surface Waters Technical Staff Report February 17, 1992

Applicant: City of Sanford Post Office Box 1788 Sanford, Fl 32772-1788

Agent: Conklin, Porter, and Holmes Engineers, Inc. Post Office Box 2808 Sanford, Fl 32772-2808

County: Seminole Section(s): 39 Acres Owned: N/A Project Name: Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Township(s): 19S Range(s):31E Project Acreage: 1392 Mill Creek and 664 Cloud Branch

Authority: Chapters 40C-4.041(1),(2)(b) , F.A.C.

General Description of Application No. 4-117-0326ACG: This application is for the conceptual authorization to make drainage improvements to regions of the Mills Creek and Cloud Branch drainage basin located within the City of Sanford.

Receiving Water Body(ies): Mill Creek, Cloud Branch, and Lake Monroe (Class III)

Existing Land Use: Urban residential, forested wetlands, herbaceous wetlands, ditches, Mill Creek, and Cloud Branch.

Operation and Maintenance Entity: City of Sanford

Staff Comments:

The north central and northwestern portions of the City of Sanford contain surface water drainage system generally tributary to two existing natural drainage ways. These two streams are Mill Creek and Cloud Branch.

The Mill Creek system drains the northwestern portion of the city of Sanford, roughly bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Airport Boulevard and Bevier Road on the west, and Olive Avenue on the east. The Cloud Branch system is generally bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Park Avenue and Sanford Avenue on the east and Olive Avenue on the west in Seminole County.

This application is for the conceptual approval of drainage improvements to regions of Mills Creek and Cloud Branch located within the City of Sanford. The areas surrounding these two channels are thoroughly developed, economically depressed areas, mostly comprised of lower income housing, commercial, railroad, and industrial land use. Repeated hazardous flooding problems exist in these areas, because of the very inadequate drainage system. In



••••

many cases, homes and other structures are built right on the streams and ditches. Public health and safety has always been a major problem, as well as flooding damage.

The proposed conceptual surface water management system will consist of two man-made detention ponds within Mill Creek drainage basin, and two wet detention ponds. Two detention ponds within the Cloud Branch drainage basin. The actual design will be submitted in the construction application.

Mill Creek and Cloud Branch are Class III waters of the state that flow into Lake Monroe. Both water bodies have been channelized along their courses in the region of the proposed drainage improvements. The creeks are steeply sloped, grassed banked, eroding, conveyances through the residential neighborhoods. There are two areas of mixed forested wetlands, bisected by Cloud Branch, within the area of the conceptually proposed project. No encroachments are proposed into the wetlands; however, the conceptual plan indicates they will be used for detention or attenuation.

The residential regions of the City of Sanford served by these creeks experienced severe flooding and property damage during the storm events in spring/summer 1991.

On July 13, 1991, the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch area, as well as the rest of Sanford, was hit by an extreme rainfall event. At the Water Reclamation Facility located at the confluence of Cloud Branch and Mill Creek (at Lake Monroe), from 8:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m., 5.8 inches of rainfall was measured.

The area has a long history of drainage related problems. The city's consulting engineer studied these two basins in 1968 and prepared a drainage study which proposed solutions to the drainage problems. The study was never implemented because of cost and unavailability of funds. The 1968 study was prior to the existence of current requirements of the District and other agencies for retention/detention and other criteria and regulations.

In the post-development condition (no new development has been proposed) Mill Creek and Cloud Branch, as well as run-off from the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch drainage basins, will be routed through two man-made detention ponds within Mill Creek, two man-made wet detention ponds and two detention ponds within Cloud Branch. A number of channel modifications and other improvements also are proposed to alleviate flooding, and increase public safety.

The applicant proposed to use a 25-year, 6-hour storm analysis for the main facilities in these basins, where it is economically feasible to do so. Also, the applicant propose to provide some strategically located detention facilities in the system, sized basically to attenuate or reduce peak flows. The basins will have bleed-down devices to re-establish the basin storage capacity in 14 days or less. The wet-detention ponds are designed to attenuate the peak rate of discharge for the 25-year, 6-hour storm event. It is also generally proposed that post-development peak rate of discharge to the Lake Monroe from the 25-year, 24-hour storm event will not exceed the pre-development peak rate of discharge for the 25-year 24-hour storm event at the point of discharge.

The applicant provided a cost analysis showing that the construction program utilizing the 25-year 6-hour storm event has a total preliminary cost estimated at \$7,619,846, while the 25-year 24-hour storm event design has a total preliminary cost estimated at \$10,088,874; a difference in cost of \$2,469,028. There is a major difference in physical facilities and costs involved in providing the additional protection and there is no big difference in the protection provided.

The proposed project will not meet the design and performance criteria delineated in Section 40C-42.025 F.A.C, however the applicant has shown that the proposed Mill Creek and Cloud Branch drainage improvements will meet the overall objectives of the District pursuant to section 9 of the Applicant's Handbook (A.H).

Erosion-protection measures, including seeding and sodding, will be extensively utilized. Also, junction structures at side streets will be dual compartment structures providing some pollution abatement functions.

In lieu of meeting the District criteria as delineated in Chapter 40C-4, 40C-42, F.A.C. and section 10.2.1, A.H., the applicant proposals will meet the overall objectives and standards pursuant to section 9.0 and 10.1.2, A.H.

Therefore, staff believes that this project, as conceptually proposed is consistent with the objectives and standards of the District set forth in chapter 400-4, and 400-42, F.A.C.

Recommendation; Approval

Conditions For Application Number #4-117-0326ACG

General (See Condition Sheet): 6, 7

Other Conditions:

- Pursuant to Section 3.4.2(s) of the MSSW Applicant's Handbook, this permit does not authorize any construction, operation, or alteration of the proposed system.
- 2. This Conceptual Approval permit is valid for twenty years from the date of issuance, provided that construction of the initial phase of the system is permitted and construction undertaken within two years of the issuance of this conceptual

approval permit, and provided that all phases of the system are designed and built in accordance with the terms of the conceptual approval permit and that all required permits for subsequent phases are obtained.

- 3. The permittee must obtain a General or Individual permit from the District prior to beginning construction of subsequent phases or any other work not specifically authorized by this permit.
- The proposed conceptual surface water management system is approved as shown on plans received by the District on December 17, 1991.

Pakzadian/Thomas

# PLEASE REFER TO YOUR TSR FOR THOSE CONDITIONS WHICH APPLY TO YOUR PERMIT

# GENERAL CONDITIONS

Management and Storage of Surface Waters

# RULE IMPLEMENTED OCTOBER 19, 1989

- 1. Prior to lot or unit sales, or upon completion of construction of the system, whichever occurs first, the District must receive the final operation and maintenance document(s) approved by the District and recorded, if the datter is appropriate. For those systems which are proposed to be maintained by county or municipal entities, final operation and maintenance documents must be received by the District when maintenance and operation of the system is accepted by the local covernment entity. Failure to submit the appropriate final document will result in the permittae remaining personally liable for carrying out maintenance and operation of the permitted system.
- All construction, operation and maintenance shall be as set forth in the plans, specifications and performance criteria as approved by this permit.
- District authorized staff, upon proper identification, will have permission to enter, inspect and observe the system to insure conformity with the plans and specifications approved by the permit.
- 4. Turbidity barriers must be installed at all locations where the possibility of transferring suspended solids into the receiving waterbody exists due to the proposed work. Turbidity barriers must remain in place at all locations until construction is completed and soils are stabilized and vecetation has been established. Thereafter the permittee will be responsible for the removal of the barriers.
- 5. The operation phase of the permit shall not become effective until a Florida Registered Professional Engineer certifies that all facilities have been constructed in accordance with the design approved by the District. Within 30 days after completion of construction of the surface water management system, the permittee shall submit the following: completion certification report signed and sealed by the 2.2.; and when the completed system substantially differs from permitted plans, two sets of record (as built) plans which reflect the surface water management system as actually constructed. This submittal will serve to notify the District staff that the facilities are ready for inspection and approval. The permit

cannot be transferred to the responsible operation and maintenance entity approved by the District until construction of the completed surface water management system is approved by the District.

- 6. If any other regulatory agency should require revisions or modification to the permitted project, the District is to be notified of the revisions so that a determination can be made whether a permit modification is required.
- 7. The District must be notified, in writing, within 30 days of any sale, conveyance, or other transfer of a permitted system or facility or within 30 days of any transfer of ownership or control of the real property at which the permitted system or facility is located. All transfers of ownership or transfers of a permit are subject to the requirements of chapter 40C-1.
- 8. The permittee must require the contractor to review and maintain a copy of this permit, complete with all conditions, attachments, exhibits, and permit modifications in good condition and posted at the same location as other agency permits on the construction site. The complete permit copy shall be available for review upon request by District representatives.

# PLEASE REFER TO YOUR TSR FOR THOSE CONDITIONS WHICH APPLY TO YOUR PERMIT

# GENERAL CONDITIONS

Management and Storage of Surface Waters

RULE IMPLEMENTED OCTOBER 19, 1989

- Prior to lot or unit sales, or upon completion of construction of the system, whichever occurs first, the District must receive the final operation and maintenance document(s) approved by the District and recorded, if the datter is appropriate. For those systems which are proposed to be maintained by county or municipal entities, final operation and maintenance documents must be received by the District when maintenance and operation of the system is accepted by the local covernment entity. Failure to submit the appropriate final document will result in the permittee remaining personally liable for carrying out maintenance and operation of the permitted system.
- All construction, operation and maintenance shall be as set forth in the plans, specifications and performance criteria as approved by this permit.
- District authorized staff, upon proper identification, will have permission to enter, inspect and observe the system to insure conformity with the plans and specifications approved by the permit.
- 4. Turbidity barriers must be installed at all locations where the possibility of transferring suspended solids into the receiving waterbody exists due to the proposed work. Turbidity barriers must remain in place at all locations until construction is completed and soils are stabilized and vegetation has been established. Thereafter the permittee will be responsible for the removal of the barriers.
- 5. The operation phase of the permit shall not become effective until a Florida Registered Professional Engineer certifias that all facilities have been constructed in accordance with the design approved by the District. Within 30 days after completion of construction of the surface water management system, the permittee shall submit the following: completion certification report signed and sealed by the P.E.; and when the completed system substantially differs from permitted plans, two sets of record (as built) plans which reflect the surface water management system as actually constructed. This submittal will serve to notify the District staff that the facilities are ready for inspection and approval. The permit

cannot be transferred to the responsible operation and maintenance entity approved by the District until construction of the completed surface water management system is approved by the District.

- 6. If any other regulatory agency should require revisions or modification to the permitted project, the District is to be notified of the revisions so that a determination can be made whether a permit modification is required.
- 7. The District must be notified, in writing, within 30 days of any sale, conveyance, or other transfer of a permitted system or facility or within 30 days of any transfer of ownership or control of the real property at which the permitted system or facility is located. All transfers of ownership or transfers of a permit are subject to the requirements of chapter 40C-1.
- 8. The permittee must require the contractor to review and maintain a copy of this permit, complete with all conditions, attachments, exhibits, and permit modifications in good condition and posted at the same location as other agency permits on the construction site. The complete permit copy shall be available for review upon request by District representatives.

# MANAGEMENT AND STORAGE OF SURFACE WATERS/STORMWATER -

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

OCTOBER 19, 1989

# <u>Duration and Completion</u>

- This permit for construction will expire five years from the data of issuance.
- 2. Construction or alteration of the surface water management system must be completed and all disturbed areas must be stabilized in accordance with permitted plans and permit conditions prior to any of the following events (whichever occurs first): issuance of a certificate of occupancy; use of the infra-structure for its intended use; or transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance to a local government or other responsible entity.

### Conceptual

- Pursuant to section 3.4.2(s) of the MSSW Applicant's Handbook, this permit does not authorize any construction, operation, or alteration of the proposed system.
- 4. This Conceptual Approval permit is valid for twenty years from the date of issuance, provided that construction of the initial phase of the system is permitted and construction undertaken within two years of the issuance of this conceptual approval permit, and provided that all phases of the system are designed and built in accordance with the terms of the conceptual approval permit and that all required permits for subsequent phases are obtained.
- The permittee must obtain a General or Individual permit from the District prior to beginning construction of subsequent phases or any other work not specifically authorized by this permit.

### High Maintenance Systems

6. At a minimum, all retention and detention storage areas must be excavated to rough grade prior to building construction or placement of impervious surface within the area to be served by these facilities. To prevent reduction in storage volume and percolation rates, all accumulated sediment must be removed fro the storage area prior to final grading and stabilization.

- 7. A registered Professional Engineer (P.E.) or his or her designee must be on-site to verify that the filtration system is constructed according to the permitted plans. This P.E. must submit a signed and sealed inspection report to the District using form number EN-42 within 30 days of completion of the filter system. An annual inspection of the filter system must be made by a P.E. or his or her designee in the month of May. A signed and sealed inspection report must be submitted to the District by the inspecting P.E. within 30 days of the inspection date. If the filtration system is not functioning as designed and permitted, maintenance must be performed immediately and reported in the annual inspection report. If maintenance measures are insufficient to enable the system to meet the design and performance standards in chapter 40C-42, the permittee must obtain District approval of an alternate design.
- S. A P.E. or his or her designee must inspect the pump system (including pumps and appurtenant works) in May of each year. The inspecting P.E. must submit a signed and sealed report to the District using form number EN-35) within 30 days of the inspection certifying that the pump system is operating as designed and permitted. If the pump system is not operating as designed and permitted, maintenance must be performed immediately to restore the system to permitted operating specifications. All necessary maintenance must be described by the P.E. as part of the annual report. If maintenance measures prove insufficient to restore the system to permitted operation specifications, the permittee must obtain District approval of an alternative design.
- 9. A Registered Professional Engineer or his or her designee must be onsite to ensure that the exfiltration system is constructed according to the permitted plans. Within 30 days of installation, the Professional Engineer must submit a signed and sealed report (EN-42) to the District certifying that the exfiltration system is installed in accordance with the permitted plans.
  - Inlets to exfiltration systems must be inspected and cleaned of nebris and sediment on a quarterly basis in February, May, August, and November of each year. If the system becomes clogged, maintenance measures must be taken to ensure the system will function as designed. If maintenance measures prove insufficient to restore the system to permitting operating specifications, the permittee must obtain District approval of an alternate design that will perform the same function.

#### <u>Wet Detention</u>

10. The littoral zone of the wet detention system, as shown on the approved plans, must be vegetated with a mixture of native herbaceous vegetation, achieving an 80% cover within 18 months of completion of the system. At least an 80% cover must be maintained in perpetuity.

- 11. Monitoring reports (2 copies) evaluating the establishment of littoral zone vegetation must be submitted to the District within 30 days following completion of the system and 18 months after completion. The initial report must verify that the littoral zone has been constructed and describe the methods used littoral zone has been constructed and describe the methods used to initiate establishment of a vegetative cover. The 18 month report must contain the following: an assessment of viability of the littoral zone vegetation; percent coverage by species; of the littoral since original planting (if applicable); and a description of all maintenance measures taken to date.
- 17. All activities necessary to establish the vegetated littoral tone as required by permitted plans and other conditions of this permit, must be completed prior to any of the following events (whichever occurs first): issuance of the first certificate of occupancy; the use of infrastructure for its intended use; or, transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance of the system to a local government or other responsible entity.

# Karst Sensitive Areas

- 13. If limestone bedrock is encountered during construction of the retention basins or a sinkhole or solution cavity forms during construction, construction of the basin must be halted immediately and the District must be notified. At that time, a modification of this permit may be required.
- 14. The permittee must visually inspect all permitted surface vater management basins monthly for the occurrence of sinkholes and document these inspections on District Condition Compliance Form Number EN33. Two copies of the completed forms must be sent to the District annually by May 31st of each year.
- 15. The permittee must repair any sinkhole that develops within the sufface water management system. Permittee must notify the District of any sinkhole development in the surface water management system within 48 hours of its discovery and must submit a detailed sinkhole repair plan within 30 days of such discovery for written approval by the District staff.

# Wetland Preservation/Creation

- 16. All wetland areas or water bodies that are outside of the specific limits of construction authorized by this permit must be protected from erosion, siltation, scouring or excess turbidity, and dewatering.
- 17. The permittee must submit two copies of an as-built survey of the wetland creation areas certified by a registered surveyor or professional engineer showing dimensions, grades, ground elevations, and water surface elevations. The as-built must be submitted with the first monitoring report.

- 18. Within the wetland creation areas, non-native vegetation, cattails (Typha spp.) and primrose willow (Ludwiga peruvianna), must be controlled by hand clearing or other methods approved by the District so that they constitute no more than 10% of the areal cover in each stratum.
- 19. Prior to construction, the permittee must clearly designate the limits of construction on-site. The permittee must advise the contractor that any work outside the limits of construction, including clearing, is a violation of this permit.
- 20. The wetland creation areas must be planted prior to any of the following events (whichever occurs first): issuance of the first certificate of occupancy; use of the infrastructure for its intended use; or transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance of the system to a local government or other responsible entity.
- 21. Within 30 days of completion of initial planting, the permittee must submit to the District for review and approval a plan detailing the site-specific methods to be used for monitoring the wetland creation areas so that achievement of success criteria can be clearly demonstrated. The plan must include such information as the size, location and number of monitoring quadrants, the location and number of photographic stations, and other pertinent factors to demonstrate achievement of success criteria.
- 22. The permittee must furnish the District with monitoring reports for the wetland creation area(s) describing:
  - A. Percent survival and diversity of planted species within each stratum;
  - B. Recruitment density and composition within each stratum;
  - C. Recorded growth via established parameters for planted trees and shrubs;
  - D. Percent cover of herbaceous species;
  - E. Surface water elevation referenced to N.G.V.D., or if surface water is not present, groundwater elevation referenced to N.G.V.D.; and
  - F. Wildlife utilization.

The data must be collected and submitted semi-annually, once during the wet season (August-September) and once during the dry season (March-April) for a total period of 3 years following initial planting. Reports to the District must also include photographs, descriptions of problems encountered, and solutions undertaken.

- 23. Successful establishment of the wetland creation area will have octurred when:
  - A. At least 90 percent of the planted individuals in each stratum have survived and are showing signs of normal annual growth, based upon standard growth parameters such as height and base diameter, or canopy circumference;
  - B. At least 80 percent cover by appropriate wetland herbaceous species has been obtained; and
  - C... The above criteria has been achieved by the end of a 3 year period following initial planting.
- 24. If successful establishment has not occurred as stated above, the permittee must apply to the District for a permit modification no later than 30 days following the termination of the 3 year monitoring period. The application must include a narrative describing the type and causes of failure and contain a complete set of plans for the redesign and/or replacement planting of the wetland creation area so that the success criteria will be achieved. Within 30 days of District approval and issuance of the permit modification, the permittee must implement the redesign and/or replacement planting. Following completion of such work, success criteria as stated above or modified by subsequent permit must again be achieved. In addition, the monitoring required by these conditions must be conducted.
- 25. Within 30 days of any monitoring event that indicates 50% or greater mortality of planted wetland species in any stratum within-the mitigation area, the applicant must submit a remediation program for District staff review and approval.
- 26. Prior to initiating any construction, the permittee must record a conservation easement on the real property pursuant to section 704.06, F.S., prohibiting all construction including clearing, dredging, or filling, except that which is specifically authorized by this permit within the wetland creation, wetland enhancement, and upland conservation areas as delineated on the final plans as approved by the District. The easement must contain provisions as set forth in paragraphs 1(a)-(h) of section\_704.06, F.S., as well as provisions indicating that they may be enforted by the District and may not be amended without District approval. Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this permit and prior to recording, said easement must be submitted to the District for review and approval.

Within 30 days of receipt of District approval, the permittee must provide the District with a certified copy of the recorded easement showing the date it was recorded and the official records book and page number. 27. prior to initiating any construction, the permittee must record a deed restrictions on the real property pursuant to section TO4.05, F.S., prohibiting all construction including clearing, dredging, or filling, except that which is specifically authorized by this permit within the wetland creation, wetland enhancement, and upland conservation areas as delineated on the final plans as approved by the District. The restrictions must fortain provisions as set forth in paragraphs 1(a)-(h) of section 704.06, F.S., as well as provisions indicating that they may be enforced by the District and may not be amended without District approval. Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this permit and prior to recording, said restrictions must be submitted to the District for review and approval.

Within 30 days of receipt of District approval, the permittee must provide the District with a certified copy of the recorded restrictions showing the date it was recorded and the official records book and page number.

# Erosion and Sediment Control

- 28. The permittee must submit two copies of an erosion and sediment control plan detailing measures to be taken during construction to prevent the discharge of turbid water or eroded soil to adjacent properties, wetlands, or water bodies outside of the specific limits of construction approved by this permit. Said plan must be submitted to the District for staff review and written approval at least 14 days prior to the initiation of construction. The approved plan must be provided to and discussed with the construction contractor prior to the initiation of construction.
- 29. Permittee must select, implement, and operate all erosion and sediment control measures required to retain sediment on-site and to prevent violations of water quality standards as specified in chapters 17-301, 17-302, and 17-4, F.A.C. The permittee is encouraged to use appropriate Best Management Practices for erosion and sediment control as described in the Florida Land Development Manual: A quide to Sound Land and Water Management (DER, 1988).
  - The permittee must construct and maintain a permanent 30. protective vegetative and/or artificial cover for erosion and sediment control on all land surfaces exposed or disturbed by construction or alteration of the permitted project. Unless modified by another condition of this permit or specified otherwise on a District-approved erosion and sediment control plan, this protective cover must be installed within fourteen (14) days after final grading of the affected land surfaces. A permanent vegetative cover must be established within 50 days after planting or installation. The permittee must maintain cover on adjacent ground surfaces which may be impacted by construction activities until the District receives the P.E. certification that the project is constructed according to the permitted plans.

# Integrated Pest Management Plan/Golf Course

31. Within 50 days of the beginning of construction or prior to application of any pesticides to the project area, whichever octurs first, the permittee must obtain District approval of a site specific, integrated Pesticide Management Plan. The management plan must specify the usage of non-chemical or cultural means-as the primary defense against nuisance and/of, destructive pests. These non-chemical measures should include practices such as: the planting and maintenance of native vegetation where possible; the use of pest and/or disease tolerant vegetation; the proper selection and application of fertilizer; proper supplemental watering; the use of multa for weed control, and proper maintenance practices including mowing frequency, mowing height, mechanical dethatching, removal of dying or dead vegetation, etc.

The plan must also include information on the following:

- Ensecticides, nematicides, fungicides or herbicides to be used;
- b. Hethod(s) of application;
- Time frames for use and application; and
- d. For the pesticides that will be used, specification of:
  - Half-lives
  - "N-Octanol/water partition coefficient (Kow)
  - Lethal dose coefficient (LD50)
  - Solubility -

Any pesticides selected must exhibit a short half-life (<10 weeks); a low n-octanol/water coefficient (<5.0), and be suitable for use with local soils and groundwater pH conditions. The use of organochlorides and other pesticides either listed by EFA as cancelled or suspended, or otherwise prohibited by state or iederal law is not allowed.

32. The permittee must adhere to the fertilizer recommendations set forth in the manual for commercial turf grass management by the University of Florida compiled by the Florida Turf-Grass Association. The nutrient inading attributable to the application of effluent shall be considered a source of fertilizer for the golf course and additional non-effluent fertilizer sources shall be utilized only as a supplement.

# Rater Quality Sampling

33. All water quality data must be submitted to the District within 14 days of receipt of the analytical laboratory report using the appropriate District form (EN-15 - EN-20) or an equivalent format approved by District Staff.

- 34. The data collected for the water quality monitoring program must be similted to the District annually by December 31 of each year using the appropriate District form (DN-16 - DN-20) or an equivalent format approved by District staff.
- 35. After 5 years of monitoring, the Permittee may request a modification of the parameters and frequency of the monitoring program by demonstrating that the collected data represents steady state conditions, is sufficient to establish baseline ranges for indicator parameters and provides an adequate basis for evaluating the project's compliance with state water quality standards.
- 36. Within 30 days of the issuance of this permit, the Permittee must simult a site specific quality assurance plan for approval by District staff. The quality assurance plan must conform with FDER Guidelines for Preparing Quality Assurance Plans (DER-QA-001/85, dated January 30, 1986).

#### INSPECTION REPORTS

- 37. The operation and maintenance entity shall submit inspection reports to the District two years after the operation phase permit becomes effective and every two years thereafter on District form EN-46. The inspection form must be signed and sealed by an appropriate registered professional.
- 38. The operation and maintenance entity shall submit inspection reports to the District two years after the operation phase permit becomes effective and every two years thereafter on District form 2N-47.
- 39. The operation and maintenance entity shall submit inspection reports to the District one year after the operation phase parmit becomes effective and every two years thereafter on District form EN-46. The inspection form must be signed a.nd sealed by an appropriate registered professional.

Henry Dean, Executive Director John R. Wehle, Assistant Executive Director



POST OFFICE BOX 1429 PALATKA, FLORIDA 32178-1429 TELEPHONE 304/329-4500 SUNCOM 904/860-4500

PERMITTING:

305 East Drive

407/984-4940

Jacasonville, Plorida 32255 Melbourne, Florida 32904

FAX (EXECUTIVE/LEGAL) 329-4125 (PERMITTRIG) 329-4315

7775 Severadovs Wav

Scile 102

904/730-6270

TRIG) 329-5315 (ADMINEGTRATION/FINANCE) 328-4508

FIELD STATIONS

DPERATIONS: 2133 N. Wetham Road Melbourne, Porios 32535-8109 402/354-1752

CERTIFIED MAIL 647 214 959

FEBRUARY 21/ 1992

CITY OF SAMFORD POST OFFICE BOX 1738 Samford, FL 32/72-1738

RET NOTICE OF BOARD CONSIDERATION OF PERMIT APPLICATION NUMBER 4-117-0326ACG IN SEMINOLE COUNTY

THE STAFF OF THE ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAS COMPLETED ITS REVIEW OF THE ABOVE-REFERENCED APPLICATION. ENCLOSED IS A COPY OF THE TECHNICAL STAFF REPORT (TSR) WHICH STATES THAT STAFF WILL RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION WITH THOSE CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN THE TSR. THIS TSR CONSTITUTES A NOTICE OF DISTRICT INTENT TO GRANT THE PERMIT APPLICATION. IF YOU DISAGREE WITH ANY PART OF THE TSR. YOU SHOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE DISTRICT STAFF TO DISCUSS YOUR CONCERNS. PLEASE REFER TO THE ENCLOSED NOTICE OF RIGHTS WHICH DESCRIBES ANY RIGHTS YOU MAY HAVE AND IMPORTANT TIME FRAMES REGARDING THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION.

676 E. Starth Street

407/694-5423

Ortango, Franca 32501

OU ARE ENTITLED TO ADDRESS THE GOVERNING BOARD CONCERNING THE APPLICATION. HONEVER, WHETHER YOU DO SO OR NOT IS SOLELY YOUR DECISION. OBJECTIONS WHICH THE DISTRICT HAS RECEIVED CONCERNING THE ABOVE-REFERENCED APPLICATION ARE PROVIDED TO ASSIST YOU IN PREPARING ANY PRESENTATION BY YOU OR OTHERS, THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION, ANY PRESENTATION BY YOU OR OTHERS, AND ANY OBJECTIONS WILL BE CONSIDERED IN THE BOARD'S FINAL PERMIT DECISION.

THE GOVERNING BOARD WILL CONSIDER YOUR APPLICATION AT 1:00 P.M. MARCH 10, 1992, OR AS SOON THEREAFTER AS IT MAY COME ONTO BE HEARD AT: ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HEADQUARTERS, HIGHWAY 100 WEST, PALATKA, FLORIDA 32179.

SINCERELY, Viecan VULCIN

SHANNON BANICAN, SR. RECORDS TECHNICIAN DIVISION OF RECORDS

CC: DISTRICT FILE VICKI CUPTIS, DATA MANAGEMENT SUPERVISOR AGENT: CONKLIN, PORTER AND HOLMES-ENGINEERS, INC.

Saundra H. Gray, Churmann Dé Barry Jesse J. Partish, III R

TITUSVILLE

N JOE E. HE, YEE CHARBAN LEESBURG Raioh E. Simmons

FERMANDINA BEACH

12.44

Joseph D. Colins TREASURER JACKSONVILLE Patricia T. Harden Ler

SAN<sup>E</sup>DRO

Lenore N. McCullagh

Mentit C. Fore secretum OCALA Igh James H. Williams

0044

# NOTICE OF RIGHTS ...

1. A party whose substantial interests are determined has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition with the St. Johns River Water Management District (District) within 14 days of receipt of notice of the District's intent to grant or deny a permit application as provided in Section 40C-1.511, <u>Florida</u> Administrative Code, at the office of the District Clerk located at District headquarters, Highway 100 West,

2. A party whose substantial interests are determined has the right to request an administrative hearing by filing a written petition in the office of the District Clerk within 14 days of receipt of notice of final District action on a permit application, as provided in Section 40C-1.511, <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>, if the Governing Board took action which substantially differs from the notice of intent to grant or deny the permit application, application, application.

3. A substantially interested party has the right to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), <u>Florida Statutes</u>, where there is a dispute between the District and the party regarding an issue of material fact. A petition for a formal hearing must comply with the requirements set forth in Section 40C-1.521(2), <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>.

4. A substantially interested party has the right to an informal hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(2), <u>Florida Statutes</u>, where no material facts are in dispute. A petition for an informal hearing must comply with the requirements set forth in Section 40C-1.521(2), <u>Florida Administrative Code</u>.

5. Filing of a petition for an administrative hearing occurs upon delivery to the District Clerk at the District headquarters in Palatka, Florida,

6. Failure to file a petition for an administrative hearing within the requisite time frame shall constitute a waiver of the right to an administrative bearing.

7. The right to an administrative hearing and the relevant procedures to be followed are governed by Chapter 120, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, and Chapter 40C-1, <u>Florida Administrative</u> Code.

8. Any substantially affected person who claims that final action of the District constitutes an unconstitutional taking of property without just compensation may seek review of the action in circuit court pursuant to Section 373.617, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, and the Florida Rules of Civit Procedures, by filing an action within 90 days of the rendering of the final District action.

9. Pursuant to Section 120.68, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, a party who is adversely affected by final District action may seek review of the action in the district court of appeal by filing a notice of appeal pursuant to <u>Fla.R.App.P.</u> 9.110 within 30 days of the rendering of the final District action.

10. A party to the proceeding who'claims that a District order is inconsistent with the provisions and purposes of Chapter 373, <u>Florida S'</u> <u>Statutes</u>, by the Land and Water 7 Commission and serving a coporder within 20 days of the *r* by the Commission within 7 cance, the Commission

11. A District Board on behalf -

12. F-

paragraph right to Management And Storage of Surface Waters Technical Staff Report February 17, 1992

Applicant: City of Sanford Post Office Box 1788 Sanford, Fl 32772-1788

Agent: Conklin, Porter, and Holmes Engineers, Inc. Post Office Box 2808 Sanford, F1 32772-2808

County: Seminole Project Name: Mill Creek and Cloud Branch Section(s): 39 Township(s): 19S Range(s):31E Acres Owned: N/A Project Acreage: 1392 Mill Creek and 664 Cloud Branch

Authority: Chapters 40C-4.041(1), (2)(b), F.A.C.

General Description of Application No. 4-117-0326ACG: This application is for the conceptual authorization to make drainage improvements to regions of the Mills Creek and Cloud Branch drainage basin located within the City of Sanford.

Receiving Water Body(ies): Mill Creek, Cloud Branch, and Lake Monroe (Class III)

Existing Land Use: Urban residential, forested wetlands, herbaceous wetlands, ditches, Mill Creek, and Cloud Branch.

Operation and Maintenance Entity: City of Sanford 🕓

Staff Comments:

The north central and northwestern portions of the City of Sanford contain surface water drainage system generally tributary to two existing natural drainage ways. These two streams are Mill Creek and Cloud Branch.

The Mill Creek system drains the northwestern portion of the city of Sanford, roughly bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Airport Boulevard and Bevier Road on the west, and Olive Avenue on the east. The Cloud Branch system is generally bounded by Lake Monroe on the north, 25th Street on the south, Park Avenue and Sanford Avenue on the east and Olive Avenue on the west in Seminole County.

This application is for the conceptual approval of drainage improvements to regions of Mills Creek and Cloud Branch located within the City of Sanford. The areas surrounding these two channels are thoroughly developed, economically depressed areas, mostly comprised of lower income housing, commercial, railroad, and industrial land use. Repeated hazardous flooding problems exist in these areas, because of the very inadequate drainage system. In

many cases, homes and other structures are built right on the streams and ditches. Public health and safety has always been a major problem, as well as flooding damage.

The proposed conceptual surface water management system will consist of two man-made detention ponds within Mill Creek drainage basin, and two wet detention ponds. Two detention ponds within the Cloud Branch drainage basin. The actual design will be submitted in the construction application.

Mill Creek and Cloud Branch are Class III waters of the state that flow into Lake Monroe. Both water bodies have been channelized along their courses in the region of the proposed drainage improvements. The creeks are steeply sloped, grassed banked, eroding, conveyances through the residential neighborhoods. There are two areas of mixed forested wetlands, bisected by Cloud Branch, within the area of the conceptually proposed project. No encroachments are proposed into the wetlands; however, the conceptual plan indicates they will be used for detention or attenuation.

The residential regions of the City of Sanford served by these creeks experienced severe flooding and property damage during the storm events in spring/summer 1991.

On July 13, 1991, the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch area, as well as the rest of Sanford, was hit by an extreme rainfall event. At the Water Reclamation Facility located at the confluence of Cloud Branch and Mill Creek (at Lake Monroe), from 8:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m., 5.8 inches of rainfall was measured.

The area has a long history of drainage related problems. The city's consulting engineer studied these two basins in 1968 and prepared a drainage study which proposed solutions to the drainage problems. The study was never implemented because of cost and unavailability of funds. The 1968 study was prior to the existence of current requirements of the District and other agencies for retention/detention and other criteria and regulations.

In the post-development condition (no new development has been proposed) Mill Creek and Cloud Branch, as well as run-off from the Mill Creek and Cloud Branch drainage basins, will be routed through two man-made detention ponds within Mill Creek, two man-made wet detention ponds and two detention ponds within Cloud Branch. A number of channel modifications and other improvements also are proposed to alleviate flooding, and increase public safety.

The applicant proposed to use a 25-year, 6-hour storm analysis for the main facilities in these basins, where it is economically feasible to do so. Also, the applicant propose to provide some strategically located detention facilities in the system, sized basically to attenuate or reduce peak flows. The basins will have bleed-down devices to re-establish the basin storage capacity in 14 days or less. The wet-detention ponds are designed to attenuate the peak rate of discharge for the 25-year, 6-hour storm event. It is also generally proposed that post-development peak rate of discharge to the Lake Monroe from the 25-year, 24-hour storm event will not exceed the pre-development peak rate of discharge for the 25-year 24-hour storm event at the point of discharge.

The applicant provided a cost analysis showing that the construction program utilizing the 25-year 6-hour storm event has a total preliminary cost estimated at \$7,619,846, while the 25-year 24-hour storm event design has a total preliminary cost estimated at \$10,088,874; a difference in cost of \$2,469,028. There is a major difference in physical facilities and costs involved in providing the additional protection and there is no big difference in the protection provided.

The proposed project will not meet the design and performance criteria delineated in Section 40C-42.025 F.A.C, however the applicant has shown that the proposed Mill Creek and Cloud Branch drainage improvements will meet the overall objectives of the District pursuant to section 9 of the Applicant's Handbook (A.H).

Erosion-protection measures, including seeding and sodding, will be extensively utilized. Also, junction structures at side streets will be dual compartment structures providing some pollution abatement functions.

In lieu of meeting the District criteria as delineated in Chapter 40C-4, 40C-42, F.A.C. and section 10.2.1, A.H., the applicant proposals will meet the overall objectives and standards pursuant to section 9.0 and 10.1.2, A.H.

Therefore, staff believes that this project, as conceptually proposed is consistent with the objectives and standards of the District set forth in chapter 40C-4, and 40C-42, F.A.C.

Recommendation: Approval

Conditions For Application Number #4-117-0326ACG

General (See Condition Sheet): 6, 7

Other Conditions:

- Pursuant to Section 3.4.2(s) of the MSSW Applicant's Handbook, this permit does not authorize any construction, operation, or alteration of the proposed system.
- 2. This Conceptual Approval permit is valid for twenty years from the date of issuance, provided that construction of the initial phase of the system is permitted and construction undertaken within two years of the issuance of this conceptual

approval permit, and provided that all phases of the system are designed and built in accordance with the terms of the conceptual approval permit and that all required permits for subsequent phases are obtained.

- 3. The permittee must obtain a General or Individual permit from the District prior to beginning construction of subsequent phases or any other work not specifically authorized by this permit.
- The proposed conceptual surface water management system is approved as shown on plans received by the District on December 17, 1991.

Pakzadian/Thomas

# HANAGEMENT AND STORAGE OF SURFACE WATERS/STORMWATER -

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

OCTOBER 19, 1989

# Duration and Completion

- This permit for construction will expire five years from the date of issuance.
- 2. Construction or alteration of the surface water management system must be completed and all disturbed areas must be stabilized in accordance with permitted plans and permit conditions prior to any of the following events (whichever occurs first): issuance of a certificate of occupancy; use of the infra-structure for its intended use; or transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance to a local government or other responsible entity.

#### <u>Concectual</u>

- Pursuant to section 3.4.2(s) of the MSSW Applicant's Handbook, this permit does not authorize any construction, operation, or alteration of the proposed system.
- 4. This Conceptual Approval permit is valid for twenty years from the date of issuance, provided that construction of the initial phase of the system is permitted and construction undertaken within two years of the issuance of this conceptual approval permit, and provided that all phases of the system are designed and built in accordance with the terms of the conceptual approval permit and that all required permits for subsequent phases are obtained.
- The permittee must obtain a General or Individual permit from the District prior to beginning construction of subsequent phases or any other work not specifically authorized by this permit.

#### <u>High Maintenance Systems</u>

6. At a minimum, all recention and detention storage areas must be excavated to rough grade prior to building construction or placement of impervious surface within the area to be served by those facilities. To prevent reduction in storage volume and percolation rates, all accumulated sediment must be removed fro the storage area prior to final grading and stabilization.

- 7. λ registered Professional Engineer (P.E.) or his or her designee must be on-site to verify that the filtration system is constructed according to the permitted plans. This P.E. must submit a signed and sealed inspection report to the District using form number EN-42 within 30 days of completion of the filter system. An annual inspection of the filter system must be made by a.P.E. or his or her designee in the month of May. A signed and sealed inspecting P.E. within 30 days of the inspection date. If the filtration system is not functioning as designed and permitted, maintenance must be performed immediately and reported in the annual inspection report. If maintenance measures are insufficient to enable the system to, meet the design and performance standards in chapter 40C-42, the permittee must obtain District approval of an alternate design.
- 8. A P.E. or his or her designee must inspect the pump system (including pumps and appurtenant works) in May of each year. The inspecting P.E. must submit a signed and sealed report to the District using form number EN-35) within 30 days of the inspection certifying that the pump system is operating as designed and permitted. If the pump system is not operating as designed and permitted, maintenance must be performed immediately to restore the system to permitted operating specifications. All necessary maintenance must be described by the P.E. as part of the annual report. If maintenance measures prove insufficient to restore the system to permitted operation specifications, the permittee must obtain District approval of an alternative design.
- 9. A Registered Professional Engineer or his or her designee must be onsite to ensure that the exfiltration system is constructed according to the permitted plans. Within 30 days of installation, the Professional Engineer must submit a signed and sealed report (EN-42) to the District certifying that the exfiltration system is installed in accordance with the permitted plans.

Inlets to exfiltration systems must be inspected and cleaned of debris and sediment on a quarterly basis in February, May, August, and November of each year. If the system becomes clogged, maintenance measures must be taken to ensure the system will function as designed. If maintenance measures prove insufficient to restore the system to permitting operating specifications, the permittee must obtain District approval of an alternate design that will perform the same function.

#### Wet Detention

10. The littoral zone of the wet detention system, as shown on the approved plans, must be vegetated with a mixture of native herbaceous vegetation, achieving an 80% cover within 18 months of completion of the system. At least an 80% cover must be maintained in perpetuity.

- 11. Monitoring reports (2 copies) evaluating the establishment of littoral zone vegetation must be submitted to the District within 30 days following completion of the system and 16 months after completion. The initial report must verify that the littoral zone has been constructed and describe the methods used to initiate establishment of a vegetative cover. The 18 month report must contain the following: an assessment of viability of the littoral zone vegetation; percent coverage by species; of the littoral zone vegetation; percent coverage by species; percent survival since original planting (if applicable); and a description of all maintenance measures taken to date.
- 12. All activities necessary to establish the vegetated littoral zone as required by permitted plans and other conditions of this permit, must be completed prior to any of the following events (whichever occurs first): issuance of the first certificate of occupancy; the use of infrastructure for its intended use; or, transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance of the system to a local government or other responsible entity.

# <u>Karst Sensitive Areas</u>

- 13. If limestone bedrock is encountered during construction of the retention basins or a sinkhole or solution cavity forms during construction, construction of the basin must be halted immediately and the District must be notified. At that time, a modification of this permit may be required.
- 14. The permittee must visually inspect all permitted surface water management basins monthly for the occurrence of sinkholes and document these inspections on District Condition Compliance Form Number EN33. Two copies of the completed forms must be sent to the District annually by May 31st of each year.
- 15. The permittee must repair any sinkhole that develops within the sufface water management system. Permittee must notify the District of any sinkhole development in the surface water management system within 48 hours of its discovery and must submit a detailed sinkhole repair plan within 30 days of such discovery for written approval by the District staff.

# Wetland Preservation/Creation

- 16. All Wetland areas or water bodies that are outside of the specific limits of construction authorized by this permit must be protected from erosion, siltation, scouring or excess turbidity, and dewatering.
- 17. The permittee must submit two copies of an as-built survey of the wetland creation areas certified by a registered surveyor or professional engineer showing dimensions, grades, ground elevations, and water surface elevations. The as-built must be submitted with the first monitoring report.

- 18. Within the wetland creation areas, non-native vegetation, cattails (Typha spp.) and primrose willow (Ludwiga peruvianna), must be controlled by hand clearing or other methods approved by the District so that they constitute no more than 10% of the areal cover in each stratum.
- 19. Prior to construction, the permittee must clearly designate the limits of construction on-site. The permittee must advise the contractor that any work outside the limits of construction, including clearing, is a violation of this permit;
- 20. The wetland creation areas must be planted prior to any of the following events (whichever occurs first): issuance of the first certificate of occupancy; use of the infrastructure for its intended use; or transfer of responsibility for operation and maintenance of the system to a local government or other responsible entity.
- 21. Within 30 days of completion of initial planting, the permittee must submit to the District for review and approval a plan detailing the site-specific methods to be used for monitoring the wetland creation areas so that achievement of success criteria can be clearly demonstrated. The plan must include such information as the size, location and number of monitoring quadrants, the location and number of photographic stations, and other pertinent factors to demonstrate achievement of success criteria.
- 22. The permittee must furnish the District with monitoring reports for the wetland creation area(s) describing:
  - Percent survival and diversity of planted species within each stratum;
  - B. Recruitment density and composition within each stratum;
  - C. Recorded growth via established parameters for planted trees and shrubs;
  - D. Percent cover of herbaceous species;
  - E. Surface water elevation referenced to N.G.V.D., or if surface water is not present, groundwater elevation referenced to N.G.V.D.; and
  - F. Wildlife utilization.

The data must be collected and submitted semi-annually, once during the wet season (August-September) and once during the dry season (March-April) for a total period of 3 years following initial planting. Reports to the District must also include photographs, descriptions of problems encountered, and solutions undertaken. 13. Successful establishment of the wetland creation area will have occurred when:

- A. At least 90 percent of the planted individuals in each stratum have survived and are showing signs of normal ennual growth, based upon standard growth parameters such as height and base diameter, or canopy circumference;
- B. At least 60 percent cover by appropriate wetland herbaceous species has been obtained; and
- C. The above criteria has been achieved by the end of a 3 year period following initial planting.
- 24. If successful establishment has not occurred as stated above, the permittee must apply to the District for a permit modification no later than 30 days following the termination of the 3 year monitoring period. The application must include a narrative describing the type and causes of failure and contain a complete set of plans for the redesign and/or replacement planting of the wetland creation area so that the success planting of the wetland creation, area so that the success and issuance of the permit modification, the permittee must implement the redesign and/or replacement planting. Following completion of such work, success criteria as stated above or modified by subsequent permit must again be achieved. In addition, the monitoring required by these conditions must be conducted.
- 15. Within 30 days of any monitoring event that indicates 50% or greater mortality of planted wetland species in any stratum within the mitigation area, the applicant must submit a remediation program for District staff review and approval.
- 26. Prior to initiating any construction, the permittee must record a conservation easement on the real property pursuant to section 704.06, F.S., prohibiting all construction including clearing, dredging, or filling, except that which is specifically authorized by this permit within the wetland creation, wetland enhancement, and upland conservation areas as delineated on the final plans as approved by the District. The easement must fortain provisions as set forth in paragraphs 1(a)-(h) of contain provisions as set forth in paragraphs 1(a)-(h) of section\_704.06, F.S., as well as provisions indicating that they may be enforced by the District and may not be amended without District approval. Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this permit and prior to recording, said easement must be submitted to the District for review and approval.

Within 30 days of receipt of District approval, the permittee must provide the District with a certified copy of the recorded easement showing the date it was recorded and the official records book and page number. 27. Prior to initiating any construction, the permittee must record a deed restrictions on the real property pursuant to section 704.05, F.S., prohibiting all construction including clearing, areading, or filling, except that which is specifically authorized by this permit within the wetland creation, wetland enhancement, and upland conservation areas as delineated on the final plans as approved by the District. The restrictions must final plans as approved by the District. The restrictions must section 704.06, F.S., as well as provisions indicating that they may be enforced by the District and may not be amended without District approval. Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this permit and prior to recording, said restrictions must be submitted to the District for review and approval.

Within 30 days of receipt of District approval, the permittee must provide the District with a certified copy of the recorded restrictions showing the date it was recorded and the official records book and page number.

# Erosion and Sediment Control

- 28. The permittee must submit two copies of an erosion and sediment control plan detailing measures to be taken during construction to prevent the discharge of turbid water or eroded soil to adjacent properties, wetlands, or water bodies outside of the specific limits of construction approved by this permit. Said plan must be submitted to the District for staff review and written approval at least 14 days prior to the initiation of construction. The approved plan must be provided to and discussed with the construction contractor prior to the initiation of construction.
- 29. Permittee must select, implement, and operate all erosion and sediment control measures required to retain sediment on-site and to prevent violations of water quality standards as specified in chapters 17-301, 17-302, and 17-4, F.A.C. The permittee is encouraged to use appropriate Best Management Practices for erosion and sediment control as described in the <u>Florida Land Development Manual: A guide to Sound Land and</u> <u>Water Management</u> (DER, 1988).
  - The permittee must construct and maintain a permanent 30. protective vegetative and/or artificial cover for erosion and sediment control on all land surfaces exposed or disturbed by construction or alteration of the permitted project. Unless modified by another condition of this permit or spacified otherwise on a District-approved ercsion and sediment control plan, this protective cover must be installed within fourteen (14) days after final grading of the affected land surfaces. A permanent vegetative cover must be established within 60 days after planting or installation. The permittee must maintain cover on adjacent ground surfaces which may be impacted by construction activities until the District receives the P.E. certification that the project is constructed according to the permitted plans.

# Integrated Pest Management Plan/Golf Course

31. Within 90 days of the beginning of construction or prior to application of any pesticides to the project area, whichever occurs first, the permittee must obtain District approval of a site specific, integrated Pesticide Hanagement Plan. The management plan must specify the usage of non-chemical or cultural means as the primary defense against nuisance and/of, destructive pests. These non-chemical measures should include practices such as: the planting and maintenance of native vegetation where possible; the use of pest and/or disease tolerant vegetation; the proper selection and application of fertiliter; proper supplemental watering; the use of mulca for weed control, and proper maintenance practices including moving frequency, mowing height, mechanical dethatching, removal of cying or dead vegetation, etc.

The plan must also include information on the following:

- Insecticides, nematicides, fungicides or herbicides to be used;
- b. Hethod(s) of application;
- Time frames for use and application; and
- d. For the pesticides that will be used, specification of:
  - Half-lives
  - "N-Octanol/water partition coefficient (Kow)
  - Lethal dose coefficient (LD50)
  - Solubility -

Any pesticides selected must exhibit a short half-life (<10 weeks); a low n-octanol/water coefficient (<5.0), and be suitable for use with local soils and groundwater pH conditions. The use of organochlorides and other pesticides either listed by STA as cancelled or suspended, or otherwise prohibited by state or federal law is not allowed.

12. The permittee must adhere to the fertilizer recommendations set forth in the manual for commercial turf grass management by the University of Florida compiled by the Florida Turf-Grass Association. The nutrient loading attributable to the application of effluent shall be considered a source of fertilizer for the golf course and additional non-effluent fertilizer sources shall be utilized only as a supplement.

### Hater Quality Sampling

33. All water quality data must be submitted to the District within 14 days of receipt of the analytical laboratory report using the appropriate District form (2N-16 - 2N-20) or an equivalent format approved by District Staff.

- 34. The data collected for the water quality monitoring program must be similated to the District annually by December 31 of each year using the appropriate District form (2N+16 - EN-20) or an equivalent format approved by District staff.
- 35. After 5 years of monitoring, the Permittee may request a modification of the parameters and frequency of the monitoring program by demonstrating that the collected data represents steady state conditions, is sufficient to establish baseline ranges for indicator parameters and provides an adequate basis for evaluating the project's compliance with state water quality standards.
- 36. Within 30 days of the issuance of this permit, the Permittee must submit a site specific quality assurance plan for approval by District staff. The quality assurance plan must conform with FDER Guidelines for Preparing Quality Assurance Flans (DER-QA-001/85, dated January 30, 1986).

#### INSPECTION REPORTS

- 37. The operation and maintenance entity shall submit inspection reports to the District two years after the operation phase parmit becomes effective and every two years thereafter on District form EV-46. The inspection form must be signed and sealed by an appropriate registered professional.
- 38. The operation and maintenance entity shall submit inspection reports to the District two years after the operation phase permit becomes effective and every two years thereafter on District form EN-47.
- 39. The operation and maintenance emity shall submit inspection reports to the District one year after the operation phase permit becomes effective and every two years thereafter on District form EN-46. The inspection form must be signed a.nd sealed by an appropriate registered professional.

Henry Dean, Executive Orector John R. Wehle, Assistant Executive Director

(ADIDIDISTRATION/RHANCE) 329-4506



POST OFFICE BOX 1429 TELEPHONE 904/329-4500 PALATKA, FLORIDA 32178-1429 SUNCOM 904/860-4500

FAX (EXECUTIVEA.EG/ ', 224-6125 (PERMITTING) 328-4315

615 E. Steam Street Onlando, Fierida, 32801 Suee 102 407/894-5423

7776 daymentary Way 104/730-4270

PERMITTING: 305 East Devel 407-941-1940

OPPRATIONS: 2133 N. Wichham Road Jantaenale, Forita 32254 Helbourse, Fiorida 32904 Helbourse, Fibrida 32975-6108 407/254-1762

April 9, 1992

City of Sanford Mr. W. A. Simmons, City Manager P. O. Box 1788 Sanford FL 32772-1788

Mill Creek & Cloud Branch; #4-117-0326CG Re:

Dear Mr. Simmons:

The District issued your organization a permit for the above-referenced project on March 10, 1992. We would like to offer our assistance in assuring that the project is constructed in accordance with the District's permit. We can offer our assistance by attending any pre-construction meetings that may be scheduled for the project. We ask that you notify us at least 10 days prior to the scheduled meeting. This will make it possible for us to obtain needed information concerning your permit. This pre-construction meeting can be one that you schedule with other individuals, or it can be a separate meeting with only District staff.

Please call me at 407/897-4312, for notice of any pre-construction meetings, or if you would like to arrange for a meeting with District staff. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Karen B. Davis, Administrative Assistant Department of Resource Management

KBD:kbd

Vicki Curtis cc: Orlando Permit File Pat Frost David Dewey Pam Thomas Rod Pakzadian

Conklin, Porter & Holmes, Inc. Mr. Conklin P. O. Box 2808 Sanford FL 32772-2808



JOE E. HIT. CHAIRMAN Joseph D. Collins, Vice Charlande Josse J. Partish, III, TREASURER Lenore N. McCuRagh SECAETARY LEESBURG LICOSONWELLE TITUSVILLE ORANGE PARK Merrit C. Fore Ratch E. Simmons Saundra H, Gray Patricia Y, Harden James H. Williams DCALA DE BARY SANFORD FERMANONA BEACH OCALA

John R. Wehle, Assistant Executive Director



PALATKA, FLORIDA 32178-1429 POST OFFICE BOX 1429 SUNCON 904/860-4500 TELEPHONE 904/329-4500

(ADMENISTRATION/PRANCE) 129-4586 FAX (EXECUTIVEA.EGAL) 328-1326 (PERMITTING) 328-1314

414 F. South Street Ortante, Farida 32801 Sole 102 407494-5423

7775 Baymandows Way 804/730-4279

- FELD STATIONS ----PERMITTING 305 East Drive Jacksamille, Floride 32258 Malaume, Pioride 32904 407/864-4840

OPERATIONS: 2133 N. Wickham Road Neboure, Foride 32835-2108 403/254-1782

Harch 10, 1992

CITY OF SANFORD P.O. BOX 1788 SANFORD, FL 32772-1788

Management and Storage of Surface Waters SUBJECT: Individual Permit Number 4-117-0326CG

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is your permit as authorized by the Governing Board of the St. Johns River Water Hanagement District on March 10, 1992. This permit will expire on March 10, 2012.

This permit is a legal document and should be kept with your other isportant documents. The attached Completion Report should be filled in and returned to the Palatka office within thirty days after the work is completed. By so doing, you will enable us to schedule a prompt inspection of the permitted activity.

In addition to the completion report, your permit also contains conditions which require submittal of additional information. A11 information submitted as compliance to permit conditions must be submitted to the Falatka office address.

Permit\_issuance does not relieve you from the responsibility of obtaining permits from any federal, state and/or local agencies asserting concurrent jurisdiction for this work.

In the event you sell your property, the permit will be transferred to the new owner, if we are notified by you within thirty days of the sale. Please assist us in this matter so as to maintain a valid permit for the new property owner.

Thank you for your cooperation and if this office can be of any further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Bincerely, Data Management Supervisor cki Curtis Division of Records

Enclosures: Permit with Completion Report

Lenors M. MCCHERICH SECRETARY JOSE HA CHINAN CE FISTERIA COMPANY JOSE J. PERISH, W. TREASURER LOW LEERS OF TICE FOR AND ROLHES - ENCLYPERS, INC PAUCE T, Nordon ORANGE PARK James H. Williamé OCUL STENSTROLIGENSELVESSH, COLBERBENHHIGHAN ! SANFORD OCMA.

#### ST. JOHNS RIVER WATER HANAGEMENT DISTRICT Post Office Box 1429 Palatks, Florida 32178-1429

PERMIT NO. 4-317-032606

DATE ISSUED Harch 10, 1992

A PERMIT AUTHORIZING:

CONCEPTUAL AUTHORIZATION TO MAKE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS TO REGIONS OF THE MILLS CREEK AND CLOUD BRANCH DRAINAGE BASIN LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF SANFORD

LOCATION:

Section 39, Township 19 South, Range 31 East Seminole County

ISSUED TO: (pwner)

Ć

CITY OF SANFORD P.O. BOX 1788 SANFORD, FL 32772-1788

Permittee agrees to hold and save the St. Johns River Water Management District and its successors harmless from any and all damages, claims, or liabilities which may arise from permit issuance. Said application, including all plans and specifications attached thereto, is by reference made a part hereof.

This permit does not convey to permittee any property rights nor any rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any law, regulation or requirement affecting the rights of other bodies or agencies. All structures and works installed by permittee hereunder shall remain the property of the permittee.

This Fermit may be revoked, modified or transferred at any time pursuant to the appropriate provisions of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes:

PERMIT IS CONDITIONED UPON:

See conditions on attached "Exhibit A", dated March 10, 1992

AUTHORIZED BY: St. Johns River Water Management District

Department of Resource Management

· .

RU

1.51

(ASSISTANT SECRETARY) HENRY DEAN 1 e . 1 à

.

14

đ

64.4

5 11 1 Can

-. .... .

11

#### "EXHIDIT A"

### CUNDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OF PERMIT RUNDER 4-117-032600

#### CITY OF SANFORD

#### SATED MARCH 10, 1992

1. IF ANY OTHER RESULATORY AGENCY SHOULD PERUIRE REVISIONS OR MODIFICATION TO THE PERMITTED PROJECT, THE DISTRICT IS To be notified of the revisions so that a determination can be made whether a permit modification is required.

.

- 7. THE DISTRICT MUST BE NOTIFIED, IN WRITING, WITHIN DO DAYS OF ANY SALE, CONVEYANCE, OR OTHER TRANSFER OF A PERMITTED SYSTEM OR FACILITY UN WITHIN DU DAYS OF ANY TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP OR CONTROL OF THE REAL PROPERTY AT WHICH THE PERMITTED SYSTEM OR FACILITY IS LUCATED. ALL TRANSFERS OF OWNERSHIP OR TRANSFERS OF A PERMIT ARE SUBJECT TO THE REGULERMENTS OF CHAPTER AUCHT.
- 1. PURSUANT TO SECTION 3.4.2(S) OF THE MODY APPLICANT'S Handbook, this permit does not authorize any construction, Operation, or alteration of the proposed system.
- ( \*. THIS CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL PERMIT IS VALID FOR TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF ISSUANCE, PROVIDED THAT CONSTRUCTION OF THE INITIAL PHASE OF THE SYSTEM IS PERMITTED AND CONSTRUCTION UNDERTAKEN WITHIN TWO YEARS OF THE ISSUANCE OF THIS CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL PERMIT, AND PROVIDED THAT ALL PHASES OF THE STSIEM ARE DESIGNED AND BUILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE EDNCLEPTUAL APPROVAL PERMIT AND THAT ALL REQUIRED PERMITS FOR SUBSEQUENT PHASES ARE OBTAINED.
  - > THE PERMITTEE MUST OBJAIN & GENERAL OR INDIVIDUAL PERMIT FROM THE DISTRICT PRICE TO SECTIONING CONSTRUCTION OF Subsequent Phases of any other work not specifically Authorized by this permit.
  - THE PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IS APPROVED AS SHOWN DW PLANS RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT ON December 17, 1991.

.

.

. . .

ĩ

(



12 8











$\frac{\mathbf{W}_{n}}{\mathbf{W}_{n}} = \frac{\mathbf{W}_{n} \mathbf{W}_{n} \mathbf{W}_{n}}{\mathbf{W}_{n} \mathbf{W}_{n} \mathbf{W}_{n}} = \frac{\mathbf{W}_{n}}{\mathbf{W}_{n}} = \frac{\mathbf{W}_{n}}{\mathbf{W}$	BASIN	CONTRÍBUTING HYDROLOGIC	PEAK	PEAK	PEAR	<u>DISCHARGB</u>	$\checkmark$		
Image: State of the state		BASIN NO.	(FHSL)	STORAGE (AC-FT)	(CES)	(HR)	$\checkmark$		
Image: State in the state	. 220	200	35 72	Q QA	16	6.00			
$\sum_{k=0}^{25} \frac{1}{100} \sum_{k=0}^{25} \frac{1}{10$	200	100,105	32.03	9.03	194	3.13			
Image: Comparison of the second se		210,220	31 43	2 02				25th. STREET	
And Baseline       Solid S	300		31.30	8.24	189	3.50		(RD. EL. 34.00)	
Image: State of the state	301	400	29.29	2.53	247	. 3.67	$\mathbf{X}$		
<sup>1</sup> / <sub>1</sub> <td< td=""><td>400</td><td>410,420</td><td>25.85</td><td>5.43</td><td>276</td><td>3.87</td><td><math>\mathbf{X}</math></td><td></td><td><math>\uparrow</math> .</td></td<>	400	410,420	25.85	5.43	276	3.87	$\mathbf{X}$		$\uparrow$ .
Image: State of the state	401		24.04	0.68	276	3 97	$\mathbf{i}$		
Image: State in the state		610,625 640,650	22.97	4.56	399	3.80	$\langle \rangle$		
Image: State of the state	600		22.22	10.78	446	3.10	$\mathbf{X}$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	800		17.46	0.33	444	3.13	$\mathbf{X}$		- /
International state       International state       International state       International state         International state       International state       International state       International state       International state         International state       International state       International state       International state       International state       International state         International state       International state       International state       International state       International state </td <td>000</td> <td>830,840</td> <td>22.97</td> <td>8.60</td> <td>139</td> <td>3.47</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	000	830,840	22.97	8.60	139	3.47			
wide       17.32 17.32 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17.34 17	801	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21.13	0.64	139	3.47		$\mathbf{X}$	
International State     17.52     17.52     13.64     164     2.63       International State     17.54     1.35     164     164       International State     13.55     13.55     14.55       International State     13.55     13.55     13.55       International State     13.55     13.57     13.55       International State     13.55     13.47     13.55       International State     13.55     13.47     13.55       International State     International State     International State     International State       International State     International State     Internating State     Internating State <t< td=""><td></td><td>700,710</td><td>17.37</td><td>3.28</td><td>603</td><td>3.77</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		700,710	17.37	3.28	603	3.77			
1001       12.00       12.01       1.00       12.01       100       12.01       100       12.01       100       12.01       100       12.01       100       12.01       100       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01       12.01	1000	910,920	17.92	13.86	186	2.83			. /
Image: Description of the second s		950,960		•					
1000       1000       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100       1100	1001		17.14	1 25	191	3 63			
1000       11.50       11.50       10.00       11.50       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00	603	930	17.05	9.54	788	3.83	•		
1000       11.73       11.00       11.73       11.00       11.73       11.00       11.73       11.00       11.73       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00       11.00	1100		13.50	10.00	830	3.83			
1300       1200       12.73       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       120       <	1200		13.18	1.60		3.83			
1000       11.73       2.223       890       1.99         1000       CLOWNCH       11.73       2.223       890       1.99         1000       CLOWNCH       11.73       2.223       890       1.99         1000       CLOWNCH       11.73       2.223       11.99       11.99         1000       CLOWNCH       11.93       2.213       11.99       11.99         1000       CLOWNCH       11.93       11.93       11.99       11.99         1000       CLOWNCH       11.93       11.93       11.99       11.99         1000       FREACH       MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN         1000       REACH       NODAL - DIAGRAM       1000000000000000000000000000000000000	1201		12.33	4.30	841	3.83			
LEGEND MAP POCKET D LEGEND MAP POCKET D MAP POCKET D			11.79	7.22	890	3.90			
Image International State       Internatin Sta	1301		10.70	6.25	. 890.	3.93			
LEGEND LEGEND MAP POCKET D LEGEND MAP POCKET D LEGEND MAP POCKET D MAP POCKET D	1401		3.62	8.73	1247	3.90			
LEGEND	K MONROE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.00	263.65				· · ·	
LEGEND			and the second		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
ASSIN (RUNOFF PRODUCING AREA)   NODE   NODE   REACH   CULVERT (MODELED)   CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE)   WEIR     CHANNEL(S)     MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN   MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN     MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN     PRE-CON     NODAL · DIAGRAM     PRE-CON     ANAL					n an				
ASSIN   (RUNOFF PRODUCING AREA)     MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN     NODE     NODE     REACH     CULVERT (MODELED)     CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE)     WEIR     VEIR     CHANNEL(S)     MILL CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN     NODAL · DIAGRAM     PRE-CON     NALL     VEIR	÷	LEGEND	•						
(ICONOPP PRODUCING AREA) IVIIII CIUITA DIVITATION DI CUIVENT (NODELED) CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE) WEIR CHANNEL(S)		LEGEND	÷.					· · ·	
(NONOFF PRODUCING AREA) IVIIII CICILII DICILIU DICILII	$\wedge$								
(NONOFF PRODUCING AREA) IVIIII CIUITA DIVITATION DI CUITA		BASIN		N/		$(P_{\mu})$		$D \Lambda T \Lambda \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma \Gamma$	1 DACINI
NODE REACH CULVERT (MODELED) CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE) WEIR CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE) 25 YR./	*	(RUNOFF PRODUC	ING AREA)						
Image: Search   Image: Culvert (modeled)   Image: Culvert (not modeled or assumed non-restrictive)   Image: Weir   Image: Channel(s)			and multip						
CULVERT (MODELED) CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE) WEIR CHANNEL(S)	$\infty$	NODE							
CULVERT (MODELED) CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE) WEIR CHANNEL(S)	$\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}$	DEACH	<b>e</b>		· .				- Thullow
CULVERT (MODELED) CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE) WEIR CHANNEL(S)		REACH	N. S.						A Martin
CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RESTRICTIVE)		CHILVED (MODEL							10 10
ANAL CHANNEL(S)		COLVERT (MODELI	5D)						
ANAL CHANNEL(S)		OIII VEDE (Mom ac							DPF_CONDIT
25 YR./		CULVERT (NOT MO	JDELED OR A	SSUMED NON-R	ESTRICTIVE)				
CHANNEL(S)		WEID							ANALYSIS
CHANNEL(S) CONTRACTOR OF THE MC-PRE 25 YR./									
FILE: MC-PRE		CHANNEL ON							OZ VD 1 Z
FILE: MC-PRE STORM									23 ΙΠ./ Ο
				1. Artis					FILE: MC-PRE STORM EVE





220	200	39.29	12.93	22	14.57						
200	100,105 210,220	32.61	10.35	390	12.77	~					
201	300	32.56	3.41	420	12.73		25th.	STREET			
300		32.17	9.94	444	12.80		(RD. E	L 34.00)			
301 400	400	32.14	3.73	580	12.67	$\sim$				$\sim$	
400	410,420 500	27.16	6.65	754	12.53	$\sim$			N	$\langle \rangle$	
401		26.82	1.09	753	12.53			l		$\backslash$	
550	610,625	25.73	6.54	1091	12.57					$\backslash$	
600	640,650										
600 601	620	22.79 <b>21.62</b>	11.77	1221	12.57	Ň					
800	810,820	25.57	0.52 13.39	1218 279	12.57	$\sim$	Λ.			)	
	830,840	23.37	T2.72	219	12.80					/	
801	· · · ·	22.60	0.87	279	12.83						
602	700,710	21.47	5.11	1556	12.60						
1000	910,920	23.81	27.65	372	13.30		$\backslash$				:
	921,940 950,960										
1001							$\backslash$				
603	930	21.12 21.09	2.07	382	13.30		$\backslash$			/	
1100	1000	17.14	14.55 14.28	1788 1892	12.77		$\backslash$				
1101		17.06	2.32	1890	12.80 12.80						
1200	1100	16.05	6.25	1914	12.80						
1201 1300	1200	15.97	3.06	1912	12.83						Í
1301		15.17 14.93	10.00 9.44	2019	12.83					]	1
1400	CLDBRNCH	9.78	21.01	2014 2510	12.87						
1401		3.43	2.26	2510	13.00 13.00						
LK MONROE	·	3.00	618.07	÷-							
									MAP PO	CRET F	·
							/				
	IECEND						L			1	1
	<u>LEGEND</u>										
								L			
			ר ר								
h h	DAGIN		Λ /				DRAIN				
	BASIN		1 V I			H.K			$H \land \searrow$		n blue
	(RUNOFF PROD	UCING AREA)	♥	╴┸╶┹╌┛╶┹╌┙			$D \perp v \Box \perp \downarrow$				No 100 -
XXX	NODE			-							
	NODE							:			M.
x	REACH					$M \cap D$	AL DIAGR	ላእና			
<u> </u>	MEMOII					NUD	AL DIAGK	AM		Mall B	1
)—(	CULVERT (MODE	ריים די)									
	COLVENT (MODE										
$\vdash$	CIIIVEDT (NOT									DDE CONDITION	-
	COLVERT (NOT	MODELED OR ASS	SUMED NON-H	RESTRICTIVE)						PRE-CONDITION	;
	WEIR									ANALYSIS	
	M CIU										
	CHANNEL(S)										
	- OTHURD(D)									25 YR. / 24 HR.	2 2
								•	FILE: MC-PRE	STORM EVENT	1
								i i		- -	





6139       640       26.57       0.01       139       2.50         617        25.60       1.54       117       3.03         614       810,820       25.83       23.84       181       3.63         612        22.53       1.11       139       4.03         611        20.32       0.76       189       4.03         620       930       15.00       4.71       483       4.23         620       930       15.01       4.71       483       4.23         6208        14.51       1.02       484       4.23         6218       960       30.55       0.12       46       4.30         6218       960       30.55       0.12       46       4.23         6218       940       28.82       2.17       100       2.17         6214        24.33       0.49       95       4.20         621        24.33       0.49       95       4.20         621        24.33       0.49       95       4.20         621        19.82       1.91       134		220 C 25th. STREET (RD. EL. 34.00) (A) 100 105 105 105 105
LEGEND		MAP POCKET H MANN
• XXX BASIN (RUNOFF PRODUCING AREA) XX NODE. X REACH		NAGE BASIN
CULVERT (MODELED)	NODAL DIAC	RAM
CULVERT (NOT MODELED OR ASSUMED NON-RES	TRICTIVE)	POST-CONDITION ANALYSIS
CHANNEL(S)		FILE: MC-POST STORM EVENT





014	01V,01V	20.07			
613		26.02	2.25	389	, 13.00
612		25.48	1.89	388	13.03
611		21.42	1.01	388	13.07
620	930	19.63	9.07	942	13.17
620A		17.72	1.66	947	13.20
6208		17.45	1.81	946	13.20
628	960	31.25	0.17	102	12.57
627	950	31.22	0.95	208	12.60
626		30.33	0.80	208	12.60
625	940	30.27	3.09	304	12.60
624B		27.93	16.50	188	13.57
6248		27.89	1.19	188	13.63
624	920	27.84	1.49	258	13.27
623		21.72	3.34	258	13.50
622	910,921	21.54	5.56	299	13,50
621		19.67	2.51	486	13.23
650		14.70	5.45	947	13.23
700	1000	13.43	5.38	1022	13.10
750		12.72	2.64	1022	13.10
800	1100	12.47	2.54	1041	13.07
850		11.79	2.47	1040	13.10
900	1200	11.21	2.73	1140	13.07
950		9.71	8.85	1136	13.13
1000 -	CLOBRNCH	7.27	8.77	1669	13.17
1050		3.16	1.68	1669	13.17
K MONROE		3.00	611.30		

